EXHIBIT 9

Page 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

GUSTAVO AGUILAR §
Plaintiff §

V. § Case No. 4:16-cv-00118
§
ALLIANCE RESIDENTIAL, §
LLC §
Defendant §

ORAL DEPOSITION

OF

JASON T. ENGLISH, M.S., CSP, P.E.

FEBRUARY 3, 2017

VOLUME 1 OF 1

Oral deposition of Jason T. English, M.S., CSP, P.E., produced as a witness at the instance of the defendant, and duly sworn, was taken in the above-styled and numbered cause on the 3rd day of February, 2017, from 10:15 a.m. to 2:13 p.m., before Camille A. Bruess, CSR, RPR, in and for the State of Texas, reported by stenographic method, at the Daspit Law Firm located at The Lyric Centre, 440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1400, Houston, Harris County, Texas 77002, pursuant to notice and in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the provisions stated on the record or attached hereto.



			Т	
	Page	2		Page 4
1	APPEARANCES		1	STIPULATIONS
2			2	THE REPORTER: Today's date is February 3rd.
4			3	2017 and the time is 10:15 a.m. My name is Camille
5	COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF:		4	Bruess of Magna Legal Services and I will be taking the
6	Mr. Kiernan A. McAlpine Attorney at Law		5	Oral Deposition of Jason English pursuant to the Federal
7	DASPIT LAW FIRM		6	Rules of Civil Procedure at the Daspit Law Firm located
0	The Lyric Centre		7	at The Lyric Centre, 440 Louisiana Street, Suite 1400,
8	440 Louisiana Street #1400 Houston, Texas 77002		8	Houston, Harris County, Texas 77002. The style of the
9	Phone: 713-322-4878		9	case is: In the United States District Court for the
10	Fax: 713-587-9086 E-mail: Kier@daspitlaw.com		10	Southern District of Texas, Houston Division, Case No.
11	L-man. Rici @ daspinaw.com		11	4:16-cv-00118, Gustavo Aguilar versus Alliance
1.0	COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT:		12	Residential, LLC.
12	Mr. Timothy W. Hassinger		13	Would counsel present please identify
13	Attorney at Law		14	themselves and who they are representing?
14	GALLOWAY, JOHNSON, TOMPKINS, BURR & SMITH		15	MR. McALPINE: Kiernan McAlpine, attorney
14	3 Sanctuary Boulevard, 3rd Floor		16	for the plaintiff, Gustavo Aguilar.
15	Mandeville, Louisiana 70471		17	MR. HASSINGER: And Tim Hassinger for
16	Phone: 985-674-6680 Fax: 985-674-6681		18	Alliance Residential.
	E-mail: THassinger@gallowayjohnson.com		19	THE REPORTER: Are there any stipulations
17 18			20	counsel would like to make at this time?
19			21	MR. McALPINE: Yes. We are going to
20			22	stipulate to reserving objections except as to form,
21 22			23	responsiveness, and leading until trial and same basis
23			24	and reserve stating the basis until called upon to
24 25	* * * * * * * * * * *		25	provide the basis by opposing counsel.
	Page	3		Page 5
1 2	INDEX PAGE		1	MR. HASSINGER: You said we're
	Appearances		2	MR. McALPINE: Let me try to not do
3	Stipulations 4		3	shorthand. The parties agree to waive the
4	JASON T. ENGLISH, M.S., CSP, P.E.		4	contemporaneousness requirement for objections under Rule
5	Examination by Mr. Hassinger		5	30(c)(2). The parties agree to reserve all objections
6	Examination by Mr. Hassinger 129		6	except as to the form of a question, responsiveness, and
7	Examination by Mr. McAlpine 142		7	leading until the time of trial. Parties may further
8	Deposition concluded143		8	agree to reserve parties do further agree to reserve
	Witness' Signature page 143		9	stating the basis for objections until the time of trial
9	Reporter's Certificate144		10	unless called upon by opposing counsel to state the basis
10 11			11	for a particular objection. Plaintiff agrees.
12	EXHIBIT INDEX		12	MR. HASSINGER: That's fine.
13	PAGE NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARKED		13	JASON T. ENGLISH, M.S., CSP, P.E.,
14	1 Report dated 12/1/16 from Mr. English 6 addressed to Mr. McAlpine in letter		14	having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
15	form summarizing his findings on the analysis of an injury event (6 pgs.)		15	EXAMINATION
16			16	BY MR. HASSINGER:
17	2 Curriculum vitae of Mr. English 7 (8 pgs.)		17	Q. Can you tell us your name and address for the
18	3 Appendix A, Attachment to the report 9 of Jason T. English, M.S., CSP, P.E.		18	record, please?
19	dated 12/1/16 (7 pgs.)		19	A. Yes. Jason English. Address is 543 William D.
20	4 Invoice #1444 dated 12/9/16 to Mr. 10 McAlpine from Mr. English (1 pg.)		20	Fitch Parkway, Suite 112, College Station, Texas 77845.
21	5 Photos of the scene where the 93		21	Q. Mr. English, you've been deposed before.
22	accident took place and adjacent		22	A. Yes.
23	surroundings (28 pgs.)		23	Q. Okay. You know all the ground rules?
24	6 Hand drawn sketch of accident 98 location with measurements (2 pgs.)		24	A. Yes.
25	7 Handwritten notes (1 pg.) 104		25	Q. Just as a reminder, if you could let me finish



Page 6 Page 8 1 1 things produced in the case that my assumption was that my question for the court reporter's benefit, I'll extend 2 2 both parties already had in their possession, so I didn't you the same courtesy of letting you finish your answer 3 produce a second copy of them. 3 before I move on to the next question. Okay? 4 Q. So, whatever you listed as the documents that 4 A. Yes. you reviewed, you have in front of you today. 5 Q. You were hired by the plaintiff in this case; is 5 6 6 A. Yes. that right? 7 7 A. Plaintiff's counsel, yes. Q. And the reason it may be thicker than what I Q. Plaintiff's counsel. You've never spoken to the 8 have as far as your subpoena response is because you 8 plaintiff, Mr. Aguilar, have you? 9 didn't supply a second copy of our discovery responses. 9 10 A. No, I've not personally spoken with him. 10 A. That's correct. Q. You've never read his deposition testimony in 11 MR. McALPINE: May I make a brief remark off 11 12 this case? 12 the record? 13 MR. HASSINGER: Sure. 13 A. I have, yes. 14 Q. You didn't read his deposition before you issued 14 (Discussion off the record) your report in this case, true? 15 15 Q. We had a discussion off the record, but let me 16 A. That is true. That's correct. 16 show you what is Appendix A which includes data and other 17 Q. What we received in discovery is or in the 17 information considered in forming your opinions, exhibits 18 course of this case an expert report from you. I want to 18 that will be used to summarize and support opinions, which are actually listed on the first page of what's 19 show it to you and confirm that this is the report that 19 within Exhibit A. Yes? 20 you issued, if you wouldn't mind. 20 21 A. Yes, this is my report minus the, uh -- it 21 A. Yes, as far as references which I may have 22 doesn't have the attached appendix, but that's the report 22 obtained exhibit information from. 23 23 Q. Appendix A also includes your CV that we've portion. attached as Exhibit 2. 24 24 Q. I'm going to attach this as Exhibit 1. 25 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 1 was marked.) 25 A. Yes. Page 7 Page 9 Q. And then we also received your CV that has with 1 1 Q. And that it includes a fee schedule as part of 2 it a list of publications, deposition, and trials list 2 that packet that's known as Exhibit A. 3 3 for the past four years. I'm going to show you this, if A. Correct. And it also is part of the appendix, 4 you wouldn't mind. 4 that depo and trial list that you have as part of the 5 next two -- I mean Exhibit 2, I'm sorry. 5 MR. McALPINE: May I? 6 THE WITNESS: (Witness complies.) 6 Q. Okay. 'Cause that goes with your CV. Yes? 7 MR. McALPINE: All right. 7 A. Yes. A. Yes, these are items that would have been 8 Q. Okay. So, let's attach Appendix A that we've 8 included as part of the Appendix A to my report. 9 now referenced as Exhibit 3. 9 10 Q. I'm going to attach this as Exhibit 2. 10 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 3 was marked.) 11 (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 2 was marked.) 11 Q. So, we have Exhibit 1, your report, Exhibit 2, Q. We also had issued a subpoena for your file and your CV, which is actually within part of -- within 12 12 you provided us a response to that subpoena, did you not? Appendix A, and then we've got Appendix A as Exhibit 3. 13 13 14 A. I did, yes. 14 Just so we have them all there. Is that right? 15 Q. The file materials that we received in response 15 16 to our subpoena are about half of an inch thick and I'm 16 Q. You also issued an invoice in connection with looking at what may be five to ten times that in front of 17 the work that you did in this case, true? 17 you today. Why do you have so much more than I have? 18 18 A. Yes. 19 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 19 Q. Is this the invoice that you issued 20 A. Uh, well, 'cause I didn't supply all the 20 (indicating)? materials that I had received. I referenced my 21 A. Yes. 21 22 documented lists that were in, uh, my report, Appendix A 22 Q. And this invoice reflects the work that you 23 that I had and then also in the cover letters that were actually did before you issued your report that we've 23 24 supplied that listed out a listing of the documents since 24 attached as Exhibit 1. those were documents that -- discovery documents or A. Yes, that up through my report, yes, including 25 25



Page 12 Page 10 1 my report. 1 in that? 2 Q. If we could attach the invoice as Exhibit --2 A. That's within my area of expertise, yes. 3 mark it as Exhibit 4. 3 Q. And if I had a case where someone's using a 4 4 ladder at a parade and a child falls from the ladder, you (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) 5 MR. McALPINE: Thank you, sir. Yeah. 5 could be an expert in that as well? Q. You're being offered as an expert in this case 6 6 A. I would probably have to know more about the 7 7 in what areas? case. I do have expertise in ladders, but as far as a 8 A. Well, I can tell you my areas of specialty. I 8 parade and children and how it was being utilized, I 9 9 don't -- I did not -would probably need more information to make that Q. That's not my question. 10 determination. 10 A. Well ... 11 Q. If I had a -- if there was a worker who was 11 using scaffolding in connection with construction or 12 Q. The question is, what are you being offered as 12 13 an expert in as far as this case is concerned? renovations to an office building and fell because of 13 14 A. Well, I'm not the one that prepared the 14 some defect with respect to the scaffolding, do you have designation. I can tell you my areas of expertise that 15 15 expertise in that area? 16 I'm offering myself in. 16 A. It depends on the defect, but I do have 17 Q. Okay. Tell me what you think you're an expert 17 expertise in scaffolding, not all areas. So, it would 18 in in connection with this case. 18 depend on the specific areas that were involved. 19 A. I would say generally the field of safety 19 Q. You've been retained as an expert in connection engineering, and within that general subheading includes 20 20 with offshore cases, too? 21 safety management, fall prevention, the walking surface 21 A. Occasionally, yes. 22 safety including accessibility and design. 22 Q. So, if I have a rig worker who's on an offshore 23 Q. Anything else? 23 platform and slips and falls on a rig -- slips and falls 24 A. There's a lot of subheadings. Premises safety 24 on the rig floor, you would have expertise in that? 25 would fall under there. I mean these are all under the 25 A. Yes, I would have expertise to evaluate that. Page 11 Page 13 general heading of safety engineering - human factors, 1 Q. And if we have a, uh, a welder who's welding a 1 2 ergonomics, code administration. I think those are the 2 piece of equipment on a land based oil field or well site 3 3 and is injured in some fashion, you'd have expertise in high points I would say. 4 Q. So, you're an expert in eight different areas: 4 that type of case, too? safety engineering, safety management, fall prevention, 5 5 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. walking surface safety, accessibility design, premises 6 A. Certain areas, possibly, not all. As far as 6 7 workplace safety, yes. As far as some of the specifics 7 safety, human factors/ergonomics, and code 8 of welding, possibly not. It depends on the 8 administration; is that right? 9 9 MR. McALPINE: Object to form. circumstances. 10 A. Those are the -- those are the areas that I'm 10 Q. So, tell me some cases that you've been retained applying in this particular evaluation. I have expertise 11 as an expert in. You want me to go through the list, 11 beyond that, but that's what I would consider most 12 12 this four-year list? A. I'm here to answer your questions. So, however relevant to this particular evaluation. 13 13 14 Q. How long have you been acting as an expert 14 you feel it's best to. 15 witness? 15 Q. Ernest Myers, Representative of the Estate of Sherrie Myers, Deceased -- Ernest Myers, et al. vs. AFS 16 A. I've been offering services in that area for 16 Northwest Business Park, what was that case about? 17 17 around maybe 12 years or more, somewhere in there. 18 Q. If I had a case where a, uh, patron was walking 18 A. That was a -- a fall, a trip and fall at a 19 into a grocery store and slipped and fell on water, you 19 business strip center. 20 could be an expert for me or a lawyer in connection with 20 Q. And who were you retained by? A. The plaintiff's counsel. 21 that case? 21 22 A. I have expertise to evaluate that, yes. 22 Q. Martha Rubio versus HEB Grocery Company, what Q. If I have a case where a, uh -- or if a lawyer was the subject matter of that case? 23 23 24 has a case where there's a handrail issue in connection 24 A. From recollection it was a workplace injury at a grocery store involving a falling object falling and 25 with entering an office building, you could be an expert 25



Page 16 Page 14 landing on her head I believe. 1 1 that I recognize. 2 Q. And you were retained to offer expertise in 2 Q. I counted the cases and there's a total of 76 3 3 connection with what issue? cases on your list for the last four years. Does that 4 4 A. Uh, the material storage, the type of racking sound right? 5 systems that were -- that's part of that storage, also 5 A. I have not counted them. So, if you counted workplace safety and also the issues of falling objects. 6 6 them, then I'll trust your number. 7 7 Q. Who were you retained by in that case? Q. Okay. And you have 2 of the 76 where you were 8 A. Plaintiff's counsel. 8 retained by the defendant? 9 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 9 Q. On this list that's the list of Deposition and Trials List for the past four years that's part of 10 A. I did not count the ones that I read off. I 10 Exhibit 2, there are two and a half pages or so of cases. 11 thought there was three or four, but I didn't -- I wasn't 11 Have you been retained by the plaintiff in every one of 12 12 counting. Do you want me to go back through it again? 13 those cases? 13 Q. Yeah. You said -- you listed two and then you 14 A. Uh, likely not. I don't know. I'd have to take 14 said there was one where it was a plaintiff and a 15 a closer look at it. And some of these are up to four 15 defendant. So, it's either two or three. 16 years old, so I may not remember on all of them. 16 A. I don't know. Again, I really wasn't paying 17 Q. Sarah Thomas vs. Regency Crossing, third case on 17 attention to that. So, I mean if you were, then that's 18 the list, what was the subject of that case? 18 A. Uh, sitting here, I don't recall the specifics 19 19 Q. Sandra Stewart case, that was one, right? 20 20 A. Yes. of that case. 21 Q. Who were you retained by? 21 Q. And then the next one was -- that was the first 22 A. That case is not coming to mind. So, I don't 22 one that you noticed. And then what was the second one? 23 recall on that one. 23 A. (Witness reading.) The Vicky Wright vs. D&B 24 24 Q. Next one, Yen Nguyen vs. Tien Quang Bui, Patel. 25 T-i-e-n, Q-u-a-n-g, B-u-i, who were you retained by? 25 Q. Was that just for the defendant or for plaintiff Page 17 Page 15 1 and defendant? 1 A. I believe on that one it was plaintiff's 2 2 A. That's just the defendant. counsel. 3 3 O. And what was the issue in that case? Q. Okay. So, that's two. 4 A. It was a fall down a residential stairway. 4 A. Then, yeah, I guess you're right, and then the 5 5 Q. Can you just look at these two and a half pages one that Tomlinson vs. Stonebriar that I was retained by 6 (indicating) and tell me were any of these cases -- in 6 one defendant and the plaintiff as well. 7 7 any of these cases that you have listed were you retained Q. Okay. So, out of 76 cases, 2 to 3 of those were 8 8 by the defendant? for a defendant in some capacity. 9 9 A. As far as the ones that I've recognized, one and A. (Witness reading.) In answer to your question, 10 it would be yes. 10 then also two that I've -- the cases which I've evaluated 11 Q. And which ones? 11 where I've actually gone far enough to provide testimony, 12 A. There's one on page two. It's Sandra Stewart 12 then that's correct. 13 vs. Michael's Stores. And when I got to that one, I 13 Q. So, in the last four years where you've given a 14 stopped. The ones that I recognize, some of these like 14 deposition or trial testimony, if you do the math it's 96 15 the one we spoke of earlier I don't quite have a 15 to 97 percent on behalf of the plaintiff. 16 recollection of the details or who I was working for 16 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 17 A. Of the ones I've recognized in there, that's 17 based on the names, but I can continue down the list so 18 that way if I come to some, do you want me just to name 18 correct. It's the number that we have here. 19 those? 19 Q. Tell me a case on that list, the two and a half 20 20 pages, where you offered expertise in any fashion in Q. Yes, if you wouldn't mind. A. Okay. There's a Vicky Wright vs. D&B Patels. 21 21 connection with the Americans with Disabilities Act. 22 (Witness reading.) I have one that's a little different 22 A. Ernest Myers vs. the AFS Business Park. Alice 23 where I was retained by a plaintiff and one of the 23 Rohr vs. A.V.L. Naomi Woosley vs. Golden Royal d/b/a 24 defendants. It's the Diane Tomlinson vs. Safeway vs. 24 Best Western. (Witness reading.) Vicky Wright vs. D&B Patel. (Witness reading.) George Caballero vs. Denny's 25 Stonebriar Hotel. (Witness reading.) Those are the ones 25



	Case 4:16-cv-00118 Document 52-9 F	ileu c	1 age 7 01 01
	Page 18		Page 20
1	Robert Dr. Robert Brace vs. The City of McAllen.	1	Q. What did that involve a parking space or a
2	Those are the ones that I recognize.	2	parking lot?
3	Q. I counted six as you were naming them.	3	A. No.
4	A. I'll go with that. I wasn't counting them and	4	Q. Have you ever testified as an expert witness in
5	you counted them right last time. So	5	connection with ADA issues or Texas Accessibility
6	Q. Did any of the six involve ADA issues in	6	Standards issues in connection with a parking space at an
7	connection with a parking lot?	7	apartment complex before this case?
8	A. (Laughs) I wasn't I wasn't paying attention	8	A. Yes.
9	to what they were about as a just	9	Q. What case?
10	Q. Myers case?	10	A. On one of these had testified?
11	A. Uh, it was a transition from a parking lot to a	11	Q. Yes.
12	walkway. So, I guess kind of, sort of.	12	A. I don't recall if I've provided testimony or
13	Q. It's not a parking space issue.	13	not, nothing that I recognize at least in the past four
14	A. That's correct, not a parking space.	14	years.
15	Q. The Rohr case, the second one you named?	15	Q. Okay. What case are you thinking of?
16	A. And your question is, is it having to do with a	16	A. Uh, well, the one case that popped in my head
17	parking space?	17	was in where was it at I think Corpus Christi, an
18	Q. Yes.	18	apartment complex that was involving accessible parking
19	A. No, it was not a parking space.	19	at a at an apartment complex.
20	Q. Woosley?	20	Q. What was the issue in that case?
21	A. No, that was not dealing with a parking space.	21 22	A. There was a fall of an individual trying to get
22	Q. Wright?	23	to and from one of the apartment units.
23 24	A. That was not dealing with a parking space.Q. Caballero?	23 24	Q. How did he fall or she fall?
25	A. That one was dealing with a parking space, yes.	25	A. Well, they didn't have any accessible parking on that entire side of the complex and they were
23			
_	Page 19	_	Page 21
1	Q. What was the issue?	1	attempting and the way they had it configured, instead
2	A. Uh, it was a drainage issue for their roof. A	2	of it being even a normal curb, it was actually kind of
3	condensate drain for their HVAC was draining right down	3	two steps and they fell trying to get I can't remember
4	the striping of the of the, uh, ADA parking space and	4	if it was going I think it was coming I can't
5	creating a mildew or mold buildup that was slippery	5	remember if it was coming and going to or coming back,
6 7	and the individual, as they were getting out of their car	6 7	but they fell trying to get either from their car or to their car. I can't remember which.
8	in the handicapped parking space, slipped as soon as they	8	
9	stepped down out of their car. Q. Where was the location of that incident?	9	Q. And how did that person fall?A. While they were attempting to either go up or
10	A. Uh, it was here in the Houston area. It seems	10	down the curb or the two steps leading from the parking
11	like it was on 1960 area between	11	lot to the sidewalk that then goes to the apartment unit.
12	Q. Better question if you don't mind?	12	Q. What's the name of that case?
13	A. Okay.	13	A. I don't recall off the top of my head.
14	Q. Was it a shopping center, a residential area, an	14	Q. And what was your opinion essentially in that
15	apartment complex, what?	15	case concerning that incident?
16	A. You mean Caballero?	16	A. Well, I don't remember all the details, but I
17	Q. Yes.	17	know one of it was a lack of adequate handicapped parking
18	A. It was a restaurant.	18	to serve the tenants and the, uh, visitors for the
19	Q. A restaurant.	19	complex was I think one of the primary issues.
20	A. Yes.	20	Q. The lack of, I'm sorry?
21	Q. A parking lot in front of a restaurant?	21	A. Accessible parking.
22	A. Serving the restaurant, yes.	22	Q. Because they didn't have any, right?
23	Q. And then the last one case that you mentioned,	23	A. At least on that entire side of the complex,
24	was it Brace?	24	that is correct. They did have a spot at the leasing
25	A. Yes, that's one of them.	25	office which was at the opposite side of the property and



Page 24 Page 22 1 they may have -- I was trying to remember -- I think they 1 Q. -- how many years ago was that? 2 maybe had another one that was on a different 2 A. Well, I'm not very good at estimating time, but 3 3 (indicating) side of the complex. I would say probably within the last two or three years, 4 Q. Have you ever offered an expert opinion that a 4 somewhere in there. 5 business didn't do something wrong? 5 Q. Is that on your list? 6 6 A. I did not recognize it, but I in the same sense A. Yes. 7 7 Q. When was the last time? as I sit here, I can't even remember what the names of 8 8 A. I don't have an answer for that. I mean I the parties were. I just remember going and looking at 9 9 don't -- my memory's not quite that good as far as giving the apartment complex. 10 you a specific time that I offered testimony. 10 Q. Is that the only other case where you've offered 11 Q. Can you tell me the case? 11 expert opinion in report form or testimony concerning ADA 12 issues with respect to an apartment complex, and more 12 A. As far as you're saying where I actually 13 13 specifically parking spaces at the apartment complex? testified? 14 Q. Do it either way. When's the last time you 14 A. You said in written form or testimony? 15 15 offered an opinion in any fashion, an expert opinion that Q. Yes, sir. 16 a business owner did not do something wrong? 16 A. As I sit here, I don't recall. I know I've had 17 A. Probably within the last week. 17 other evaluations, but whether I issued a report or 18 Q. What case was that? 18 provided testimony, I don't recall. 19 A. It was a case which -- I'm trying to evaluate 19 Q. And how many cases have you been retained as an 20 how much information I can give out. It was the initial 20 expert by the Daspit Law Firm or one of its lawyers? 21 evaluation of a case that somebody had contacted me about 21 A. At least from recollection I believe this is the 22 to ask if I could assist. And essentially my answer was 22 first. 23 no, but I don't feel at liberty to give any details about 23 O. You're not an architect? A. No. 24 the case since I'm not involved and it's a, uh, client of 24 25 25 Q. You don't consider yourself an expert in the mine. Page 23 Page 25 1 1 Americans with Disabilities Act, do you? Q. Okay. Let's just talk about cases where you 2 were actually retained as an expert and offered actual 2 A. I do, yes. 3 3 expert opinions in the form of a report or testimony. Q. Okay. That wasn't on the list of the eight 4 So, can you tell me the last time you offered an expert 4 things you mentioned at the beginning. 5 5 opinion either in report form or via testimony that a A. I think I -- well, it falls under several of б 6 business owner did not do something wrong? those headings. I think one of those was accessibility 7 7 design. That would include the ADA, TAS, and ANSI A117. A. As far as a report form, I don't know the exact 8 with a host of other authoritative references on the 8 date, but I'd say within the last two or three months. 9 9 subject matter. As far as testimony, it would have been one of the ones 10 likely that was on this list since that list the cases in 10 Q. And you consider yourself an expert in the Texas 11 which I've testified in the last four years. So, I guess 11 Accessibility Standards as well? that would have been the Tomlinson vs. Safeway, which was 12 A. Relative to certain areas, yes. 12 13 Q. Where have you studied or received training in 13 in March of last year. 14 Q. And the one you were retained recently in, what 14 the Americans with Disabilities Act? 15 does that involve? 15 A. As far as my course work for not only safety A. It was a fall on a stairway. 16 16 engineering but also I took a post graduate course from 17 17 Q. And what's the issue? the Department of Architecture at Texas A&M relative to 18 18 A. I was evaluating the stairway retained by the these issues, not only including accessibility and design 19 defendants that owned the premises or owned or operated 19 but also other things as far as just normal building code 20 the premises to evaluate the stairway and I did not find 20 compliance relative to walking, all means of egress which 21 anything wrong with it relative to the incident that 21 includes stairways, ramps, level surfaces, any type of 22 22 walking surface. 23 23 Q. When's the last time you've had any type of Q. The one ADA case that you mentioned involving 24 the apartment complex --24 training in the ADA? 25 25 A. Yes. A. You mean as far as attending like a seminar or a



Page 28 Page 26 1 1 Q. You know what testimony is? course or something? 2 2 A. Somebody relaying some information verbally. Q. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. If you need me to be more specific, 3 A. I haven't updated my CV in a while, but just looking at that, the first one listed is in 2014, 4 before you issued your report in this case, you had no 4 5 5 "Prevention Through Design - Slips, Trips, and Falls" idea what Mr. Aguilar's testimony under oath was, did 6 6 from the American Society of Safety Engineers. I think 7 7 there's been another seminar I went to since then as A. Well, that's a different question. Under oath, 8 well, but I don't have it listed on my CV. And then it 8 9 9 looks like that course I was speaking of was from 2001. Q. Do you know the difference between testimony and Q. When I hear someone say they're an expert in the 10 10 a statement? 11 Americans with Disabilities Act, that means to me that 11 A. Apparently I don't -- I'm not coherent or we're 12 12 they know when the ADA applies. Would you agree with not on the same page as far as your use of the terms. 13 that? 13 Testimony in my mind can mean anybody relaying something 14 A. Yes. 14 verbally. It doesn't necessarily have to be under oath. 15 15 Q. And the same thing with respect to the Texas So, I would consider it possibly being the same, falling 16 Accessibility Standards, when I hear someone say that 16 under the same category, but ... So, if you want to 17 they're an expert in that, then the expert should know 17 clarify your definitions, then I may be able to answer 18 when those standards apply, true? 18 19 A. Yes. 19 Q. Who gave you permission to actually perform any 20 Q. You've never designed a parking lot, have you? 20 type of inspection at the Broadstone New Territory 21 A. You mean as from all aspects? 21 Apartment complex? 22 A. That was arranged by Mr. McAlpine. 22 Q. Any aspect. Q. My question was who gave you permission to 23 A. Uh, from the ground up, no. I've evaluated 23 designs, but, no, I've not done the actual ground up 24 actually enter the premises and conduct any form of 24 25 design, no. 25 inspection of the apartment complex? Page 27 Page 29 1 1 Q. In connection with this case, the Aguilar case, A. I met Mr. McAlpine at the site. So, that was 2 you didn't conduct any testing, did you? 2 arranged by him. I was just told to be there. 3 3 A. There wasn't any required outside of taking Q. So, you actually met the attorney for 4 4 Mr. Aguilar at the apartment complex to inspect it? measurements. 5 5 Q. Okay. You didn't perform any testing? A. Yes. A. That's true because none was required. 6 6 Q. How did you enter the apartment complex? 7 7 Q. You didn't perform any calculations, did you? A. Just through their gate. MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 8 8 Q. Through their gate? 9 9 A. Well, other than adding and subtracting A. Yes. 10 dimensions, I would say no, none. No calculations were 10 Q. Did they have someone at the gate? 11 required other than simple addition and subtraction. 11 A. No. Q. Before you issued your report in this case, you 12 12 Q. You just drove in? had no idea what Mr. Aguilar testified to, did you? 13 13 A. Yes. 14 A. Prior to issuing my report, is that what you're 14 Q. Did you ever advise Mr. McAlpine or anyone else 15 asking? 15 that if you were going to do any form of inspection, that 16 16 counsel for Alliance Residential should be notified so Q. Yes. A. Only through the information supplied through 17 17 there could be a joint inspection? 18 discovery which included the incident report and then a 18 A. I'm not an attorney on this case. That's not 19 written statement which I now understand to be prepared 19 part of the scope of my work is arranging between legal 20 by his daughter and then signed by Mr. Aguilar. 20 counsel any part of this case. So, no. 21 Q. My question was before you issued your report, 21 Q. You've never done a joint inspection with other 22 you had no idea what Mr. Aguilar testified to, did you? 22 experts? A. Well, at that time I thought the information had 23 23 A. Have I? Yes. 24 come from him. So, I would say yes. But are you talking Q. Okay. That's a common theme, is it not? 24 25 about through his deposition? A. I would say only a small fraction. Maybe ten 25



Page 30 Page 32 1 percent of the cases have both -- more than one expert at 1 are you in the same car with the lawyer or did you come 2 2 a site inspection that I'm involved with. separately? 3 A. We came separately. 3 O. Have you ever been on a case before this one where you actually entered property through a gate to 4 Q. So, do you enter first or does he meet you 4 5 5 inspect the property without the attorney or somewhere else and then y'all go in together? 6 A. Uh, no, we met at the property. 6 representative for the property owner being present? 7 Q. And you get out and you talk to the lawyer about 7 A. Yes. Q. Did you have permission in those other cases? 8 the case on the defendant's property. Yes? 8 9 A. Yes. A. I was not the one arranging the inspection. So, 9 I -- again, that's not part of my job is -- I arrange it 10 Q. And then how long are you actually performing 10 11 with my client and as far as how they do things legally, 11 some type of measurements? A. I did not track timing and that sort of detail. 12 12 that's not my responsibility. 13 I don't know how much time was actually spent measuring Q. Was Mr. Aguilar present when you were performing 13 14 your inspection? 14 Q. Okay. So, certainly less than an hour because 15 you only billed an hour in total, right? 15 A. No. 16 16 Q. How do you know where he allegedly fell? A. Yes. A. Uh, Mr. McAlpine informed me of that Q. Would you agree with me that you probably took 17 17 information. 18 measurements for no more than five minutes? 18 19 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 19 Q. The lawyer told you? A. Actually, there was quite a few measurements. 20 A. At that time, yes. 20 21 So, I would say it took longer than that. 21 Q. You're saying at that time. At that time before you issued your report, you hadn't -- you'd never spoken 22 Q. Ten minutes? 22 to anybody else besides the lawyer, right? 23 23 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. A. That's correct. 24 A. I didn't track the specific time, but I'd say I 24 25 Q. You'd never spoken to a human being in this case 25 spent probably equal time taking -- or more time taking Page 31 Page 33 1 in connection with any of your opinions in this case measurements than I did photographs. 1 2 Q. And then you spend 1.3 hours reviewing various 2 besides Mr. McAlpine, the lawyer, right? 3 discovery documents including the defendant's disclosures 3 A. That's true, yes. 4 4 Q. And if we look at your invoice that's attached and discovery responses. Yes? 5 5 as Exhibit 4, you have .3 hours which is 18 minutes with A. Yes. 6 Q. You spent 2.4 hours reviewing literature and an initial phone consultation and reviewing photos, 6 7 standards and start preparing your report, right? 7 right? 8 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. You have one more phone consultation for .3 9 Q. And the initial phone consultation would have 10 been with the lawyer. Yes? 10 hours -- that's 18 minutes -- with the lawyer, right? 11 11 A. Yes. A. Yes. Q. Then you traveled from College Station to Sugar 12 Q. And then you complete preparation of your report 12 Land for an hour and -- 1.4 hours. Yes? 13 for 2.1 hours. Yes? 13 14 A. Yes. 14 A. Correct. Q. You conduct a physical inspection of the fall 15 15 Q. Do you actually physically type up the report 16 location on October 13th, 2016 and photographed -- it 16 17 says, "Photographic record and measurements taken 1.0." 17 A. Yes. So, that's one hour? O. From start to finish? 18 18 19 A. Yes. 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Were you there for actually an hour or is that 20 Q. In this case you didn't type up this report from 21 just a round number? 21 start to finish because the only thing new in your report 22 from all the other reports that you issued starts on page A. Uh, I would say -- I mean I didn't have a timer, 22 23 but I would say it's close to an hour. 23 five, right, the "SUMMARY OPINIONS AND CONCLUSIONS"? MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 24 Q. So, when you entered the gate of the defendant's 24 25 25 A. Well, I -- some of the report -- some of the property in this particular case, you parked your car, or



Page 34

information in my reports are consistent from one report to the other. So, yes, I don't type every word, but as far as preparing the entire report myself which is how I took your question to be, then the answer to that is yes. I didn't have somebody else working on this report. I did it all myself.

- Q. For example, if we were to look at page one of your report, the first paragraph is new because that's the way you have to do the intro describing what this case is, right?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. The next two paragraphs on page one are a cut and paste from your other reports. Yes?
- A. Uh, for the most part, yes, that's my methodology. So, I use the same methodology for all evaluations. So, while I may edit that as time goes on minor for the most part. Once I prepared it initially, I've used the same thing from one report to the other.
- Q. And then on page two, everything on page two is the same from previous reports that you have issued. Yes? It's a cut and paste?
- A. Uh, not fully. As far as my -- when I list a summary of my qualifications, then I -- there is a portion of that information I bring out that's as far as I highlight certain aspects of my qualifications that are

one report 1 document, I don't recall. I've done it both ways.

Q. On page three of your report, the only thing different on -- for this report than you used in your other reports is the paragraph "BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF INJURY EVENT," right?

Page 36

Page 37

- A. Well, you're -- if you're lumping all my other reports into one heading, then I would say all of my questions going back would be, no, not all my reports follow this same format, but the majority of them do follow a similar format. That's just how I do my reports. So, of the reports similar to this, then, yes, the only thing on this page that would be different for the most part would be the "BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF INJURY EVENT."
 - Q. And everything in this paragraph (indicating), the "BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF INJURY EVENT," is what you got from the lawyer, right?
- A. No. I had received all the (pointing) -- all this information, discovery documents prior to preparing the report. So, again as far as describing the incident event I had photographs, I had the incident report from the apartment complex, I had the handwritten statement which I now know to be from Mr. Aguilar's daughter. I also had some e-mail correspondence that discussed what occurred. So, I had all that information prior to

Page 35

more specific to my evaluation in a particular case. So, that may change depending on the subject matter.

- Q. So, just minor editing?
- A. Uh, yes. I mean it's just essentially outlining a summary of my qualifications. So, that really hasn't changed much.
- Q. So, when you're actually typing up or preparing this report that you've issued that we've attached as Exhibit 1, do you start with a report that you issued in another slip and fall case and edit that report for Aguilar?
- A. Uh, sometimes if I -- if I, uh, have, you know, similar reports. I either may insert some of that information or just use that report as a beginning -- as a starting point and then delete and edit as necessary.
- Q. I mean, that's what you did in this case. You used another -- a report from another case as a starting point and edited that other report to make it the Aguilar case. Yes?
- A. I don't recall exactly what method. I prepare a lot of reports. As far as what method I may have used with this, I do agree that not all of this information was typed originally for this report, but how I -- whether I took -- started with the previous report or just copied some of the other reports into a new

preparing the report.

Q. When you write in "BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF INJURY EVENT" that it's generally understood that on October 11th, 2013, what's generally understood?

A. Well, that's -- essentially, I put that in there so that I'm not sitting here quoting all the information from the file. This is -- I reviewed the file and this is my general summary or synopsis just to give the reader an orientation of what happened. I'm not trying to quote every word and every document I reviewed. It's my general understanding based on the materials I reviewed.

- Q. The third sentence you write that "Due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment, Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space to a handicap reserved parking space near Mr. Aguilar's apartment unit as an accommodation." Did I read that right?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Where are you getting that from?
- A. I was actually trying to remember this morning where I got that from. I thought I remembered reviewing a document relative to that or -- I don't remember exactly where I got that. I couldn't find. But either they apparently -- 'cause they knew of his disability based on the documents I reviewed. So, it's either they created the handicapped parking space or they assigned



Page 38

him a unit that was near a pre-existing parking space. As I sit here, I don't know what case the scenario was.

Q. You don't know one way or the other what they did, do you?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- Q. Do you agree with me that words have meaning in your expert reports? Do you agree with that?
 - A. Words have meanings. I agree.

- Q. Yes. And you wrote that "Due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment, Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space ..." So, let's stop there at that clause. What evidence do you have anywhere in your file that Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment?
- A. Well, I have information relative to their knowledge of his disability and he was assigned an apartment that was either near a pre-existing space or that space was converted. Part of that's physical evidence meaning that it was the same, that the parking space dimensions are the same as all the other parking spaces in that area. The only thing different is that they added a sign and they added a symbol on the concrete. So, it was not done anything special.

So, that's just based on the information I have. That's the reason I put it's my general

near a pre-existing handicapped parking space.

Q. Okay. So, tell me where in your report you wrote that he was assigned an apartment near an existing parking space. Where is that?

Page 40

Page 41

- A. (Witness reading.) Well, in that same sentence -- as far as you're just asking where I state that it's near his apartment?
- Q. No. You said that it was either one of two things. Either Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space to a handicapped reserved parking space due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment or he was assigned an apartment near an existing handicapped parking space, right? You said those only two things, one or the other. Yes?
- A. Well, or just by chance -- I mean all I -- I was -- I know what apartment number he used or that he was living in for 10 years and I know where the handicapped parking space was that he had been using during that time. So, as far as the proximity, I mean that's based on physical evidence I mean in looking at it.
- Q. You don't know whether Alliance converted a parking space to handicapped, an existing parking space to a handicapped space, do you?
 - A. As I sit here, no, not without information from

Page 39

understanding. That may change as more information is provided. I don't have testimony from the apartment complex representatives at this point. So, as this -- if they are deposed in this case, then my understanding may change. That's the reason I put it's my general understanding at this time as I prepare the report. That's based on the information I had.

O. Okay. My question is what evidence do you have

- Q. Okay. My question is what evidence do you have that due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment, Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space to a handicapped reserved parking space?
- A. I can't answer that question any better than what I've just answered it.
- Q. You haven't answered it. So, tell me what documents you have in front of you as part of your file, what evidence you have in front of you that shows that Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space to a handicapped reserved parking space due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. As I sit here, I can't point to a specific document. Again, that's just a -- that's the reason I refer to this as my general understanding. As I'm sitting here, I'm saying it was either they converted an existing parking space or he was assigned an apartment

them. That's correct.

Q. You don't know why Mr. Aguilar was assigned the apartment that he had, do you?

A. Again, I have not received testimony from the representatives of the apartment. So, no, that's -- that's typical in the apartment complex management world as far as if you have a disabled resident, potential tenant that you either provide an accommodation with a parking spot or you place them in a unit that's near an existing parking space. So, I don't have specific information from this complex on how they manage that situation, but that is what's typical in the industry.

Q. So, when you wrote in your report on page three that "Due to Mr. Aguilar's mobility impairment, Alliance Residential converted an existing parking space to a handicap reserved parking space near Mr. Aguilar's apartment unit as an accommodation," you made that up, right?

MR. McALPINE: Object to form.

A. No, I did not make that up. That's based on the evidence that I have. That's what it appears from the physical evidence because basically all they did was put up a sign in a parking space that was the same as all the others and that's the same thing that I viewed at other spaces in their complex.



Page 42 Page 44 1 Q. When did they put up the sign? 1 A. (Witness reading.) Estimating from my 2 A. I don't have that information. 2 measurements about 70 feet. 3 3 Q. When did they paint that parking space to make O. And within that 70 -- within a 70-foot radius or 4 it a handicapped parking space? 4 a radius of 70 feet, how many handicapped parking spaces 5 5 A. According to Mr. Aguilar, I believe he said two were there? 6 6 or three years prior to his incident. A. Including the one he normally parked in? 7 7 Q. Yeah, but you didn't know any of that before you Q. Yes. 8 issued your report, right? You didn't know what he said 8 A. Just those two. 9 Q. And within a radius of 70 feet, how many 9 one way or the other, did you? 10 10 parking -- handicapped parking spaces were there from A. That's correct. 11 Q. You have no evidence that they did anything in 11 around Mr. Aguilar's apartment unit? MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. connection with that parking space to make it an 12 12 13 accommodation for Mr. Aguilar, do you? 13 A. I think I got lost in your question. Can you 14 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 14 A. As far as what they may have done specifically 15 15 Q. That's all right. I'll rephrase. If you're 16 to that parking space? 16 standing at Mr. Aguilar's apartment unit where he lived, 17 Q. Yes, for Mr. Aguilar as an accommodation. You 17 within a radius of 70 feet how many handicapped parking 18 don't know, do you? 18 spaces were there? A. Not at this time, no. 19 19 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 20 Q. You then write on page 3 that "... at the time 20 A. To my knowledge just one, the one that he 21 of Mr. Aguilar's arrival to the apartment complex from 21 normally parked in. 22 work on October 11th, 2013, the parking space was in the 22 Q. You've read Mr. Aguilar's testimony now that 23 process of being repainted as general maintenance." 23 there was another handicapped parking space 30 feet or so 24 Where did you get that from? 24 away from where he parked? 25 A. Uh, from the incident report and the e-mail 25 A. Well, if he was referring to the, uh, Page 43 Page 45 1 1 correspondence that was I assume produced through handicapped parking space at the leasing office, then his 2 2 estimate of the distance would be incorrect. discovery. 3 3 Q. You then write that "Accordingly, Mr. Aguilar Q. And your estimate of the distance is what? 4 parked in the nearest available parking space to his 4 A. Based on my measurements I took -- I didn't take 5 5 apartment unit, which was just across the driveway from a direct measurement, but trying to add the measurements the handicap space that was being ..." repainted. Is 6 I did take, I would estimate about 70 feet. 6 7 7 that what you wrote? Q. How many parked -- handicapped parking spaces 8 8 A. Yes. were there along this same strip of parking spaces where 9 9 Mr. Aguilar's apartment unit was located? Q. How many other handicapped parking spaces were 10 there in that area around Mr. Aguilar's apartment? 10 A. Well, there was a space at a -- at another 11 A. Well, can you further define what you mean by 11 building that's a little further down on that same side, that area? How big an area are you speaking of? 12 12 but I didn't continue any further past that one. That Q. In the immediate vicinity that he could have one (pointing) was approximately -- that was probably --13 13 14 used. 14 based on my measurements that would be close. It would 15 A. Well, again that's a loose term. I mean that 15 be about 73 feet from the (pointing) space that he 16 was -- the one that he had been using for ten years was 16 normally utilized. 17 Q. Okay. So, within 73 feet of the space that he 17 the closest one to his apartment complex. The nearest --18 the next nearest one was over across the driveway at the 18 normally utilized, there were 2 other handicapped parking 19 leasing office. 19 spaces? 20 A. Based on my estimating of distance to the 20 Q. How far away? 21 21 A. How far away from the other parking space or leasing office then, yes. 22 from his apartment unit? 22 Q. And you don't know whether there were any others 23 along the same strip of parking spaces near his unit. 23 Q. How far away from the parking space where he 24 would often park and couldn't on the day of this incident 24 A. I did not go further than the one that was 73 feet away. His building as you enter the entrance was 25 according to him was another handicapped parking space? 25



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 46

- 1 the first (indicating) unit on the right. So, you'd have 2 to go across (indicating), you know, the entrance 3 driveway with two gates before you got to the other side 4 of the complex. So, again I didn't go further than that
- 5 distance of 73 feet of that same side of the road that he 6 was on. So, I don't know what may have been further 7 around in that complex.
 - Q. You then -- you continue on on page three by writing that "... Mr. Aguilar parked in the nearest available parking space to his apartment unit, which was just across the driveway from the handicap space that was being painted." Where did you get that information from?
 - A. I believe that was information that was relayed at the time -- at this time from, uh, Mr. McAlpine through his conversations with Mr. Aguilar.
 - Q. The lawyer told you?
- 17 A. Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

18

19

20

21

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Q. The next sentence is that "The available parking space bordered a curb and grassy area along the driver's side of the vehicle." Where are you getting that from?
- A. From my inspection of the site.
- 22 Q. Next sentence, "Mr. Aguilar exited his vehicle, 23 and due to the narrowness of the parking space and the
- limited space between his vehicle and the curb, it is 24
- 25 understood Mr. Aguilar was attempting to maneuver in the

received.

- Q. What photographs? I'm talking about on the day of this incident. You don't know how far he parked his car away from the curb, do you?
- A. Uh, and maybe I'm -- maybe I could be misinterpreting as some of the file, but I was thinking that some of these photographs were taken as part of the incident report (indicating).
 - Q. I'm just asking you what you know.
- A. It'd be based on the photographs showing a blue car with a handicapped license plate that's parked in the space, if those are not from the -- if that's not his car, which he did describe as a blue car. So, that's what I was basing what -- how he was parked. And also you can only park if you're within the lines. It's an eight foot space. So, you don't really have much room to park if you park within the actual parking space.
 - Q. Oh, so that space where he parked is 96 inches?
- A. Close. It would be a little less than 94 to the center line.
- Q. So, when you write on page five of your report in the second to last paragraph that "The parking space Alliance Residential provided for Mr. Aguilar was 92 inches wide, and had no access aisle," which space are you referring to?

Page 47

- limited space requiring him to negotiate the curb as he
- 2 opened the back door of his vehicle to retrieve ..." the
- 3 "walker from the backseat, and while attempting to remove
- 4 his walker, Mr. Aguilar lost his grasp of the walker,
- 5 causing him to lose his balance and fall backwards
- 6 landing on the curb, which is understood to have resulted
- 7 in injury, to include paralysis." There's a lot of
- 8 information in that one sentence. Where did you get any 9
 - of that information from, the lawyer?
 - A. Most all of that information -- part of that was my assessment. The first part of the sentence as far as the size, narrowness of the parking space and the limited space between the vehicle and the curb, that was based on my inspection. The rest of that information as far as retrieving his walker and attempt to remove it, that was through the incident report and the handwritten statement that I was supplied.
 - Q. So, what you came up with is that -- is the part of that sentence that says, "... due to the narrowness of the parking space and the limited space between his vehicle and the curb ..." Is that right?
 - A. Yes, based on my inspection.
- 23 Q. You don't know how close he parked his car to 24 that curb, do you?
 - A. Only based on the photographs that I had

Page 49

Page 48

- 1 A. Actually both of them. That was -- I guess that 2 was a transcribing error in my report because I had
- 3 measured the space to the interior dimension of the line. 4 The space he normally parked in was 92 inches to that
- 5 point and the space where he parked on the date of the
- 6 incident was 91 and 9/16ths inches, but there is a 4-inch 7 line. So, to the centerline would add another two
- 8 inches. So, it would be approximately 94 inches.
- 9 Q. So, when you wrote on page 5 of your report that 10 it was 92 inches, that was a mistake?
- 11 A. Well, I transcribed it wrong from my notes and 12 failed to incorporate the extra two inches to the centerline of the four-inch line. 13
 - Q. Okay. We call that a mistake. Was that a mistake?
 - A. Uh, yes, it's a transcription mistake in my report.
 - Q. Another mistake that you made in connection with the report that you issued is concluding that the ADA even applied to the parking spaces in this case, true?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. Well, apply can be used in two different ways. So, in my opinion it does apply by content and the subject matter, but from -- if you're talking about enforcement from some governmental entity, then, no, it



Page 50 1 1 would not be enforced in this particular situation except 2 2 at the parking space for the leasing office because 3 3 that's a public accommodation. 4 Q. Where do you have in your report that the ADA 4 5 5 doesn't apply for enforcement purposes? 6 6 A. Well, I didn't list that out. I just -- I mean 7 7 in paragraph 3 on page 5 is where I speak of the ADA, the 8 Texas Accessibility Standards, and ANSI A117.1. 8 9 9 Q. Do you agree that the Americans with Disabilities Act does not apply to the apartment complex 10 10 11 parking spaces in this case, Broadstone New Territory 11 12 12 Apartments? 13 13 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 14 A. It applies by subject matter and content, but as 14 15 I stated before, as far as being enforceable by some type 15 16 16 of governmental entity, then no. 17 Q. When you say it applies by subject matter and 17 18 content but not by enforcement, what do you mean? 18 19 19

A. Well, we're speaking of an accessible parking space and the ADA is a well researched and authoritative resource for the design of accessibility components to include accessible parking spaces. So, it's an authoritative resource that if you're wanting to design an accessible route or accessible parking space no matter

whether some governmental entity is going to force you to

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 52

public accommodation but for the parking space that we're speaking of that Mr. Aguilar had been using for 10 years, then as a enforceable requirement, that's correct. It does not apply.

Q. And you knew that from the very beginning.

A. Yes.

Q. And you never advised the court or counsel anywhere in this written report that the ADA did not apply to the parking spaces from an enforcement standpoint.

A. Well, also I did not state in there that -- I did not say that it didn't, but I also did not say that it did. So, I guess that's why we have depositions to clarify the information. I did inform during our conversation Mr. McAlpine.

Q. And when did you inform him of that? A. I believe we discussed that in our initial conversation.

Q. So, you'd agree with me that there's no way that there could ever be a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act by the defendant in this case because the ADA doesn't apply as far as violations or enforcement are concerned to this apartment complex parking lot.

A. I don't agree with that because it does apply and can be enforced at places of public accommodation to

Page 53

Page 51

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 do so, if I want to go and even if at my house I want to 2 put in an ADA or accessible parking space, that would be 3 a viable resource to go to from a design perspective to 4 say how do I need to design this and install an ADA 5 parking space because that's specifically the subject 6 matter that that particular resource contains. So, by 7 the content it would be directly applicable to the 8 evaluation design of an accessible parking space no 9 matter whether a governmental entity is going to force me 10 to install the space at my home or if I'm doing it just 11 because I want to because I have a need for that. 12 Q. When did you first figure out that the ADA --13 compliance with the ADA was not required for this

apartment complex? A. Well, I knew that before I ever -- the first conver- -- I've known that for a long period of time well before this case ever came into being.

Q. Okay. So, your testimony under oath today is that you knew from the moment you were contacted in connection with this case that the Americans with Disabilities Act did not apply to the parking spaces at the apartment complex. MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. Well, to clarify, as an enforceable requirement except at the leasing office because that is considered a 1 include their leasing office.

> Q. Okay. I'm going to make it more specific then. And I understand that. You're saying it applies by the leasing office, but as far as where Mr. Aguilar was parking, both the space he intended to park in and the space that he actually parked in, the ADA did not have to -- requirements did not have to be followed by Alliance Residential, true?

A. From an enforcement standpoint, that's correct.

Q. And when you say from an enforcement standpoint, you mean that the apartment complex owner, Alliance Residential, could never be deemed to have violated the ADA in connection with Mr. Aguilar's parking space and his accident?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. As I understand your question as far as -- I would say, yes, if you're speaking from whether they could be fined or forced to create those spaces. That's correct.

Q. So, if there's been an allegation in the complaint in this case that there was a violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act, that's not true, correct?

A. Well, it depends on how they intended that in their wording of a violation. I mean is it a violation



Page 54 Page 56 1 of their provisions of that act, yes, but from an 1 that's true. 2 enforcement standpoint, no. 2 Q. Okay. And the follow-up question is that the 3 3 Q. There's an allegation in the complaint in this ADA Accessibility Guidelines did not apply, were not 4 case that the conduct of the defendant, Alliance 4 applicable to that, uh -- to the parking space at issue, 5 Residential, violates 42 U.S.C. (A) Section 12182 in its 5 right? 6 6 implementing regulations. Is that true or not? A. Well, it depends on how you use the term 7 7 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. applicable. From an enforcement standpoint, that's 8 A. What was the, uh, site again? 8 correct, but by content, yes, they are applicable. 9 Q. When you're saying by content they're 9 Q. 42 U.S.C. (A) Section 12182. 10 A. I don't know. I did not prepare the complaint. 10 applicable, you mean that if somebody wanted to actually So, I'd need to look at that to see what the content was 11 make it comply with the ADA, they could, but they didn't 11 for that particular provision. 12 12 have to, right? 13 Q. There's an allegation that the defendant's 13 A. Right. There's no entity that would require 14 conduct in failing to provide an accessible handicapped 14 them to do that in this situation. parking space was discriminatory and denied the plaintiff 15 15 Q. And then it never, ever could be a violation of the opportunity to park safely and walk to and from his 16 16 the ADA by the defendant in this case in connection with place of residence safely under the Americans with 17 17 the parking spaces that Mr. Aguilar used on the day or 18 Disabilities Act. True or not? 18 intended to use on the day of the incident, right? 19 19 A. From a statutory standpoint that you're speaking A. I did not evaluate this as from a discrimination 20 standpoint. So, I'm not offering opinions as far as 20 of, that's correct, yes, unless the law changes, but as 21 discrimination. 21 of now, no. 22 Q. In any respect? 22 Q. And paragraph 36 of the amended complaint that 23 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 23 says: The aforementioned conduct violates 42 U.S.C. (A) Section 12182 and its implementing regulations, that's A. As far as using those terms, that's correct. 24 24 25 Q. The defendant's voluntary construction 25 not true, is it? Page 55 Page 57 renovation, repair and/or alteration activities on the 1 1 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 2 day in question failed to conform with ADA Accessibility 2 A. As far as the 42 U.S.C. (A) 12182, I'd have to 3 Guidelines according to the amended complaint. Is that 3 look at that on there. Those numbers are not coming to 4 4 the top of my head at the moment. So, I -true or not? 5 Q. You're an expert in this stuff. You don't know 5 A. Just so I can -- do you mind if I pull out my 6 6 what that statute says? copy of that --7 7 Q. Oh, sure. A. Not off the top of my head. I --8 Q. You're an expert in the ADA, aren't you? 8 A. -- so I can read along with you so I can get a better understanding of what you're reading from? You're 9 9 A. Well, the 42 -- 36 -- again by that numbering 10 reading from the original petition? 10 system, I don't recognize that as far as from the ADA Q. The amended. 11 11 standpoint. A. Okay. So, where are you at in here? Sorry. 12 12 Q. You have no idea what that statute says. Q. Okay. It starts on the bottom of page four. MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 13 13 This is under Count 2, Violation of the Americans with 14 14 A. Again, unless -- if that's a -- 'cause sometimes 15 Disabilities Act. Do you see that? 15 things can be in the U.S. code that are equivalent to 16 A. Yes. 16 something else in federal requirements. So, do I know 17 what the content is? Possibly. But I don't recognize 17 Q. Paragraph 35. A. I agree with paragraph 35. that numbering. I mean I deal with thousands of 18 18 19 Q. You agree with it? 19 provisions and building codes, accessibility standards, 20 20 A. Yes. federal workplace standards, and to memorize every Q. From a standard standpoint but not from a specific number without having what the particular 21 21 22 violation standpoint, true? 22 provision is saying, I can't say. I mean they all start A. Well, as its written as far as that their 23 running together to some degree. 23 24 voluntary construction, renovation, repair or alteration 24 So, without seeing the content do I know failed to conform with ADA Accessibility Guidelines, about it? Possibly. But that's the reason I'm saying 25 25



Page 58 Page 60 1 just looking at the numbers, they're -- I don't -- I'm 1 that the ADA nonetheless still allows isolated or 2 not remembering those particular numbers. 2 temporary interruptions in service or access? 3 3 O. You can't tell us what it says. A. I may have to have you repeat that question, but 4 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 4 going back to your previous one just to clarify my 5 A. You're asking me as far as off the top of my 5 answer, as far as maintenance, do they address how the б 6 head what the -- this particular provision in the U.S.C. maintenance is done or when? No. But part of providing 7 7 (A) says? Off the top of my head, no, I don't have it an accessibility component between the parking spaces is 8 8 maintaining them. So, you can't just provide it on day memorized. 9 9 Q. Do you agree with me that the apartment complex one and never do anything for the rest of the time 10 at issue in this case was not required to comply with the 10 because essentially that -- it essentially goes away 11 ADA or the Texas Accessibility Standards? 11 because of lack of maintenance. So, is there maintenance MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 12 12 required, yes, but it doesn't go into detail about how it should be done. 13 A. As you state your question, I don't agree with 13 14 that. 14 Q. Do you agree with me that even though the ADA 15 didn't apply to the parking space where he intended to 15 Q. So, tell me what provision under the ADA or the 16 Texas Accessibility Standards that required as a matter 16 park on the day of the incident or the parking space 17 of law the defendant, Alliance Residential, to comply 17 where he actually parked, that the ADA nonetheless still 18 with either the ADA or the Texas Accessibility Standards. 18 allows isolated or temporary interruptions in service or A. Any place of public accommodation is covered by 19 19 access? 20 the ADA and the Texas Accessibility Standards. So, when 20 A. Again, I assume you're talking about from a 21 you mention the apartment complex, generally there are 21 statutory standpoint as far as application, but there can requirements, but if you're limiting it to that 22 22 be temporary interruption in -- in service as long as particular parking space, then no. 23 23 it's done reasonably. Q. Let me ask a better question, and that's 24 24 Q. Do you agree with me that in connection with 25 actually a fair answer. What you're talking about again 25 Mr. Aguilar's accident, that there was no violation of Page 59 Page 61 is the parking space near the leasing office because 1 the ADA or the Texas Accessibility Standards by Alliance 1 2 that's a public accommodation, right? 2 Residential? 3 3 A. Yes. A. From a statutory standpoint, I would agree with 4 Q. Let's limit it to the parking space where 4 that, yes. 5 5 Mr. Aguilar intended to park that was being painted and MR. McALPINE: Can we go off the record for 6 a second? Well, I need to take a break. 6 then the parking space where he actually parked at the 7 7 time of the incident. Okay? MR. HASSINGER: Absolutely. 8 8 A. Okay. (Short recess) 9 9 Q. Okay. We're back on the record, Mr. English. Q. Do you agree with me that the defendant, 10 Alliance Residential, was not required to comply with the 10 I'm still looking at the amended complaint and this is on 11 ADA or the Texas Accessibility Standards as far as those 11 page five. It's count three, the violation of the Texas two parking spaces are concerned? 12 12 Accessibility Standards. Do you see that? A. That's correct. 13 13 14 Q. Do you agree with me that the identification of 14 Q. Do you agree with me that there has been no 15 accessible spaces was not required under the ADA? 15 violation of the Texas Accessibility Standards in 16 A. Are we still talking about limiting those two 16 connection with this accident? 17 17 parking spaces? A. If you're speaking from a statutory standpoint, 18 Q. Yes, sir. 18 19 A. Then, yes, that's correct. 19 Q. And you've dealt with a lot of premises 20 Q. Do you agree with me that the Fair Housing Act 20 liability cases, true? does not address maintenance of parking spaces? 21 A. Yes. 21 A. I would say generally that's correct. 22 22 Q. Would you agree with me that there was no defect Q. Do you agree with me that even though the ADA in the premises that caused Mr. Aguilar's accident? 23 23 24 didn't apply to those two parking spaces, the one where 24 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. A. I don't agree with that. 25 he intended to park and the one where he actually parked. 25



Page 62 Page 64 Q. In your opinion where do you offer any opinion 1 Q. And the reason you disagree is because the 1 2 whatsoever in your report that we've attached as Exhibit 2 parking space that he decided to park in didn't have an 3 3 1 that there was a defect in the premises? access aisle, right? 4 A. I don't typically use the term defect. So, 4 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 5 5 likely it doesn't use that term in there. But I did A. Well, none of the handicapped parking spaces I 6 6 outline my opinions relative to what I thought was viewed had access aisles except for the one at the 7 7 lacking or wrong with the premises. leasing office. 8 Q. For example, in paragraph or -- paragraph three 8 Q. You only viewed the two. 9 9 or opinion and conclusion three on page five of your A. There are three in that area. 10 report, that's where you talk about the Americans with Q. Or three. Yes? 10 Disabilities Act or ADA and the Texas Accessibility 11 A. That's correct. 11 12 Standards, true? 12 O. You viewed three? 13 A. Among other things, yes. 13 A. In that area, yes. 14 Q. And you opined that "... other than installing 14 Q. And you don't know whether the -- whether there 15 the handicap reserved signage, Alliance Residential 15 were other handicapped parking spaces available for 16 failed to provide a space that complies with the safe 16 Mr. Aguilar to use on the day of this incident, do you? 17 design" of ... accessible parking space -- "of a 17 A. Uh, there were none for his building. 18 accessible parking space as published within the 18 Q. Because the one for his building was being 19 Americans with Disabilities Act - Standards for 19 painted at the time. 20 Accessible Design, the Texas Accessibility Standards, or 20 A. Right. And my understanding based on his 21 ANSI A117.1 - Accessible and Usable Buildings and 21 testimony is that the only -- that there were none other 22 Facilities." Did I read that correctly? 22 available. 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. That's not what he said in his deposition. 24 24 O. But we now know as we've covered in this A. It is. 25 deposition that the parking spaces at issue in this case 25 Q. Where is it? Page 65 did not have to comply with the ADA, Texas Accessibility 1 A. (Witness reading.) On page 30 speaking --1 2 Standards, or ANSI, true? 2 answering whether there was a handicapped parking space 3 3 A. True from a statutory standpoint relative to the available, he says, "I believe it was taken. ... 4 ADA and the Texas Accessibility Standards, but ANSI 4 Because if it had been available, I believe I would have parked there rather than ..." a "regular one because I A117.1 is enforceable through the Fair Housing Act. 5 5 Q. And ANSI A117.1, Accessible and Usable Buildings 6 had done that before," is what he answered. I don't know 6 7 7 and Facilities says what? if you want me to keep reading, but that's where I'm A. Well, it says a lot of things. Are you speaking 8 8 basing my information from. 9 9 of relative to parking? Q. Yeah, you didn't read the rest of it? 10 Q. Relative to the reason that you cited it in your 10 A. Yes. You want me to continue? 11 11 Q. No, I'm asking whether before you just made that 12 A. Well, one, it speaks to the requirement for an 12 statement under oath a minute ago, whether you had access aisle, not only a 96-inch wide or 8-foot parking 13 actually read the rest of his testimony. 13 14 space, but also in combination with that also required is 14 A. I read his testimony, yes. 15 a minimum 60-inch wide access aisle relative to the 15 Q. And you read the part of his testimony on page 16 accessible parking space as far as that requirement. And 16 30 where I asked him this question, "You're saying you that's also outlined in the Fair Housing Act. 17 believe you would have, but is it fair to say that you 17 18 Q. So, a 60-inch access aisle? 18 don't know whether that one was available or not?" And 19 A. Yes. 19 he answered, "I don't know." 20 Q. That's the reason that you cited ANSI A117.1 in 20 A. Right. It was his recollection three years after the incident, but he also -- I can't ignore what he 21 connection with this case? 21 22 A. That's correct. 22 had on page 30 in the same sentence.



23

24

25

question.

23

24

25

A. I disagree.

Q. The lack of an access aisle that's 60 inches

wide had nothing to do with Mr. Aguilar's accident, true?

Q. It's not the same sentence. It's a different

A. It's speaking on the same topic.

Page 66

Q. Do you agree with me that he ultimately testified that he didn't know whether another parking space was available or not?

- A. I'm not disagreeing with that, but he also testified as I mentioned on page 30 that he believed it was taken and gave the reason why, but at his recollection three years after the fact was he can't remember for sure. That's how I read all -- all of it on that line of questioning.
- Q. Okay. So, if there was another handicapped parking space available for him to use, do you agree with me that that's significant in connection with this case?
 - A. It depends on what space was available.
- Q. Let's assume that the space that was available was the one by the leasing office. Do you agree that that's a significant fact?
- A. Uh, yes, in the fact that that would be an alternative for him to park there, although he also testified that generally that's not -- tenants were not supposed to park there, although he had before when his space was not available.
- Q. And he doesn't recall whether the other handicapped parking space was available, too, either, does he?
- A. Well, I mean his testimony -- we already

Page 67

- reviewed what his testimony was, but it wouldn't matter relative to access aisle because that didn't have an access aisle either. So, it was not compliant as well.
- Q. But the access aisle didn't cause him to fall, did it?
 - A. I disagree.
- Q. Because again he parked in a space that he chose to park in that didn't have an access aisle, right?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. Because based on the evidence likely there was not an alternative, a viable alternative available.
- Q. Based on what evidence there likely was not a viable alternative available?
- A. His testimony and also just the -- the physical availability at the parking space. There was only one parking space that was actually of proper design and that was at the leasing office, which his understanding is that tenants were not supposed to use even though he had in the past because other ones were not available, but there was only one in the entire facility of proper design that I viewed, or other ones I viewed only that one was the proper design.
- Q. And you're basing that opinion on his testimony that he believes he would have parked in another one, but doesn't recall whether it was available or not?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. I mean I read the testimony that I was basing my opinion on. So, yes, the fact that he believes it was taken because otherwise he would have parked there as he had done in the past, but I agree he says he doesn't have a -- three years later he doesn't recall specifically.
- Q. And you also read his testimony where he said that he just simply looked over to the left and saw the first available parking spot and parked there?
- A. Well, that's true, but it happened so that that one at the leasing office was in that same area. He would have passed it before he passed the other one.
- Q. He would have -- he would have passed the other handicapped parking space near the leasing office before he passed which parking space?
- A. The one that he normally parks in or the one that he ultimately parked in. As he entered the facility, you first or you first pass the leasing office before you get to the two parking spaces, the one that he normally parked in (indicating) that's marked as handicapped, and the one that he ultimately parked in (indicating). So, he would have already passed it.
- Q. And where is the third available handicapped parking space?
 - A. About 73 feet down further. That would have

Page 69

Page 68

- been on his right. So, he hadn't got to that one yet.
 - Q. And never did, did he?
- A. I don't know other than the fact that -- I have no evidence that he went that far, but I don't know. That's a good distance down.
- Q. Do you agree with me that if the handicapped parking space that he normally parked in was being painted or wasn't available for some reason, then he should have tried to park in another handicapped parking space before simply looking to his left and parking where he did?
- A. Well, in my opinion the only safe parking space in that area is the one at the leasing office. The one that he was normally parked in was not safe for a person with a disability nor the one further down was safe because neither one of them had an access aisle, which is a very important component and a required component for an accessible parking space.
- Q. How many years had he parked in the parking space that he was intending to park in on the day of the incident?
- A. Well, he'd lived in the apartment complex almost 10 years.
- Q. And how many times did he park in that parking space?



correctly?

Page 70

A. I mean he estimated hundreds, but I don't think anybody has a specific number of times.

Q. And how many times did he fall while using that parking space where he normally parked?

A. To my knowledge, I'm not aware of any.

Q. So, the fact that that parking space had no access aisle never played a role in any accidents with respect to Mr. Aguilar in the past, true?

A. Not to my knowledge, but it would be a significant risk just waiting for the right circumstances to occur.

Q. And if Mr. Aguilar had actually parked in one of the other available handicapped parking spaces, then we wouldn't be here today. Do you agree with that?

A. No. Again, without having an access aisle, it is noncompliant with any accessibility standards relative to parking, whether you're looking at the ADA, the Texas Accessibility Standards, or the ANSI A117 under the Fair Housing Act. It's noncompliant with any of those and so there's an unreasonable risk associated with that parking space whether an accident occurs or not. And so, therefore, if an accident had occurred even in the parking space that he normally parked in, I would still be critical because it didn't have an access aisle and

that's a significant design feature that it was missing.

or ... should have known of their responsibility to exercise reasonable care to establish, monitor, and maintain their commercial multi-family residential premises free of hazards likely to cause serious physical" injury -- "harm to tenants, guests, employees ... and "other persons." Did I read that

Page 72

Page 73

A. As far as that portion of one, yes.

Q. And what was the hazard in connection with Mr. Aguilar's accident, the curb in the nonhandicapped parking space?

A. Well, I stepped a little bit further back than that (indicating) to the degree that they -- in my opinion they did not provide a adequate accommodation for a known disabled tenant at their facility which ultimately led to the space that nearest his apartment unit that was generally designated by signage at least as an accessible parking space being unavailable for his use which ultimately led for him to park in the parking space that he did which was unsafe given his disability.

Q. Alliance Residential didn't provide an accommodation for him as far as a parking space is concerned that he could use. Is that your testimony?

A. For that specific tenant, that's correct.

Q. For that specific tenant in the sense of having

Page 71

Q. As far as ANSI is concerned?

A. Well, of all of the standards that I've mentioned I mean 'cause all those are what I considered good practice or industry standards relative to the safe design of accessible features, whether it be parking ramps, water fountains, whatever it may be, doorways. So, those are all authoritative standards of care. And really ANSI and ADA lead the way as far as the research. Texas Accessibility normally just takes whatever the ADA publishes and puts it in their standards.

Q. And those are for handicapped parking spaces. Yes?

A. As far as the standards themselves?

Q. Yes.

A. Well, that's one component within those standards. They've applied to a lot of different areas.

Q. And he didn't park in a handicapped parking space on the day of this accident, right?

A. That's correct.

Q. And the parking space that he parked in wasn't required to have an access aisle with 60-inches wide, was it?

A. That's true.

Q. Let's talk about your opinions starting with number one. You write that "Alliance Residential knew

a reserved sign up saying Gustavo Aguilar on it?
 A. It doesn't have to say his name, but as far a

A. It doesn't have to say his name, but as far as having a -- if you're providing accommodations for a specific tenant because of his disability, then to have a reserved space for that tenant, whether it be based on the apartment unit or however -- there are different ways you can do the signage, but to reserve it for that tenant, because otherwise if it's just a general accessible space, anybody can park there that comes in that has proper tags, whether it be a visitor, tenant from anywhere in the facility, and then Mr. Aguilar is left just as he was to this day without a place to park by his assigned apartment unit. So, that's where --

Q. He never had an assigned parking space.

A. That's correct. That's my complaint. I said his assigned apartment unit.

Q. He never had an assigned parking space at this complex, true?

A. That's correct.

Q. And there's no statute or standard that required Alliance Residential to provide him with an assigned parking space, correct?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. From a statutory standpoint, that's correct.
- Q. And you don't have any evidence that the parking



Case 4:16-cv-00118 Document 52-9 Filed on 06/19/17 in TXSD Page 21 of 61 Page 74 Page 76 1 space that he usually parked in was a specific A. By legal meaning an enforceable requirement, 1 2 accommodation for Mr. Aguilar, do you? 2 then that's correct. 3 3 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. Q. How long was the contractor painting the parking 4 A. Well, he -- it was known of his disability. He 4 space that Mr. Aguilar was going to park in on the day of 5 was a long-term tenant. So, either it was just a major 5 the incident? б 6 coincidence that his apartment unit was right by that A. As far as the total time he had spent doing 7 7 parking space or it was done intentionally. Hopefully, that, I don't have information on that other than 8 it was done intentionally out of good faith by the 8 information provided in the incident report that said he 9 9 apartment complex either to provide the space or provide had about 10 minutes left. 10 a unit near a space for handicaps. 10 Q. You don't have any other information besides 11 Q. My question was you don't have any evidence that 11 that whatsoever. You don't know whether he had 10 Alliance Residential provided a specific accommodation to 12 12 seconds left, 5 minutes left or 10 minutes left. 13 Mr. Aguilar in particular as far as that parking space is 13 A. I would say based on the fact of the photographs concerned, do you? 14 that were provided show it only half painted, that it 15 would be more than 10 seconds, but more specifically A. Well, that's true, but they should have if they 15 16 16 other than the 10 minutes mentioned in the incident didn't. 17 Q. But there was a parking space there available 17 report, no, I don't have information how long it would --18 for him to use near his unit when he needed to use it, 18 specifically how long it would take him to paint the 19 true? 19 remaining half of the symbol and also to get his 20 A. No. 20 equipment out of the way. I can't give you a specific 21 Q. Because it was being painted at the moment that 21 amount of time other than the apartment complex 22 he drove up? 22 estimating in their incident report 10 minutes. 23 A. Well, on that occasion it was because it was 23 Q. And there's no statute or standard that 24 being painted. On other occasions it's because other 24 prevented Alliance Residential, the defendant in this 25 people were parked there. 25 case, from performing temporary maintenance to that Page 75 Page 77 1 1 particular parking space in the form of painting, true? Q. And --2 2 A. So, it's not always available for him to MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 3 3 A. That's true. 4 Q. And he testified in his deposition that when it 4 Q. Your opinion number two in your report on page 5 5 wasn't available in the past, that he had used other five, you write that to fulfill -- "In order to fulfill 6 handicapped parking spaces on multiple occasions, true? 6 such responsibility, Alliance Residential knew or should 7 7 A. Yes. have known that it is necessary to establish and 8 implement a proper safety program to identify, evaluate, 8 Q. And that was safe practice and a reasonable 9 9 thing for him to do. Yes? and correct hazards with the reasonable potential to 10 A. In my opinion, no. 10 cause serious injury." Did I read that correctly? 11 Q. Okay. So, what standard or statute required 11 A. The first sentence of number two, yes. Alliance Residential to have more than one handicapped Q. Do you know whether Alliance Residential had a 12 12 parking space in front of his unit? 13 safety program in any respect? 13 14 A. There's not a statute or a standard from that 14 A. Only based on the documents I've been provided, 15 standpoint that requires them where somebody's going to 15 yes, they had some safety program. 16 come in and fine the apartment complex for not doing it. 16 Q. Okay. What was it? 17 17 It's more from just an ordinary care, good practice A. Well, I mean it covered several different acts. 18 standpoint of accommodating your tenants at your 18 I mean did it have -- are you speaking more specifically



19

20

21

22

23

24

25

generally?

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

particular complex.

do that whatsoever.

Q. Yes.

Q. So, there was no legal requirement for them to

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. Well, by doing that, you mean providing

additional parking spaces at that particular building?

to providing accessible parking or are you just saying

Q. I don't know what you're saying 'cause I'm

reading opinion number two in your report and it just

vaguely says that they knew or should have known that it

was necessary to establish and implement a proper safety

program. But you don't say anything more in connection

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 78

with what a proper safety program would have been, should have been, or that they failed to do.

- A. Well, I disagree with your assessment of my report because the following sentence outlined what it should be. And then the following paragraph is more specific to Alliance Residential's specific Fair Housing policy and in my opinion that they failed to comply with.
- 8 Q. And that's the paragraph in section two or opinion number two that "... 'Alliance Residential will 9 make every attempt to accommodate a disabled resident." 10 11 Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And how did they not do that in this case?
- A. Well, by multiple ways. One, they did not give him a specific accommodation that would be available to him at all times, meaning a specific spot assigned to
- Q. Okay. Let me stop you there 'cause you continue on in that same sentence 'cause you're quoting from it, from the Fair Housing policy that " ... Requests from a disabled resident, such as a reserved parking space near his or her apartment home, should be accommodated as soon
- 23 as possible." Did I read that correctly?
- A. Yes. 24
- 25 Q. You don't have any evidence that Mr. Aguilar

Page 79

made a request for a reserved parking space, do you?

- A. I don't have information one way or the other at
- Q. And in connection with your opinion number two concerning hazards, the only hazard that you've identified that played any role in Mr. Aguilar's accident is the curb in the parking space that he decided to park in, correct?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. Uh, no, I disagree with that. I list out a number of issues in my report involving, one, the lack of an assigned space specifically available to Mr. Aguilar; of the space that he frequently utilized, it being of improper design without an access aisle; the fact that their knowledge that he commonly and most often utilized that space due to his disability and the location of his apartment unit, failed to inform him that they were going to be performing maintenance so that either he could make proper plans or they could make proper plans and when they performed that maintenance. So, essentially to inform their tenant with a known disability who they knew parked in that space and relied on that space that they were going to be taking it out of service in my opinion

is a serious problem. And then also providing him a

alternative while that space is out of service if they're

not going to schedule it during a time when it's -- like when he's at work, when he's not needing it, or another planned time with their tenant's schedule.

Q. Let's talk about these. The lack of an assigned space. There's nothing as far as statute or standard is concerned that required Alliance Residential to provide him an assigned space, true?

MR. McALPINE: Object to form.

- A. Well, it depends on from what perspective. From ADA and TAS, that's correct. By their own policies it says "... such as a reserved parking space near his or her apartment home ..."
- Q. No, you left out the first part of the sentence, Mr. English. The sentence says, and it's in your report, "... Requests from a disabled resident ..."
 - A. That's true.
 - Q. Why did you leave that out?
- A. Well, 'cause at this time I don't know what requests were there, but regardless of whether requests were made, they knew that he was a disabled resident. He had lived there for 10 years and based on their own documents, it seems like there's no question that they knew of his disability and knew that he relied on that parking space near his residence.
 - Q. And this is my question. Okay? There's no

Page 81

Page 80

statute or standard that required Alliance Residential to provide him with an assigned parking space, true?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. If you're talking about some entity that would come in and fine them or make them do it, then that is
- Q. And you don't have any evidence that he requested a reserved parking space near his or her apartment, do you?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. Not at this time.
 - Q. And there's nothing as far as a statute or a standard is concerned that required Alliance Residential if there was a -- if there was temporary maintenance being performed to notify Mr. Aguilar that that was being done, correct?

MR. McALPINE: Object to form.

- A. Again, are you speaking from a statutory standpoint where you're meaning they could be fined or forced to do it?
 - Q. I'm talking about any statute whatsoever.
- A. Not -- there's not a requirement meaning that they're going to be forced to do that or that they're in violation where they're going to be fined, that's correct, but from a -- from a good safety standpoint as a



Page 82

premises owner of an apartment complex to notify their tenant who they know relies upon such a space, I think that's industry and good practice.

Q. But there's no requirement legally for them to do that, correct?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. All I can speak -- I can't speak legally. I'm not an attorney. But from a standpoint of my knowledge of somebody coming in there and forcing them to do that or fining them in some form or fashion, then no.
- Q. And there's no requirement from a statutory or standard standpoint that for them, Alliance Residential, to have informed him that the parking space was going to be painted on the day of the incident, was there?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. Just so I'm clear, is that somehow different than what your prior question was? I just want to make sure I'm answering it correctly.
- Q. The question I'm asking you now, there's no statute or standard that required Alliance Residential to inform Mr. Aguilar that they were going to be painting his parking space or the parking space that he intended to use on the day of the incident, is there?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. As I understand your question, that's true.

some entity is going to come in there and force them to provide an assigned space or force them to inform him they're having maintenance, but in my opinion that's good safe practice under these circumstances as a premises owner with a disabled tenant at their facility.

Q. And your opinion is based on what standard, treatise, or publication?

A. Well, it's -- I mean it's based on a number of things, one, the Fair Housing Act as far as accommodating residents. And I agree that there's not specific requirements just like building codes don't cover everything in the built environment. Everything in this building is not addressed in the building code, but yet there's established good safe practices that are done even though it may not be in the building codes. And as far as a multifamily apartment premises as far as good safe practice when you're accommodating a disabled resident for their safety, not everything may be published in detail in every -- in the Texas Accessibility Standards, the ADA, or even the Fair Housing Act, but yet it's still safe practice and good practice for that industry in those circumstances.

So, yes, that's the reason to -- I speak in number two about having a safety program to identify hazards on your premises under the circumstances,

Page 83

There's no entity that would require them or force them to do that or fine them for not doing it.

Q. And there's no statute or standard that required Alliance Residential to mark some alternative parking space specifically for him because the one he was going to use was being painted.

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

O. True?

A. As far as requiring that, then that's correct. That's in my opinion just good practice to comply with their own policy.

Q. Good practice according to you, but you can't cite a standard, a publication, or a statute in support of your opinion, correct?

A. I don't agree with that, but I -- you're speaking -- there's two different ways to look at this. One is from a regulatory meaning that some entity, governmental entity has the power to come in there and force them to do something or will require them to do something or fine them for not doing it. There's another aspect. What's required of a good premises owner to accommodate a disabled tenant at their facility so that he can be safe while using and on their premises. So, there's two different things. And I've agreed with you a hundred times that there's no statutory requirement that

Page 85

Page 84

establish policies to put in place so that way you can
have a safe premises for all your tenants whether they're
disabled or not. And so that's kind of what number two
talks about. It goes beyond (indicating) just what's
required by governmental entities as far as safe
practice. And so I'm speaking in terms of both.

O. Okay, Lunderstand what you're saying. You're

Q. Okay. I understand what you're saying. You're saying there's no law that requires them to do it, there's no statute that requires them to do it despite you citing the statutes and the standards in your report, but that it's just a good safe thing for them to do, right?

A. Well, I cite the standards because they failed. Even what they provided was not safe because that -- or I cite the standards as an example and as good practice relative to the safe design providing parking for disabled people. So, that's how I'm utilizing that, not from a statutory standpoint.

Q. And I'm -- my question was tell me a standard, a publication, or a treatise that says it's safe practice for Mr. Aguilar to have been informed that a handicapped parking space was being temporarily taken out of use because it was being painted.

A. Again, that's -- it's not discussed in the references that I've spoken of.



Page 88 Page 86 1 Q. Okay. So, there are none. 1 and also partially on their own policy. 2 A. As far as --2 Q. Based on a request by him, which you don't have 3 3 MR. McALPINE: Objection, form. any evidence of, right? 4 A. As far as you're speaking of in that specific 4 A. In my opinion it doesn't matter whether he 5 detail in these documents, then no. 5 requested it. They had knowledge of his disability. So, 6 6 Q. And in none of the publications that you've they should be wanting to supply -- accommodate him 7 7 referenced as an attachment to your report, it's not -regardless of whether he makes a specific written request 8 8 it's in none of those either, right? or not. 9 9 A. As far as requiring them to inform him of doing Q. And he said that he had been at that apartment 10 complex for 10 years and had only parked in other 10 maintenance --11 11 handicapped parking spaces, what, 10 to 15 times? Q. Yes. 12 A. I think he said up to 20. That was his A. -- on the parking spot? 12 13 13 Q. Yes. estimate. 14 A. That's correct. 14 Q. So, if that's true, then there was no reason to 15 have to provide any other handicapped parking space 15 Q. Or requiring Alliance Residential to provide 16 another specific parking space for him because the one he 16 specifically for him, correct? 17 was using was being painted when they had others 17 A. Well, except for the fact that 1 of those 20 18 available at the time. 18 times led to him being paralyzed. Q. Well, no, it led to him deciding to park in a 19 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 19 20 A. Well, I would say that your last part of that 20 nonhandicapped parking space when others could have been 21 question when others were available at the time is an 21 available, right? 22 22 assumption on your part. A. Well, that's a bit -- I'd say that's a major 23 Q. When others could have been available at the 23 assumption when their evidence states that if one was 24 available, he would have utilized it. 24 time. 25 A. But there's no evidence that others were 25 Q. Did you ever at any point ask the lawyer, the Page 87 Page 89 1 available at the time. 1 only human being you spoke to in connection with your 2 2 report, to talk to Mr. Aguilar? Q. There's no evidence that others weren't 3 3 available either. A. Not at this time, no. 4 4 Q. You didn't? Not at this time. Before you MR. McALPINE: Object to the side bar. 5 5 A. Well, I disagree -issued your report, did you ever ask the lawyer to talk 6 6 to Mr. Aguilar about what actually happened? O. Yes. 7 7 A. -- 'cause there is some evidence from Mr. A. Uh, not that I recall, but I don't recall all of 8 8 Aguilar's testimony that others were not available 'cause our conversations. 9 otherwise he said he would have used them. 9 Q. And there's no standard, statute, publication, 10 Q. But then he said he didn't know. 10 or treatise that provides a temporary alternative 11 A. Well, that's correct, but he said that he didn't 11 reserved space was required for Mr. Aguilar since the 12 remember two years later, but you can't negate his other 12 parking space on the date of the incident was being 13 13 testimony or ignore it. painted, correct? 14 Q. You also write in your report in opinion number 14 A. Not in those specific terms, no. 15 four that "... Alliance ... failed to properly install 15 Q. There's no statute or standard, treatise or 16 signage to reserve the space specifically for their 16 publication that you can cite to us or the court where a tenant, Mr. Aguilar, such as," quote, Reserved Handicap 17 17 temporary alternative reserved space for Mr. Aguilar was 18 Parking - Apartment 12108 only, unquote. Did I read that 18 required because the handicapped parking space on the 19 correctly? 19 date of the accident was being painted, correct? 20 20 A. I'm sorry, you may have to repeat that question. A. As far as the portion that you read, yes. 21 Q. There's no statute or standard, no publication 21 Q. Let me repeat it again. Is there any standard, publication, treatise, or statute that you can cite that 22 or treatise that you can cite to us to support that 22 required a temporary alternative reserved space be 23 requirement, true? 23 24 A. No. That's based on good practice and the 24 provided to Mr. Aguilar when the parking space on the



25

25

practice I've seen in place at other apartment complexes,

date of the incident was being painted?

Page 92 Page 90 1 1 A. In my opinion that is a causative factor. A. Not --2 2 Q. A 60-inch access aisle wasn't required in the Q. Or because the parking space on the date of the 3 3 incident was being painted. nonhandicapped parking space where he decided to park, 4 A. Not by statute, no. 4 correct? 5 Q. Or standard? 5 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 6 6 A. Not specifically, no. A. Well, there's more than one causative factor. I 7 7 O. Or treatise? mean the first causative factor is the fact that the --8 A. I will say that the documents that you're 8 they didn't provide a parking space for him that had an 9 access aisle, period. The one they did provide was not 9 referencing that I have referenced are relative to general handicapped parking spaces for the general 10 available which led to him parking where he did. That 10 11 public, not a specific accommodation for a specific 11 did not have adequate space for him to exit his vehicle 12 tenant. So, we have a little bit different circumstances 12 with a walker which ultimately led to him falling and than what those standards were written. So, again just 13 becoming injured. So, again, they all tie in as far as 13 14 like building codes, they may not cover every detail. 14 being positive factors of his particular fall. 15 So, therefore, that's the importance of having a safety 15 Q. But none of it ties in if there were in fact 16 program to identify hazards, evaluate them, and make 16 other handicapped parking spaces available for him on the 17 proper choices for the safety of the people who are 17 day of the incident, right? 18 utilizing your premises. So, again not everything's 18 A. Well, again, the ones I viewed there was only 19 going to be written in the standards. Sometimes you 19 one that actually had an access aisle which was one that 20 actually have to think beyond them. 20 tenants were not supposed to use and that was at the 21 Q. And there's no treatise or publication that you 21 leasing office. So, he would not have been in any better 22 can cite to us that provides when a parking space, a 22 situation other than not having to deal with the curb if 23 handicapped parking space is being painted, the apartment 23 he had parked in the one if it was available that was complex must provide a temporary alternative reserved further down at a different building. 24 24 25 space for the tenant. 25 Q. So, he was in a much better situation because Page 93 Page 91 1 1 A. That's correct. Unless it will be out of there would have been no curb, right? 2 2 A. That would have been a safer situation than what service for an extended period of time. 3 3 Q. And then you write in opinion number six that he was at the time, but in my opinion it still was not 4 "... Alliance Residential failed to meet the standard of 4 safe because it didn't have an access aisle. 5 5 care necessary to provide a reasonably safe handicap Q. I'm going to ask you if you would identify these 6 parking accommodation for the disabled tenant ..." Did I 6 for me. We have some photographs that were produced to 7 7 read that correctly? us. These are Aguilar 203 through 205, Aguilar 319, and 8 8 A. As discussed within this report, that's correct. then a stack of photographs that have no Bates numbers. 9 9 So we can break these down for us, of the stack that have Q. And the standard of care is not from a statute, 10 any ANSI standard or other standard, publication or 10 no Bates numbers photographs that you took? 11 treatise, right? 11 MR. McALPINE: Can I see these real quick? A. I disagree with that statement. Part of it is 12 THE WITNESS: (Witness complies.) 12 and part of it is not. 13 Q. And these were provided to us in connection with 13 14 Q. What part is? 14 the subpoena. 15 A. The specific requirements for a safe accessible 15 MR. McALPINE: Thank you. Here you go. 16 16 A. All right. This stack that you handed me parking space. appears to be the photographs that I took during my Q. You're talking about the 60-inch access aisle? 17 17 18 A. Yes. 18 inspection. 19 Q. Which didn't cause him to fall on the day of the 19 MR. HASSINGER: Okay. If we could attach 20 20 those as -- all of those as Exhibit 5? accident, right? 21 21 MR. McALPINE: Object to form. (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 5 was marked.) 22 A. I disagree with that. 22 Q. I'll show you another stack of colored Q. The lack of a 60-inch access aisle didn't cause 23 23 photographs. Are these additional photos you took during Mr. Aguilar to fall, did it? 24 your inspection? 24 25 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 25 A. They appear to be photographs I took, but



Page 94 Page 96 whether they're duplicates or additional to what was 1 Q. Out of view (pointing). 1 2 marked as three, I don't -- I'm not sure. 2 A. Yes. Q. It's marked as five. Why don't you --3 3 Q. And his testimony was that he simply looked to 4 4 the left and decided to park in this parking space at the A. Oh, five, I'm sorry. 5 Q. -- just include them all. 5 top right (pointing) of this photograph because it was MR. HASSINGER: Is that okay, Kiernan, one 6 6 available. Yes? 7 7 packet, all of those as Exhibit 5? MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 8 Q. The first one in Exhibit 5, which parking space 8 A. He said he did look to the left and the parking 9 9 is this (pointing)? space to the left, but as far as all of his testimony, 10 A. That is the parking space in front of or 10 I -- I can't regurgitate that. generally serving the leasing office. 11 Q. His testimony was that he simply looked to the 11 MR. HASSINGER: Can we just number them at 12 12 left, saw that parking space available, and decided to 13 the bottom here? 13 park there, true? 14 MR. McALPINE: Be my guest. 14 A. I don't know if that's an accurate -- accurate Q. It's numbered at the bottom. There's 1 through 15 15 reflection of all of his testimony, but in a general 16 28. Number 3 of Exhibit 5, which parking space is this 16 sense that's what ultimately happened is he did park 17 (pointing)? 17 there. 18 A. That is the parking space nearest his particular 18 Q. You read his testimony where he's said that he 19 apartment unit that my understanding is he normally 19 didn't have a conversation with the painting contractor 20 20 in any fashion? parked in. 21 Q. And this is, uh, number nine. What parking 21 A. Yes. 22 space is this, this handicapped parking space 22 Q. You read his testimony where he said he never 23 (indicating)? 23 even rolled down his window to speak to the painting 24 A. In the nearest view in the photograph, it would 24 contractor? 25 be the one that was also shown in whatever that previous 25 A. I read all of his testimony. I didn't commit it Page 95 Page 97 1 number, number three is. It's the one that's nearest his 1 to memory. I know that he said he didn't speak to him. 2 2 I don't recall specifically about the window aspect of apartment unit. 3 3 Q. And then No. 11 (indicating)? 4 A. That's the same parking space. 4 Q. And the painting contractor never told him where 5 Q. Number 15 (indicating)? 5 to park, did he? A. Uh, it's focusing on the parking space that I 6 6 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 7 A. Well, he -- I mean his testimony is that he did 7 understood that he parked in at the time of the incident that would be across the driveway from the parking --8 not talk to him. So, I would say that's correct. 8 9 9 from the space that we just discussed in the previous Q. He testified on pages 28 to 29 that when he 10 photographs. 10 pulled in and saw the space he wanted to park in was 11 Q. And No. 16 (indicating), that's the handicapped 11 being painted, he looked over to his left and saw that 12 one was available. So, he decided to park there. Do you 12 parking space? 13 13 A. Yes. That camera view is directed more toward see that? 14 the handicapped parking space at the leasing office. 14 MR. McALPINE: What's the page and line? 15 Q. So, if we were to look at photograph No. 16, to 15 Q. Pages 28 and 29. Do you see that, Mr. English? 16 the right of the photograph is where he actually parked 16 A. I see where you're reading from, yes. 17 Q. Okay. And the -- if we're again looking at No. 17 (pointing). Yes? 16 to Exhibit 5, where he parked is in the top right A. Yes. 18 18 19 Q. And his testimony is that he saw the gentleman 19 (pointing) and another handicapped parking space is to 20 painting the parking space, the handicapped parking space 20 the left here (pointing) by the leasing office, correct? when he drove in which would have -- which would be to A. Yes. 21 21 22 the right (pointing) or the bottom of this photograph 22 Q. Okay. I'm going to finish up, Mr. English. One (pointing), photograph 16. Yes? 23 other thing. In connection with the documents that you 23 24 A. Yes, it would be to the bottom in that 24 gave us, you have a, uh, a sketch that shows some 25 25 measurements that you took; is that right? photograph.



	Page 98		Page 100
1	A. Yes.	1	access aisle.
2	MR. HASSINGER: If you'd attach that as	2	Q. In connection with what space?
3	Exhibit 6?	3	A. Oh, the, uh in front of the the one
4	Q. Is this it (indicating)?	4	nearest the leasing office, the access aisle for the
5	A. I think that's the second page to it.	5	handicapped parking at the leasing office.
6	Q. Okay. It's two pages.	6	Q. And then this that says 16 feet here, what is
7	A. Yes.	7	that?
8	Q. Do you have any photographs whatsoever that show	8	A. The distance across what I refer to as a parking
9	the tape measure you used and the actual measurements	9	lot island or the grassy area between the space that he
10	that you took?	10	ultimately parked in and then the access aisle for the
11	(Whereupon, Exhibit No. 6 was marked.)	11	handicapped parking space of the leasing office.
12	A. The majority of these measurements, if not all	12	Q. And then this one in the top right is the space
13	of them, were not used with a traditional tape measure.	13	he actually parked in (pointing)?
14	It was a digital distance tool.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And is that one that you roll on the ground or	15	Q. That's not measured?
16	you shoot from point to point?	16	A. It actually is. I had started writing
17	A. It's you shoot it from point to point. It's	17	measurements on a different piece of paper and then I
18	laser.	18	switched to my book. And so the that space (pointing)
19	Q. What's it called?	19	was I measured from the line as 91 and 9/16th's
20	A. I have two of them and I believe I used the	20	inches. The line itself is four inches. So, I should
21	Bosch. I don't know if I have the model number committed	21	have added even to that. It is a mistake in my report.
22	to memory, but I can get that information, but it's a	22	I should have added two inches 'cause it should be to the
23	distance measurer. I could probably find it on the web.	23	centerline of the, uh, actual marked line.
24	Q. So, if you wanted to measure the parking space	24	Q. What are you referring to?
25	where he actually parked, how do you physically how	25	A. What am I referring to?
	Page 99		Page 101
1	did you physically do that with that tool that you have	1	Q. Right now. To those notes?
2	or you were using?	2	A. Those handwritten notes, yes.
3	A. Uh, his foot which parking space were you	3	Q. We didn't receive those. Did you give those,
4	referring? Either?	4	produce those to us?
5	Q. Any of them?	5	A. Uh, I'm not sure. If I didn't, it was
6	A. Well, as far as the width, I measured from the	6	oversight. I intended to.
7	interior (indicating) of the line	7	Q. Can I see
8	Q. Can I interrupt you on purpose? I'm talking	8	A. Sure.
9	about physically how did you do that? Are there things	9	Q those if you don't mind?
10	you lay down and shoot from point to point?	10	A. (Witness complies.) You know, I meant to
11	A. It could be depending on if there's something	11	transfer those on these other graphical or graphic paper
12	like I could use if I'm getting the width, I can use	12	note, but I guess I failed to do that when I returned to
13	the curb as the end point. So, that would be one. If	13	the office.
14	there's not, then I have a little tool that I can sit	14	Q. It says: From SI:. What's SI?
15	down like a little tripod (indicating) that I would use	15	A. Let me let me see how I have it.
16	that as the measurement.	16	MR. McALPINE: You know
17	Q. What did you do?	17	A. Oh
18	A. I used the curb for some of the measurements and		MR. McALPINE: I'm sorry. Go ahead.
19	other of the measurements I used my little tripod tool to		A. SI stands for site inspection.
20	get it.	20	MR. McALPINE: Let me go ahead and copy that
21	Q. So, if we're looking at Exhibit 6, what are the	21	before we label it.
22			
	spaces here (pointing)? Can you identify these for us?	22	MR. HASSINGER: I'm not going to label it,
23	If we go to the top left, what space is that (pointing)?	23	the original.
23 24 25			



Page 102 Page 104 1 Q. Reserve HC space (pointing), what is that? MR. HASSINGER: Can we make a copy of this 1 2 A. Let me look -- can I look at that real quickly? 2 and attach this as Exhibit 7? 3 3 Uh, that is -- would be -- I'm referring to the one that (Whereupon, Exhibit No. 7 was marked.) 4 4 he normally would park in that's nearest his apartment Q. Do you have any other notes or anything else 5 unit. 5 that you didn't give to us in connection with the 6 6 Q. What's HC? subpoena that we issued? 7 7 A. A short acronym for handicapped. A. Well, I thought I had provided everything. If I 8 8 Q. And you have the width as seven feet, eight didn't provide those, then I guess it was oversight, but 9 9 inches. apparently you have other inspection notes. I think 10 A. Yes. 10 that's the only handwritten items I have. 11 Q. How much is seven feet, eight inches? 11 Q. Do you have any other inspection notes? 12 12 A. Ninety-two inches. A. No, I don't. Those are the only two things. 13 Q. That's the space that he normally parked in? 13 Q. Mr. DiNicola in his report writes that the 14 A. Wait, I'm sorry. Let me -- let me make sure I'm 14 accessible space was 86 -- 97 inches wide, 8 feet 1 inch 15 looking at it. Yes, that's correct. 15 wide. Do you see that? 16 Q. And you measured it as being 92 inches? 16 A. Yes. 17 A. Yes, from the interior edge of the white line to 17 Q. Do you disagree with that measurement? 18 the curb. And that's also measured in approximately the 18 A. Uh, well, as far as the 97 inches, yes, because 19 area where an individual would be entering and exiting 19 that's to the far end of the line (indicating). So, for 20 their vehicle. 20 example, if I was going to be measuring the adjacent 21 Q. And that should be another measurement you're 21 parking spot and if I went to the exterior of the line, 22 saying? 22 then I'm essentially counting four inches twice. So, it 23 A. Well, to get the full width I should have added 23 should really be to the centerline 'cause half of that 24 24 another two inches 'cause there was a four-inch wide line line is the adjacent space. I don't know if that makes 25 to get to the centerline. So, it technically would be 94 25 sense. Page 103 Page 105 1 inches is what I would consider the full width of that 1 Q. I understand what you're saying, but what tells 2 parking space. 2 you as far as the standard is concerned or a statute that 3 3 O. And then you have space where parked 7 feet 7 you're supposed to measure to the middle of the white 4 and 9/16th's inches which equals 91 and 9/16th's inches; 4 line and not the edge? 5 5 is that right? A. Okay. Then what edge do you measure it to? I 6 6 A. Yes. guess I would have to use what would be good practice and 7 Q. Is that an accurate measurement? 7 that would be to the centerline. 8 8 Q. I'm just asking you is there a statute or a 9 9 Q. Have you looked at Mr. DiNicola's standard that tells you, Mr. English, only measure to the 10 measurements --10 middle of the white line as far as measuring the width of 11 A. Yes. 11 a parking space is concerned and measuring the width of a 12 Q. -- where he actually lays down a tape measure? 12 parking space? 13 13 A. It doesn't specify, but it wouldn't be -- it A. Yes. Q. Do you disagree with any of his measurements? 14 14 wouldn't make sense because, for example, in some 15 A. Well, from what aspect, that his tool's not 15 situations you may have a parking space and an access 16 right or as far as the location that he measured or what 16 aisle that's shared by another accessible parking space 17 17 aspect? on the other side of the access aisle. So, by measuring 18 Q. Any aspect that he's not measuring properly in 18 according to Mr. DiNicola's method, you would be 19 some or that the measurements are wrong. 19 essentially adding an extra eight inches to that space 20 A. Uh, yes, I do disagree with his measurements. 20 that doesn't really exist because you're measuring the 21 Q. Okay. We're going to talk about it in a second. four-inch line twice. So, you're not -- you don't really 21 22 You then have ANSI, ADA, TAS required width 96 inches 22 have 96, 60, and 96 because you measured 8 inches twice. 23 access aisle 60 inches, and then HUD, Housing and Urban 23 Q. He has on page six of his -- and I understand 24 Development; is that right? 24 that's your opinion, but you can't tell me that there's a

25

25

A. Yes.

standard or a statute that tells you how to do it, right?

Page 106 Page 108 1 1 A. Or is he speaking to the, uh -- to apartment It's just the way you do it. 2 A. No, it's -- I guess it's just you can't say 2 complexes or in what sense? I mean from a statutory 3 3 there's 60 inches between here and there (indicating) if standpoint, then, yes, it's to public -- places of public 4 4 accommodation and commercial facilities. If that's what there's actually not. And so you have to count the 5 available space. You can't count 4 inches twice and say, 5 he's referring to, then that's correct as far as from a 6 6 okay, well, I realize I measured 4 inches twice, but it's statutory standpoint. 7 7 60 inches if I say that I'm going to duplicate 4 inches. Q. Page 11 of his report at the bottom he writes 8 8 So, it's really just by I guess good engineering is that that the ADA did not require accessible parking spaces 9 9 you don't -- you can't say it's this wide if you count a to be assigned even when the other parking spaces were 10 assigned. Do you agree with that? 10 measurement twice. So, by in practice and really this is 11 pretty standard in engineering and architecture is that 11 A. (Witness reading.) As far as the ADA requiring 12 12 you measure to the centerline, whether it be measuring accessible -- I'm just trying to understand what he's 13 between studs or whatever. So -- but it does not specify 13 trying to say here and it's not overly clear. If he's 14 how to measure it, but I'm just saying from practice in 14 speaking of as far as being assigned to a specific 15 person, then he's correct. The ADA does not require that 15 engineering and architecture, it would be to the 16 centerline. 16 if that's what he's trying to say. 17 Q. On page six of his report he writes that the 17 Q. On page 14 of his report he writes that 18 space used by Mr. Aguilar was eight feet wide. Do you 18 residential units were not covered by TAS, the Texas 19 19 Accessibility Standards. Do you agree with that?

20

21

22

23

24

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Page 107

disagree with that or agree with it?

A. Well, I disagree to the extent that he measured it differently than what I would and what I think is proper practice.

Q. Because of the centerline issue?

A. Yes, and also the fact that his tape measure is not straight which can affect your measurements, and also

maintenance were not prohibited. Do you agree with that? Page 109

he measured at the very tail end of the parking space where there is a curb flare. So -- and it's also not where people exit their cars unless they're exiting from the trunk. So, I wouldn't consider that to be a very viable place to put a measurement in my opinion, but that's just how I try and conduct my inspections no matter who hires me to do it.

Q. You don't know where his tape measure starts in the bottom left photo, do you?

A. Uh, well, it shows, yeah, on -- you're speaking of page six? Am I looking at the right photograph?

Q. Yes.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A. Yes, it starts at the curb.

Q. Do you know if it's touching the curb or out further?

A. I mean I can't tell from the photograph. I would say it's good practice if you're trying to measure the width that it would be touching the curb.

Q. If you can turn with me to page nine of his report. He writes that the ADA only applies to public and common used spaces available to the general public. Do you agree with that?

MR. McALPINE: Wait, which page?

MR. HASSINGER: Nine. 24 25

MR. McALPINE: Sorry.

A. Reasonable interruptions, that's correct.

A. Speaking from a statutory standpoint, that's

Q. On page 15 of his report he writes that the Fair

36.211(b) of the ADA states that interruptions due to

Housing Act does not address maintenance and that Section

what we've already discussed, yes.

2 Q. And in performing temporary maintenance to a 3 parking space by painting the parking space is a 4 reasonable interruption, is it not? 5

A. Uh, well, that's necessary maintenance at times. So, depending on the length of time, then I would say yes.

Q. And you don't have any evidence as far as this case is concerned that the interruption was unreasonable as far as the length of time is concerned, do you?

A. No, but I don't know exactly how much time was spent parking with it in progress.

Q. On page 19 of his report he writes in the middle that the ADA and TAS do not apply to apartment complexes Apartment complexes are covered by the Fair Housing Act. Do you agree with that?

A. Not fully, no.

Q. Not fully in the sense of you agree that there's no statutory requirement that the apartment complexes apply as far as -- or comply with ADA and TAS except for near the leasing office, for example, where a public accommodation is present.

A. Yes, that's correct relative to ADA and TAS.

Q. On page 20 of his report he writes that the Fair Housing Act has no reference to marking accessible spaces



Page 110 Page 112 1 1 would be -- they could -- it would be an available space. to individuals. Do you agree with that? 2 2 A. If he's referring to -- for a specific So, one, there was reasonable alternatives to just taking 3 3 individual, then that's correct. the space that he uses out of service. And given the 4 4 circumstances of his needs, in my opinion I think it's Q. Do you agree that Mr. Aguilar could have backed 5 into the space he chose to park in to avoid the curb? 5 unreasonable. 6 Q. Are those things that you think that Alliance 6 A. Uh, well, you'd have to ask Mr. Aguilar what he 7 7 feels comfortable in his abilities with backing into an could have done instead? eight foot wide parking space. I can't answer that. Is 8 8 A. Yes. 9 it physically possible? Yes. Whether he's comfortable Q. Do you think that if Alliance had done those 9 10 10 things, this accident would or would not have happened? doing that, that's a question for him. 11 Q. Another option he would have had on the day of 11 MR. HASSINGER: Objection to the form. 12 A. In my opinion it would have prevented this 12 the incident was to park in a nonhandicapped parking 13 13 space that had no curb to the left. incident or at least had the higher likelihood of 14 A. If one was available. 14 preventing the incident. 15 Q. What is the economic burden in your assessment 15 Q. That's all I have. Thank you, Mr. English. 16 Appreciate your time today. 16 posed to Alliance or similarly situated property managers 17 MR. McALPINE: Can we have a 10-minute 17 in asking that they notify their tenants of maintenance 18 break? 18 such as restriping the parking lot? 19 19 (Short recess) A. I'd say it's a very minimal burden, if any, and 20 MR. McALPINE: All right. This is Kiernan 20 it's commonly done on a wide range of issues, whether it 21 21 McAlpine, attorney for plaintiff, Gustavo Aguilar. I've be from maintenance that needs to be done inside their 22 22 been advised that Mr. Hassinger has a 3:35 approximately unit, notifying them of that. Many times they have 23 flight and I'm going to ask a fewer number of questions 23 little clips at the doors that they will stick a 24 than I had originally intended so that he can make that 24 notification there on the door, or there's multiple ways 25 time commitment. 25 that the complexes go about notifying tenants. So, I'd Page 111 Page 113 1 **EXAMINATION** 1 say it's a common practice and a very limited or minimal 2 2 BY MR. McALPINE: effort to do that. 3 3 Q. All right. Mr. English, good afternoon. It is Q. In your opinion is the requirement that they 4 now afternoon. 4 notify tenants of disruption to, for instance, 5 5 A. Good afternoon. accommodated spaces an extension of, for example, the TAS 6 Q. I wanted to follow up on one question that was 6 or, excuse me, the Fair Housing Act, an ANSI standard 7 7 asked of you earlier which is about the reasonableness of that would require them to provide the accommodated space 8 8 maintenance and disruptions based on maintenance. Do you to begin with? 9 9 think that the disruption to Mr. Aguilar's access to the MR. HASSINGER: Objection, norm. Q. I'll withdraw that question. That didn't come 10 space that he was accustomed to was reasonable or 10 11 unreasonable in this case? 11 out right. Are you aware that Mr. DiNicola's argument is 12 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 12 that they don't have to notify their tenants? 13 A. Given the circumstances in this case, in my 13 A. Yes. 14 14 Q. So, you're aware of that being his contention? opinion it was unreasonable. 15 Q. Why is -- why do you think that it was 15 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, leading. 16 unreasonable? 16 A. That's what I got out of his report, yes, one of 17 A. Well, because that was the space that was 17 his opinions. 18 18 Q. As a safety engineer do you find Mr. DiNicola's nearest his unit that he was known to routinely use, and 19 given the environment being a long-term tenant at this 19 argument that they aren't expressly required to notify 20 20 apartment complex, one, it would have been very easy to persuasive? 21 21 notify him of the maintenance and to schedule around his A. I do not, no. I differ in that opinion. 22 22 schedule when he doesn't need the space considering he Q. Why are you not persuaded by that argument? 23 would teach most of the day or to provide even an 23 A. Well, I would say it seems to me that 24 24 adjacent space temporarily by marking it with the cones Mr. DiNicola is strictly speaking from a statutory so that way if it needs to be done when he needs it, it requirement standpoint what is somebody forced to do by

Page 114 Page 116 1 some entity or be at risk for being penalized with a fine 1 report? 2 or whatever it may be if they don't comply with that 2 A. From the most general level, I'm looking at it 3 3 versus I'm looking at it beyond what's required by as a -- what a reasonable apartment premises owner and 4 statute of what would be good reasonable practice for the 4 manager should do for the safety of their tenants, 5 safety of their tenant. 5 regardless of what a particular standard or code or 6 Q. Do you think that it would have been reasonable 6 regulation may require them to do, but what would be good 7 7 for Alliance to coordinate with Mr. Aguilar -prudent practice where Mr. DiNicola is -- generally 8 A. My opinion --8 appears to be strictly looking at what's statutorily 9 9 Q. -- in regards to the maintenance? required of the apartment complex, meaning that they're 10 A. In my opinion, yes. 10 forced to do to be in -- to not get in trouble or 11 Q. What's your assessment of the economic burden 11 penalized in some form or fashion is the most general 12 that this would pose on Alliance? 12 difference between our analysis, you know. And then 13 A. As far as the notification? 13 beyond that is, you know, as far as just evaluating the 14 Q. Coordination with him. 14 handicapped parking space itself, he speaks to the terms 15 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 15 of it being 8-foot wide, but yet ignores the information 16 A. I would say virtually none, I mean especially 16 even in his own report that it should also have a 60-inch 17 considering his unit is directly across the driveway from 17 access aisle. That's a clear violation of the, uh, of 18 the leasing office. 18 the housing code and also of which references ANSI A117.1 19 Q. Was it foreseeable that Mr. Aguilar or another 19 and there's also a violation of authoritative references 20 tenant could be seriously injured if they did not take 20 such as ADA and the Texas Accessibility Standards. You 21 these steps? 21 know, it's just from a straightforward difference in our 22 22 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. opinions, but I would say that's the most general areas 23 A. In my opinion, yes. 23 that we differ. O. Why was it foreseeable? 24 Q. Are you a safety engineer? 24 A. Well, they -- they had the knowledge of his 25 25 A. Yes. Page 115 Page 117 1 disability, the knowledge that he relied on that space 1 Q. How would you characterize safety engineering at 2 for easy access and safe access into his apartment unit, 2 the most general level? 3 3 and had knowledge of -- that it was going to essentially A. It's really applying basic scientific and be taken out of service and did not provide reasonable 4 technical principles to eliminate or minimize hazards 5 5 alternatives or even notified Mr. Aguilar of such -- of that may cause injury to people, damage to equipment or 6 6 facilities, even environmental damage through a basic such condition. 7 7 Q. Will you agree or disagree that a reasonably process of hazard identification, evaluation, and then 8 8 prudent premises owner will not needlessly endanger the control. 9 9 public? Q. As a safety engineer are you able to appreciate 10 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 10 a distinction between reasonable and prudent practice and 11 A. I agree with that statement, yes. 11 minimum statutory requirements? 12 12 Q. Would you agree or disagree that, generally A. Yes. 13 speaking, the second safest option causes needless 13 Q. Is it your opinion or is it not that minimum 14 danger? 14 statutory requirements in many cases do not rise to the 15 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 15 level of reasonable and prudent practice? 16 A. What are you referring to as the second safest 16 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form, leading. 17 17 A. In many circumstances I would agree with that, 18 Q. Well, there can be -- is it true that there can 18 19 be more than one way to -- in any situation there can be 19 Q. Is it important to prevent falls? 20 more than one course of action that you can take? 20 A. Yes. MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 21 21 Q. Why is that important? 22 A. That's true. 22 A. Well, falls themselves are the leading cause of 23 23 Q. An option -- well, let me just move on from injury, unintentional injury in the United States based 24 there. How would you characterize your differences of 24 on statistics taken in why people go to the emergency 25 opinion at the most general level with Mr. DiNicola's 25 room. It's by far the leading cause, almost double the

2

3

4

14

17

Page 118

second leading cause, which is automobile accidents, which a lot of people find that surprising. So, if you're looking about if you're concerned with how do I prevent injury with my facility, well, that's where you want to start because that's going to be more often than not your leading cause of injury. And by just starting with addressing falls is a critical aspect of any safety program, especially from a premises safety standpoint.

Q. In what type of a circumstance would you incorporate testing into your methodology?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Anytime it's required to properly evaluate the -- the circumstances surrounding a particular event. So, for example, if it was a slip and fall, then I might test the surface for coefficient of friction or slip resistance with an instrument. Other times, say if it was a scaffold collapse, I may -- and there may be a defect with the metal -- I may want to test the strength of the metals, but ... So, if it's necessary as part of the evaluation, then testing needs to be done, but not all evaluations require testing. I mean a lot such as in this case, you know, really you take the measurements, document the scene, and that's what you need to evaluate it in comparison to various types of requirements. So, it does not require any specific more technical testing. Q. How do you define ergonomics?

Page 119

A. I kind of view human factors of ergonomics as one. Some people try and separate them, but it's really the study of human characteristics and human tendencies, and based on the understanding of those characteristics and tendencies designing products, facilities, equipment, items that people use and deal with in their environments so that it's safe and efficient for their use. So, for example, you know, even chairs, you have ergonomic chairs and chairs that aren't so ergonomic. So, eventually you're taking your knowledge of human characteristics, which is their physical characteristics, their size, their strengths, things like that, and also their tendencies, how they utilize those chairs and you take that and say how can I design a chair that's ergonomic to be able to fit that person and make it comfortable for them to sit in over a -- especially over a period of time so that way it doesn't lead to discomfort or injuries?

So, that's like a simple common example of humor factors of ergonomics, but that extends well beyond that into the walking process of figuring how people interact in various environments and what their tendencies are in situations like that. So, it's really applied very broadly in my words as a safety engineer as something that's very important.

Q. Do principles of ergonomics have anything to say

about the curb that Mr. Aguilar was standing on?

MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form.

A. Yes.

Q. What are those?

5 A. Well, I mean just as far as how a curb interacts 6 within a person's environment as they're entering and

7 exiting a car in a parking lot in this situation. I mean

8 curbs can play a role in other situations from a fall

9 standpoint as well, but to the degree that based on just

10 again human characteristics and environmental

11 characteristics such as the dimensions of people and 12 dimensions of walkers and wheelchairs, how much space is

13 needed for people to safely enter and exit their

vehicles. And really based on that ergonomic type of

15 data that's the reason we have or these standards have

16 developed the need for access aisles as a necessary part

of providing accessible parking for people with

18 disabilities because they need that extra space based on

19 their -- the requirements to enter and exit their cars

20 with their disabilities or with necessary mobility

21 equipment such as walkers or wheelchairs or whatever it

22 may be.

23 Q. Does the FHA or ADA or TAS specify what side of

24 a vehicle an access aisle needs to be on?

25 A. Uh, no, it does not specify the side. More

Page 121

Page 120

1 often than not, if there's only one space it's typically 2 on the driver's side. If there's two -- if there's two

3 handicapped parking spaces, they can share an access

4 aisle. So, there's definitely figures that are listed in 5

those documents that illustrate that, but as far as where 6 it actually specifies that to be on one side or the

7 other, it does not.

8 Q. More likely than not, in all probability had 9 there been an access aisle adjacent to the space

10 Mr. Aguilar parked in immediately prior to the incident 11

where would the access aisle be relative to that space? 12

MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form.

13 A. And you're speaking, just to clarify, the space 14 that he ended up parking in?

Q. Correct.

MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form.

A. Given the direction of that head in parking which is the most common direction people pull into parking spaces (indicating) is to go in forward, it would be to the driver's side. So, it would be to the left.

Q. Is that or is that not where the curb was

22 located that he was standing on? 23

A. That is in this situation the same side that the 24 curb was on, yes.

Q. Did you perform a site visit in this case?



15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

Page 122 Page 124 1 1 A. Well, regardless of whether the enforcement A. Yes. 2 2 Q. Did you physically examine the location of the aspect of them, they are authoritative documents on the 3 3 parking space that he parked in on the day of his injury? subject matter from an accessibility standpoint, just the 4 4 same thing as the Texas Accessibility Standards, ANSI 5 5 Q. In your assessment of that space was the curb he A117.1. A lot of research has gone into the preparation stood on visible to Mr. Aguilar before he pulled in to 6 6 and production of those documents and so they are 7 7 park there? authoritative resources on the subject matter, regardless 8 8 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. of whether some entity has the power to enforce them or 9 9 A. I would say it was likely visible, yes. not. That would be a valid and reasonable source anybody 10 Q. If he could see it in all probability and he got 10 interested in that subject would rely upon. 11 hurt because he stood on the curb, why was it or was it 11 Q. Are the ADA standards generally accepted as 12 authoritative in the community of safety engineers 12 not his fault that he got hurt for parking there? MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 13 regarding the specifications of handicapped 13 14 A. Well, I don't -- again, as far as being hurt 14 accommodation? 15 15 because he stood on the curb, I don't know if I'd A. Yes. 16 necessarily agree with that assessment. More so, he was 16 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 17 standing on the curb because he lacked adequate space to 17 18 maneuver with -- between the car and the curb leading to 18 Q. How would you characterize any distinction 19 him standing on the curb in order to get his walker out 19 between the ADA standard applicable to this incident and 20 and open it up. So, it's really the lack of space that 20 the FHA and ANSI standard, if any? 21 would force somebody in that situation and even without a 21 MR. HASSINGER: Objection to form. 22 22 disability, even myself, to maybe have to use the curb, A. As far as characterizing their differences? 23 23 but it's -- for a disabled person it's even a more Q. Correct. 24 A. Well, the FHA -dangerous situation. 24 25 Q. Why didn't -- would you characterize the danger 25 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. Page 123 Page 125 1 to a disabled person that's posed by the curb and the 1 A. -- essentially references the ANSI for the 2 2 space between the car and the curb as obvious or detailed design requirements for accessible spaces. ANSI 3 3 nonobvious to a normal person? was actually in existence well before ADA was ever 4 A. I would say that I wouldn't consider it obvious. 4 developed in the early '90s. ANSI goes way back. I 5 5 A lot of people don't recognize that until they're in the think their first publication was 1960. And so AD -- the 6 6 Department of Justice actually took a lot of what ANSI process of actually having to try and maneuver between 7 7 the car and the curb. And then so you see an open had already researched and developed and incorporated 8 8 parking spot and you say, great, there's a parking spot that into the creation of the ADA as far as the design 9 9 guidelines. And then kind of the Texas Accessibility and you may not realize that, wow, when I get out, I 10 don't have much space to maneuver. So, I would not 10 Standards also kind of did the same thing, either taking 11 consider it to be obvious. 11 that information from ANSI or the ADA. 12 12 Q. Well, if it's not obvious to a normal person, So, essentially on most all provisions 13 why was it Alliance's responsibility to appreciate the 13 they're almost identical as far as what they require. As 14 danger? 14 far as actual content, there's not a whole lot of 15 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 15 difference between the three different resources. They're very consistent. 16 A. Well, applying it to these particular 16 circumstances, I mean they knew that Mr. Aguilar had a 17 17 Q. Would you accept the FHA as the code that is 18 disability and that he relied on even the parking space 18 quote, unquote code and directly applicable to the area 19 they gave him that was not adjacent to a curb on the 19 of the lot where the incident occurred? 20 driver's side and, therefore, just enforces their 20 A. Yes, that would be the code that could be 21 responsibility to provide notification of reasonable 21 enforceable, meaning that if there was a complaint filed, 22 alternatives if that space is going to be taken out of 22 they would investigate and could actually force the 23 service and not going to be available for his use. 23 apartment to make changes or there could be some 24 Q. Why are we looking at ADA standards in this type 24 penalties relative to that. 25 25 MR. HASSINGER: What code?

of a case?

Page 126 Page 128 1 MR. McALPINE: The FHA. It's -- that's the 1 happening. 2 2 quote, unquote code I guess if that makes sense. It's Q. Why is the curb dangerous? 3 3 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. the code that's -- anyway, I think he answered the 4 4 A. Uh, primarily because it actually further limits 5 Q. All right. So, after you read Mr. DiNicola's 5 the space that you had to maneuver next to your vehicle 6 report, did you do any additional research into FHA 6 by -- and what I mean by that is that if, for example, he 7 7 requirements as applied here? had parked in the normal spot he utilizes, not only he 8 MR. HASSINGER: Objection. It's outside the 8 has the space that's between the line, the white line and 9 9 scope of his written report in this case. He has no his vehicle, but he also has the spaces on the other side 10 right to supplement and offer new opinions. 10 of that white line that's not taken up by a vehicle in an 11 MR. McALPINE: He has no right? So, he's 11 adjacent spot. So, you'd have more space to maneuver 12 not allowed to do any research on the subject matter 12 versus in the space that he parked, that white line is 13 after he's received another report? 13 essentially the curb. So, you're now limited and have MR. HASSINGER: Not if he's going to issue 14 14 less space to maneuver when you're -- without having to, 15 new opinions. He can't issue new opinions outside the 15 you know, deal with the curb, meaning either stand on the 16 scope of his report. That's why we have reports. We're 16 curb or in the grass or go back and forth across it, 17 in federal court. 17 which is a much higher risk. So, there's essentially no 18 MR. McALPINE: Let me see the documents. 18 change of elevation if there's not the curb there in a 19 19 A. Which ones, these? parking space such as what he normally would park in. 20 Q. These ones. How would you summarize the 20 O. Would that curb be dangerous to a regular 21 findings that you've made regarding what the FHA 21 nonhandicapped person? 22 22 required? MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 23 23 A. Well, I mean I spoke in my report as far as A. It's a -- it's a higher risk than a parking compliance with ADA, TAS, and then more specifically the space without it because again there's -- you're having 24 24 25 ANSI A117.1. The FHA essentially refers you to the ANSI to deal with an elevated surface and less room to Page 127 Page 129 1 document or others as the specifications for accessible 1 maneuver getting in, but not to the degree that it is for 2 2 routes. And so I guess I just went straight to the somebody with a mobility impairment or disability. 3 3 source that the FHA references. I was familiar with the Q. For someone with mobility impairment is the 4 FHA before that. I did go back to look at a couple of 4 danger posed by the curb next to the spot Mr. Aguilar 5 5 specific provisions that, uh, Mr. DiNicola referenced parked in reasonable or unreasonable? 6 6 after -- after I received his report just to verify a A. Unreasonable. 7 7 couple of things including one of the figures that he, Q. And why is that? 8 8 uh, put in his report which in my opinion is incomplete MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 9 9 because he didn't put the whole figure in there. A. Well, somebody such as Mr. Aguilar who has a 10 Q. Is it true that the ANSI standards you 10 disability or a mobility impairment and even more 11 referenced in your report are incorporated by reference 11 importantly that has -- that is relying on a mobility 12 12 aide such as a walker, I would say it's unlikely the into the FHA requirements including those directly cited 13 in Mr. DiNicola's report? 13 walker would even fit between if he unfolded it and set 14 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. 14 it down between the car and the curb. So, it's just not 15 15 enough space to be able to safely exit the vehicle and 16 Q. In your assessment of the parking space 16 obtain and actually utilize your mobility aide such as a 17 Mr. Aguilar used on the date in question -- well, 17 walker or wheelchair, scooter or whatever it may be to 18 actually let me strike that question. 18 safely exit or enter your car. So, it's just not enough 19 If Mr. Aguilar had access to the space that 19 space in that situation. 20 20 he ordinarily used on the day in question, is it more MR. McALPINE: Pass the witness. 21 probable or less probable that the accident would have 21 **EXAMINATION** 22 happened as it did? 22 BY MR. HASSINGER: 23 23 MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form. Q. Mr. English, Mr. Aguilar never should have 24 A. If he had access to his normal spot, it would be 24 parked in the parking space that he parked in on the day 25 less probable that the accident happened as it ended up 25 of this incident, correct?

Page 130

A. I agree that it's not a safe parking space for somebody and under those circumstances.Q. And the only person who made a decision to park

Q. And the only person who made a decision to park in the parking space that he parked in was Mr. Aguilar, true?

A. Uh, I would say in some aspects, yes, but also sometimes decisions are dictated by the environment in which you're presented. So ... So, I would say ultimately did he make a decision? Yes. But if there were not any viable safer alternatives, then it wasn't really much of a decision to make.

Q. And you don't know whether there were viable safer alternatives or not --

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

15 Q. -- do you?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. All I can say is that I would say the evidence points toward that there were not, but I can't go further beyond what the evidence indicates.

Q. You don't know whether other parking spaces were available without a curb to the left of the car, do you?

A. I can't speak to that 'cause I wasn't there at the time.

Q. So, the answer is you don't know.

25 A. I don't know.

1 what?

б

Q. That there's another available handicapped parking space diagonally across from the one he normally parked.

Page 132

Page 133

A. Well, I guess physical I mean it is what it is. There is a space there, but it's also Mr. Aguilar testified that it's generally -- that that is not supposed to -- that tenants are not supposed to park there.

Q. There's no sign on that for that parking space that says tenants shall not park there, is there?

A. No.

Q. There's nothing whatsoever as far as that parking space by the leasing office is concerned that whether tenants are told don't park in this parking space, true?

A. Are you speaking from signage?

18 Q. Yes.

A. I did not see any signage that indicated that, no.

Q. There's not a document you've seen in this case that indicates that tenants aren't supposed to park in this parking space in Exhibit 16, the handicapped parking space in front of the leasing office, is there?

A. That would be through Mr. Aguilar's testimony as

Page 131

Q. You don't know whether other handicapped parking spaces were available for him to use or not, do you?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. Based on his historical practice, I would say likely not since he had utilized the one near the leasing office before. Whether there was others throughout the complex, I don't know one way or the other, but those would be putting him at additional risk due to the length of travel he'd have to go between the parking spaces and his unit.

Q. And if -- and the parking space, the handicapped parking space in front of the leasing office if we are to look at Exhibit 5, photograph 16 (indicating), is diagonally across from the handicapped parking space where he normally parked.

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. I agree. Diagonally across the driveway, yes.

Q. So, it's reasonable for Alliance Residential to assume that if the handicapped parking space where he normally parked was being painted for a small period of time, that there's another handicapped parking space (indicating) diagonally across from the one being painted, correct?

MR. McALPINE: Object to form.

A. You're asking it's reasonable for them to assume

far as his understanding. And I will also say from my

experience it's not unusual that tenants are discouraged
 from parking at the leasing office, whether it's the

handicapped parking or the other parking, because that's intended for future tenants and visitors.

Q. There's no sign here that says visitor parking only, is there?

A. I did not see any sign as to indicate that, no.

Q. So, back to my question, if the handicapped parking space where he normally parked was being painted temporarily, how long do you think it would take to paint that parking space?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. I don't know what methods that were being utilized to do that. I'm sorry.

Q. How about a paint brush and a roller. How long would it take to paint that parking space?

A. I can't answer that question. I can't give you a specific time.

Q. You don't know one way or the other, do you?

A. It depends on -- I mean there's people that have different methods and use different equipment. So, I -- I mean it depends on how it was being done.

Q. Less than an hour?

A. I don't have that information.



Page 134 Page 136 1 1 where 20 minutes to paint a handicapped parking space at Q. Less than an hour? 2 MR. McALPINE: Object to form. 2 this particular apartment complex, that's not 3 3 A. I would say for a single parking space likely unreasonable, is it? 4 less than an hour, but I can't give you a specific time. 4 A. Given the circumstances in this case in my 5 Q. You don't know whether it would take 10 minutes, 5 opinion, yes, it is. 6 6 30 minutes, or 45 minutes, do you? Q. It was certainly reasonable for Alliance to 7 7 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. assume that if that parking space was being painted and 8 8 A. In my opinion it would likely take more than 10 it would have only taken 20 minutes, that there's another 9 9 minutes which I think is also evidenced through the parking space, another handicapped parking space 10 incident reports that he had 10 minutes left and he was 10 diagonally across the way, true? 11 only halfway done. 11 A. Well, there's no evidence in the record that Q. So, 20 minutes at most? 12 12 they made that assumption, one, but again, that's a space 13 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 13 that they knew Mr. Aguilar relied upon as far as 14 A. Again, it could vary depending on the methods 14 accessing his apartment for almost 10 years and I think utilized and who was doing it. So, I can't tell you how 15 15 it's unreasonable to take that away. And this is a spot 16 long their particular maintenance person may have taken 16 that a known tenant routinely utilized versus just a 17 to do it and I don't --17 general spot that is available for anybody. And so, 18 Q. Based on the evidence that you have in front of 18 therefore, without providing any notification that this 19 you, which is all you have concerning the painting of 19 is going to occur or trying to schedule it when he's not 20 that parking space, it was going to be 20 minutes in 20 going to be there, which would be very reasonable in my 21 total to paint that parking space, right? 21 opinion, given the entire circumstances with this 22 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 22 situation, I believe even the 20 minutes taking it out of 23 A. I can't agree or disagree to 20 minutes in total 23 service was unnecessary and unreasonable. 'cause I don't know exactly what methods were being 24 Q. You don't know anything about what Alliance knew 24 25 utilized. I agree it's not going to be an extended 25 or didn't know, do you? Page 135 Page 137 1 length of time, but as far as pinning down it's going to 1 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 2 take this exact amount of time, I'm not here to testify 2 A. Not at this time. And as I stated in my report 3 3 about that. if they -- I don't know if they're going to be giving 4 4 deposition testimony or not, but I reserve the right to Q. I'm here to ask you about it 'cause that's all 5 5 you have and you have a statement or an incident report alter my opinions based on new evidence that comes to that says he was halfway done and had 10 minutes more. б 6 light including additional testimony. 7 7 So, it would have taken 20 minutes according to the Q. You don't have that right and you didn't ask for 8 8 evidence you have and you don't have anything else to the any testimony from Alliance before you issued a report, 9 9 contrary, do you? did you? 10 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 10 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. He 11 A. Well, as far as being halfway done, that's based 11 doesn't do that. I did that. And this is harassing. on the photographs showing that it's half painted. The 12 12 MR. HASSINGER: It's not harassing. incident report just said he had about 10 minutes left. 13 MR. McALPINE: Yes, it is. 13 14 Q. Okay. So, if it's halfway painted and he had 10 14 MR. HASSINGER: I'm asking him a question 15 minutes left, then it would have taken 20 minutes in 15 about what he asked for before he issued opinions in this 16 total to paint the parking space, correct? 16 case. 17 A. You could -- that would be one assessment 17 MR. McALPINE: It's not his role to do that. 18 possibly, yes. 18 MR. HASSINGER: You never --19 Q. And 20 minutes to paint a handicapped parking 19 MR. McALPINE: It's an improper question. MR. HASSINGER: You never requested -- your 20 space is not an unreasonable period of time, is it? 20 MR. McALPINE: Object to the form. 21 testimony is improper. If you have an objection, please 21 22 A. It depends on the circumstances. 22 Q. I'm talking about these circumstances --23 23 Q. You didn't ask that anyone from Alliance be 24 A. In my opinion --24 deposed or statements be obtained before you issued your 25 Q. -- the circumstances in this particular case 25 opinions in Exhibit 1, true?

Page 138

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. I did ask if there was any representatives from the apartment complex that had been deposed and the answer was, no, I don't have the right to schedule depositions or even to -- to call the apartment manager on the phone and start asking her questions considering this is in litigation. So -- but I do have the right as new information becomes available to evaluate that information and alter my opinions, if necessary. So, I don't know where you get the right -- where you get the opinion that I don't have that right 'cause that is a right as a Professional Engineer for me to alter my opinions as new information becomes available.

Q. There's nothing in your report -- well, let me back up. You didn't believe you had the right to actually contact anyone from Alliance talk to them, but you believe you had the right to actually go over to their location and conduct an inspection without anyone present from Alliance or without Alliance being notified?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

A. Well, first of all, I didn't know who was or was not going to be there. I was -- my client scheduled with me to meet at the site at a particular time. I'm not responsible for scheduling with other parties. I'm not an attorney in this case. So, that's what I did.

Page 139

- Q. There's nothing in your report -- in any of your opinions in your report about any economic burden issues, is there?
 - A. I don't think I used those terms, no.
- Q. There's nothing in your report as far as your expert opinions are concerned pertaining to anything having to do with a second safest option, is there?
 - A. I did not use those terms, no.
- Q. There's nothing in your report as far as your expert opinions are concerned pertaining to anything being a needless danger to the public, is there?
 - A. I did not use those terms, no.
- Q. You're certainly not here today to tell us that Mr. Aguilar has no fault in connection with what happened, are you?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. If asked, I would offer my opinions.
- Q. Would you agree with me that Mr. Aguilar has a certain level of responsibility with respect to what happened in this case for the decisions that he made?
- A. Uh, the same as others in those -- presented with those same circumstances, yes.

MR. McALPINE: Object to the responsiveness.

Q. Okay. Just for clarity, do you agree with me that Mr. Aguilar is at fault in connection with the

accident in this case?

A. I don't view that he viewed anything -- did anything unreasonable relative to this incident, no.

Q. And your expert opinion under oath today is that Mr. Aguilar's decision to park in a nonhandicapped parking space instead of talking to the contractor to ask him how long he was going to be, that that wasn't unreasonable?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. I don't feel like given the situation he was presented that his actions were unreasonable, no.
- Q. And your testimony today under oath is that Mr. Aguilar wasn't unreasonable for parking in a nonhandicapped parking space with a curb to the left of it when other parking spaces were available.
- A. Well, first of all, there's no evidence that other parking spaces were available. And to the contrary, Mr. Aguilar said that it's typical practice if the one he normally parks in is not available is that he would utilize the one at the leasing office and, therefore, likely it was not available on this particular date.
- Q. Well, that's not what he said. I know that's what you read into it.

MR. McALPINE: Object to the side bar.

Page 141

Page 140

Q. But he ultimately testified that he didn't know, right?

MR. McALPINE: Object to the form.

- A. Yeah, three years after the fact he said he didn't recall. That's correct.
- Q. And in your report on page three concerning your synopsis of the injury event, you don't mention anything about the curb causing him to fall, do you?
- A. He mentioned (witness reading) -- I'd speak to the narrowness of the parking space and the limited space between his vehicle and the curb. And again, that pretty clear section is speaking to my general understanding of what happened and the conditions present.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, the reason he lost his balance is because he lost his grasp of the walker quote, unquote, lost his grasp of the walker.
- A. As far as the reasoning why he lost his balance, not necessarily, but as far as my understanding based on the information I had at that time is, yes, he was in the process of trying to remove the walker from his car and lost his grasp.
- Q. And what you have on page three is that Mr. Aguilar lost his grasp of the walker causing him to lose his balance. Did I read that correctly?
 - A. Yes.



	Page 142		Page 144
1	Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. English. I appreciate all	1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	your time	2	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION
3	MR. McALPINE: I have one more question.	3	
4	Q today. Thank you. I have no more	4	GUSTAVO AGUILAR Plaintiff §
5	MR. McALPINE: I have one more question.	ī	Plaintiff §
6	Sorry. Can I see Exhibit 5?	5	V. § Case No. 4:16-cv-00118
7	EXAMINATION	6	§ ALLIANCE RESIDENTIAL, §
8	BY MR. McALPINE:		LLC §
9	Q. All right. Did you take what's been marked as	7 8	Defendant §
10	No. 10, photograph 10 from Exhibit 5?	Ü	ORAL DEPOSITION
11	A. Yes.	9	OF
12	Q. And do you see a fire hydrant (pointing)?	10	Or
13	A. Yes.		JASON T. ENGLISH, M.S., CSP, P.E.
14	Q. And what appears to be directly across from that	11	FEBRUARY 3, 2017
15	fire hydrant, is that the space that in your	12	
16	understanding Mr. Aguilar parked in on the day he was	13	VOLUME 1 OF 1
17	injured?	14	I, Camille A. Bruess, Certified Shorthand
18	MR. HASSINGER: Objection, leading.	15	Reporter in and for the State of Texas and Registered
19	A. Yes.	16 17	Professional Reporter, hereby certify to the following: That the witness, JASON T. ENGLISH, M.S., CSP,
20	Q. (Pointing) The space where is the leasing	18	P.E., was duly sworn by the officer and that the
21	office space located in relation to the space that you	19 20	transcript of the oral deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness;
22	just identified?	21	I further certify that pursuant to FRCP Rule
23	A. It's across a parking what I would refer to	22	30(f)(1) that the signature of the deponent:
24	as a parking lot island to the left of that space as	23 24	was requested by the deponent or a party before the completion of the deposition and was or
25	shown in that photograph. So, it's to the across a	25	was not returned within 30 days from date of
	Page 143		Page 145
1	grassy kind of median area.	1	receipt of the transcript. If returned, the attached
2	Q. Does anything impair one's visibility of the	2	Changes and Signature Page contains any changes and the
3	leasing office space from the space we previously	3	reasons therefor:
4	identified?	4	_X_ was not requested by the deponent or a
5	A. Uh, primarily just vegetation.	5 6	party before the completion of the deposition. I further certify that I am neither attorney nor
6	Q. And in all reasonable probability, he would	7	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
7	have is it true or not true that he would have been	8	parties in the action in which this testimony was taken.
8	able to see whether there was another vehicle in the	9	Further, I am not a relative or employee of any
9	leasing office handicapped spot?	10	attorney of record in this cause, nor am I financially or
10	MR. HASSINGER: Objection, form.	11 12	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action. Subscribed and sworn to on this 13th day of
11	A. It would be clearly visible. I mean there's	13	February, 2017.
12	not it's a tree, but it's a trunk as far as that	14	Tooluary, 2017.
13	aspect of it. So, yes, it would be visible.	15	ADTE:
14	MR. McALPINE: Nothing further. Reserve	16	A Section 1
15	remaining questions.	17	Camille Busser
16	MR. HASSINGER: Thank you very much.	18	CAMILLE A. BRUESS, RPR, Texas CSR #3824
17	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.	19	Expiration Date: 12/31/18
18	MR. HASSINGER: Thank you. Sorry to leave	-	MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES
	quickly, but I appreciate all your time.	20	Firm Registration No. 633
19			7 Dans Canton
20	MR. McALPINE: Hey, no problem.	0.1	7 Penn Center
20 21	MR. McALPINE: Hey, no problem. (Deposition concluded at 2:13 p.m.)	21	1635 Market Street, 8th Floor
20 21 22	MR. McALPINE: Hey, no problem.		1635 Market Street, 8th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
20 21 22 23	MR. McALPINE: Hey, no problem. (Deposition concluded at 2:13 p.m.)	22	1635 Market Street, 8th Floor
20 21 22	MR. McALPINE: Hey, no problem. (Deposition concluded at 2:13 p.m.)		1635 Market Street, 8th Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103



Page 1

	91:15 104:14	activities 55:1	11:2,8	120:1 121:10
A 117 25 7 50 0	105:16 108:8,12	acts 77:17	advise 29:14	120.1 121.10
a117 25:7 50:8	109:25 120:17	actual 23:2 26:24	advised 52:7	127:17,19 129:4,9
62:21 63:5,6,20	125:2 127:1	48:17 98:9 100:23	110:22	129:23 130:4
70:18 116:18	accessing 136:14	125:14	affect 106:25	132:6 136:13
124:5 126:25	accident 3:22,23	ad 125:5	aforementioned	132.0 130.13
abilities 110:7	53:14 60:25 61:16	ada 18:6 19:4 20:5	56:23	140:13,18 141:23
able 28:17 117:9	61:23 63:24 70:21	23:23 24:11 25:7	afs 13:16 17:22	140.13,18 141.23
119:15 129:15	70:22 71:18 72:10	25:24 26:12 49:19	afternoon 111:3,4,5	aguilars 28:5 36:23
143:8	79:6 89:19 91:20	50:4,7,20 51:2,4	ago 24:1 65:12	37:13,15 38:9,14
abovestyled 1:17	112:10 127:21,25	51:12,13 52:8,22	agree 5:3,5,8,8	39:9,19 40:11
absolutely 61:7	140:1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	26:12 32:17 35:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
accept 125:17	accidents 70:7	53:6,13 55:2,25		41:14,16 42:21
accepted 124:11	118:1	56:3,11,16 57:8	38:6,7,8 50:9	43:10 44:11,16,22 45:9 53:13 60:25
access 48:24 60:2		57:10 58:11,15,18	52:19,24 55:18,19	
60:19 63:13,15,18	accommodate	58:20 59:11,15,23	58:9,13 59:9,14	61:23 63:24 72:10
63:23 64:3,6 67:2	78:10 83:22 88:6	60:1,14,17 61:1	59:20,23 60:14,24	79:6 87:8 111:9 132:25 140:5
67:3,4,8 69:16	accommodated	62:11 63:1,4	61:3,14,22,25	
70:7,15,24 71:21	78:22 113:5,7	70:17 71:8,9	66:1,11,15 68:5	ahead 101:18,20
79:14 91:17,23	accommodating	80:10 84:20	69:6 70:14 83:15	aide 129:12,16
92:2,9,19 93:4	75:18 84:9,17	103:22 107:20	84:10 106:19	aisle 48:24 63:13,15
99:25 100:1,4,10	accommodation	108:8,11,15,24	107:22 108:10,19	63:18,23 64:3
103:23 105:15,17	37:16 41:8,17	109:14,20,23	108:25 109:16,18	67:2,3,4,8 69:16
111:9 115:2,2	42:13,17 50:3	116:20 120:23	110:1,4 115:7,11	70:7,15,24 71:21
116:17 120:16,24	52:1,25 58:19	123:24 124:11,19	115:12 117:17	79:14 91:17,23
121:3,9,11 127:19	59:2 72:14,22	125:3,8,11 126:24	122:16 130:1	92:2,9,19 93:4
127:24	74:2,12 78:15	add 45:5 49:7	131:17 134:23,25	99:25 100:1,4,10
accessibility 10:22	90:11 91:6 108:4	added 38:22,22	139:18,24	103:23 105:16,17
11:6 20:5 25:6,11	109:22 124:14	100:21,22 102:23	agreed 83:24	116:17 120:24
25:18 26:16 50:8	accommodations	adding 27:9 105:19	agrees 5:11	121:4,9,11
50:21 55:2,25	73:3	addition 27:11	aguilar 1:3 4:11,16	aisles 64:6 120:16
56:3 57:19 58:11	accurate 96:14,14	additional 75:24	6:9 27:1,13,20,22	al 13:16
58:16,18,20 59:11	103:7	93:23 94:1 126:6	29:4 30:13 35:11	alice 17:22
60:7 61:1,12,15	accustomed 111:10		35:18 41:2 42:5	allegation 53:20
62:11,20 63:1,4	acronym 102:7	address 5:17,19	42:13,17 43:3	54:3,13
70:16,18 71:9	act 17:21 25:1,14	59:21 60:5 108:23	46:9,15,22,25	allegedly 30:16
84:20 108:19	26:11 50:10 51:21	addressed 3:14	47:4 48:23 52:2	alliance 1:6 4:11,18
116:20 124:3,4	52:21 53:22 54:1	84:13	53:4 56:17 59:5	29:16 37:13 38:10
125:9	54:18 55:15 59:20	addressing 118:7	64:16 70:8,12	38:13 39:9,17
accessible 20:18,24	62:11,19 63:5,17	adequate 21:17	73:1,11 74:2,13	40:9,22 41:14
21:21 50:19,22,24	70:19 84:9,21	72:14 92:11	76:4 78:25 79:12	48:23 53:8,11
50:24 51:2,8	108:23 109:15,25	122:17	81:15 82:21 85:21	54:4 58:17 59:10
54:14 59:15 62:17	113:6	adjacent 3:22	87:17 89:2,6,11	61:1 62:15 71:25
62:18,20,21 63:6	acting 11:14	104:20,24 111:24	89:17,24 91:24	72:21 73:21 74:12
63:16 69:18 71:5	action 115:20 145:8	121:9 123:19	93:7,7 106:18	75:12 76:24 77:6
72:18 73:9 77:19	145:11	128:11	110:4,6,21 114:7	77:12 78:6,9 80:6
	actions 140:11	administration	114:19 115:5	81:1,13 82:12,20
	1	ı	1	I



83:4 86:15 87:15
91:4 112:6,9,16
114:7,12 131:18
136:6,24 137:8,23
138:16,19,19
144:6
alliances 123:13
allowed 126:12
allows 60:1,18
alter 137:5 138:9
138:12
alteration 55:1,24
alternative 66:18
67:11,11,13 79:25
83:4 89:10,17,23
90:24
alternatives 112:2
115:5 123:22
130:10,13
amended 55:3,11
56:22 61:10
american 26:6
americans 17:21
25:1,14 26:11
50:9 51:20 52:20
53:22 54:17 55:14
62:10,19
amount 76:21
135:2
analysis 3:15
116:12
ansi 25:7 50:8
62:21 63:2,4,6,20
70:18 71:1,8
91:10 103:22
113:6 116:18
124:4,20 125:1,2
125:4,6,11 126:25
126:25 127:10
answer 6:2 13:13
15:9 22:8,22
28:17 34:4 39:12
58:25 60:5 110:8
130:24 133:18
138:4
answered 39:13,14
, -

65:6,19 126:3
answering 65:2
82:18
anybody 28:13
30:23 70:2 73:9
124:9 136:17
anytime 118:11
anyway 126:3
apartment 19:15
20:7,18,19,22
21:11 23:24 24:9
24:12,13 28:21,25
29:4,6 36:22
37:15 38:17 39:2
39:25 40:3,7,12
40:16 41:3,5,6,17
42:21 43:5,10,17 43:22 44:11,16
45:9 46:10 50:10
51:14,22 52:23
53:11 58:9,21
69:22 72:16 73:6
73:13,16 74:6,9
75:16 76:21 78:22
79:17 80:12 81:9
82:1 84:16 87:18
87:25 88:9 90:23
94:19 95:2 102:4
108:1 109:14,15
109:19 111:20
115:2 116:3,9
125:23 136:2,14
138:3,5
apartments 50:12
apparently 28:11 37:23 104:9
appear 93:25
appearances 3:2
appears 41:21
93:17 116:8
142:14
appendix 3:18 6:22
7:9,22 8:16,23 9:3
9:8,13,13
applicable 51:7
56:4,7,8,10

124:19 125:18 application 60:21 **applied** 49:20 71:16 119:23 126:7 **applies** 26:12 50:14 50:17 53:3 107:20 **apply** 26:18 49:22 49:23 50:5,10 51:21 52:4,9,22 52:24 56:3 59:24 60:15 109:14,20 applying 11:11 117:3 123:16 appreciate 110:16 117:9 123:13 142:1 143:19 approximately 45:13 49:8 102:18 110:22 architect 24:23 architecture 25:17 106:11,15 area 11:16 12:2,15 19:10,11,14 38:21 43:10,12,12 46:19 64:9,13 68:11 69:13 99:25 100:9 102:19 125:18 143:1 areas 10:7,8,15 11:4,10 12:17,18 13:6 25:12 71:16 116:22 arent 57:8 113:19 119:9 132:22 argument 113:11 113:19,22 arrange 30:10 arranged 28:22 29:2 arranging 29:19 30:9 arrival 42:21 **asked** 65:16 111:7 137:15 139:17

asking 27:15 40:6 48:9 58:5 65:11 82:19 105:8 112:17 131:25 137:14 138:6 **aspect** 26:22 83:21 97:2 103:15,17,18 118:7 124:2 143:13 aspects 26:21 34:25 130:6 assessment 47:11 78:3 112:15 114:11 122:5,16 127:16 135:17 assigned 37:25 38:16 39:25 40:3 40:12 41:2 73:13 73:14,16,17,21 78:16 79:12 80:4 80:7 81:2 84:2 108:9,10,14 **assist** 22:22 associated 70:20 **assume** 43:1 60:20 66:14 131:19,25 136:7 assumption 8:1 86:22 88:23 136:12 **attach** 6:24 7:10 9:8 10:2 93:19 98:2 104:2 attached 1:25 6:22 8:24 9:24 31:4 35:8 62:2 145:1 attachment 3:18 86:7 attempt 47:15 78:10 attempting 21:1,9 46:25 47:3 attending 25:25 attention 16:17 18:8

attorney 2:6,13

4:15 29:3,18 30:5 82:8 110:21 138:25 145:6,10 authoritative 25:8 50:20,23 71:7 116:19 124:2,7,12 automobile 118:1 availability 67:15 available 43:4 46:10,18 64:15,22 65:3,4,18 66:3,11 66:13,14,21,23 67:11,13,19,25 68:9,23 69:8 70:13 74:17 75:2 75:5 78:15 79:12 86:18,21,23 87:1 87:3,8 88:21,24 92:10,16,23 96:6 96:12 97:12 106:5 107:21 110:14 112:1 123:23 130:21 131:2 132:2 136:17 138:8,13 140:15 140:17.19.21 **avoid** 110:5 aware 70:5 113:11 113:14 B **b** 15:21 16:23 17:23 17:24 108:24 back 16:12 21:5 36:8 47:2 60:4 61:9 72:12 125:4 127:4 128:16 133:9 138:15

backed 110:4

backing 110:7

backseat 47:3

balance 47:5

backwards 47:5

141:15,17,24

bar 87:4 140:25

based 13:2 15:17



27.11.24.29.24	handanad 46.10	aamara 05.12	101.05 102.05	ahanaa 40:15
37:11,24 38:24	bordered 46:19	camera 95:13	121:25 123:25	chance 40:15
39:7 40:20 41:20	bosch 98:21	camille 1:19 4:3	126:9 132:21	change 35:2 39:1,5
45:4,14,20 47:13	bottom 55:13 94:13	144:14 145:18	135:25 136:4	128:18
47:22,25 48:10	94:15 95:22,24	cant 21:3,4,7 24:7	137:16 138:25	changed 35:6
64:20 67:10,12	107:9 108:7	39:12,21 57:22	139:20 140:1	changes 56:20
73:5 76:13 77:14	boulevard 2:14	58:3 60:8 65:21	144:5	125:23 145:2,2
80:21 84:6,8	brace 18:1 19:24	66:7 76:20 82:7	cases 12:20 13:10	characteristics
87:24 88:2 111:8	break 61:6 93:9	83:12 87:12 96:10	14:11,13 15:6,7	119:3,4,10,11
117:23 119:4	110:18	105:24 106:2,5,9	16:2,3 17:7,10	120:10,11
120:9,14,18 131:4	brief 8:11 36:4,13	107:16 110:8	23:1,10 24:19	characterize
134:18 135:11	36:16 37:2	126:15 130:18,22	30:1,8 61:20	115:24 117:1
137:5 141:18	bring 34:24	133:18,18 134:4	117:14	122:25 124:18
basic 117:3,6	broadly 119:23	134:15,23	category 28:16	characterizing
basically 41:22	broadstone 28:20	capacity 17:8	causative 92:1,6,7	124:22
basing 48:14 65:8 67:23 68:2	50:11 bruces 1:10 4:4	car 19:6,8 21:6,7	cause 1:18 7:20 9:6	child 12:4
	bruess 1:19 4:4 144:14 145:18	31:25 32:1 47:23	37:23 57:14 67:4 71:3 72:4 77:10	children 12:8 choices 90:17
basis 4:23,24,25 5:9		48:4,11,13,13	71:3 /2:4 //:10 77:21 78:18,19	
5:10 bates 03:8 10	brush 133:16	120:7 122:18	1	chose 67:7 110:5 christi 20:17
bates 93:8,10	bui 14:24,25	123:2,7 129:14,18 130:21 141:20	80:18 87:7,8 91:19,23 100:22	christi 20:17 circumstance 118:9
becoming 92:13	building 11:25 12:13 25:19 45:11	130:21 141:20 care 71:7 72:2	91:19,23 100:22 102:24 104:23	
beginning 25:4 35:14 52:5	45:25 57:19 64:17			circumstances 13:9
35:14 52:5 behalf 17:15	45:25 57:19 64:17 64:18 75:24 84:11	75:17 91:5,9 cars 107:3 120:19	117:5,22,25 118:1 118:6 130:22	70:10 84:4,22,25
believe 14:1 15:1	84:13,13,15 90:14	cars 107:3 120:19 case 1:5 4:9,10 6:5	118:6 130:22	90:12 111:13 112:4 117:17
24:21 42:5 46:13	84:13,13,15 90:14 92:24	6:12,15,18 8:1	134:24 135:4	112:4 117:17
52:17 65:3,4,17	92:24 buildings 62:21	9:17 10:6,13,18	caused 61:23	130:2 135:22,23
98:20 136:22	63:6	9:17 10:6,13,18	caused 61:23	130:2 135:22,23
138:15,17	buildup 19:5	12:3,7 13:4,17,23	causing 47:5 141:8	139:22
believed 66:5	built 84:12	14:7,17,18,20,22	141:23	cite 83:13 85:13,15
believes 67:24 68:3	burden 112:15,19	15:3 16:19 17:19	center 13:19 19:14	87:22 89:16,22
benefit 6:1	114:11 139:2	18:10,15 19:23	48:20 145:20	90:22
best 13:14 17:24	burr 2:14	20:7,9,15,16,20	centerline 49:7,13	cited 63:10,20
141:14	business 13:17,19	21:12,15 22:11,18	100:23 102:25	127:12
better 19:12 39:12	17:22 22:5,16	22:19,21,24 23:23	100.23 102.23	citing 85:10
55:9 58:24 92:21	23:6	24:10 27:1,1,12	104.23 103.7	city 18:1
92:25		28:4 29:18,20	centre 1:22 2:7 4:7	civil 1:24 4:6
beyond 11:12 85:4	C	30:3,25 31:1,25	certain 13:6 25:12	clarify 28:17 51:24
90:20 114:3	c 2:1 5:5 54:5,9	32:8 33:20 34:10	34:25 139:19	52:14 60:4 121:13
116:13 119:19	56:23 57:2 58:6	35:1,10,16,17,19	certainly 32:14	clarity 139:24
130:19	caballero 17:25	38:2 39:4 49:20	136:6 139:13	clause 38:12
big 43:12	18:24 19:16	50:11 51:17,20	certificate 3:9	clear 82:16 108:13
billed 32:15	calculations 27:7	52:21 53:21 54:4	certified 144:14	116:17 141:12
bit 72:12 88:22	27:10	56:16 58:10 62:25	certify 144:16,21	clearly 143:11
90:12	call 49:14 138:5	63:21 66:12 76:25	145:6	client 22:24 30:11
blue 48:10,13	called 4:24 5:10	78:13 109:9	chair 119:14	138:22
book 100:18	98:19	111:11,13 118:21	chairs 119:8,8,9,13	clips 112:23



alogo 21,22 45,14	145:5	aonarota 29.22	57.17 24 125.14	125.16 141.5
close 31:23 45:14 47:23 48:19		concrete 38:23	57:17,24 125:14 contention 113:14	135:16 141:5
4/:23 48:19 closer 14:15	complex 19:15 20:7 20:18,19,25 21:19	condensate 19:3 condition 115:6	contention 113:14 continue 15:17	correctly 62:22 72:7 77:10 78:11
closer 14:15 closest 43:17	21:23 22:3 23:24	conditions 141:13	45:12 46:8 65:10	78:23 82:18 87:19
	24:9,12,13 28:21	conduct 27:2 28:24	78:18	91:7 141:24
code 11:2,7 25:19 57:15 84:13 116:5	, ,			
	28:25 29:4,6	31:15 54:4,14	contractor 76:3	correspondence 36:24 43:1
116:18 125:17,18	36:22 39:3 41:6	56:23 107:6 138:18	96:19,24 97:4 140:6	
125:20,25 126:2,3 codes 57:19 84:11	41:11,25 42:21			couldnt 37:22 43:24
	43:17 46:4,7	cones 111:24	contrary 135:9 140:18	
84:15 90:14	50:10 51:14,22	configured 21:1 confirm 6:19		counsel 2:5,11 4:13
coefficient 118:14	52:23 53:11 58:9		control 117:8	4:20,25 5:10 6:7,8
coherent 28:11	58:21 69:22 73:18	conform 55:2,25 connection 9:16	conver 51:16	13:21 14:8 15:2
coincidence 74:6	74:9 75:16,19 76:21 82:1 88:10		conversation 52:15 52:18 96:19	29:16,20 52:7 145:7
collapse 118:16		10:18 11:20,24		count 16:10 55:14
college 5:20 31:12 colored 93:22	90:24 111:20 116:9 131:7 136:2	12:12,19 14:3	conversations 46:15 89:8	61:11 106:4,5,9
colored 93:22 com 2:10,16	138:3	17:21 18:7 20:5,6 27:1 31:1 42:12	converted 37:14	counted 16:2,5,5
combination 63:14		49:18 51:20 53:13	38:10,13,18 39:10	18:3,5
come 15:18 27:24	complexes 87:25 108:2 109:14,15	56:16 60:24 61:16	39:17,24 40:9,22	counting 16:12
32:1 75:16 81:5	108.2 109.14,13	63:21 66:12 72:9	41:15	18:4 104:22
83:18 84:1 113:10	compliance 25:20	77:25 79:4 89:1	coordinate 114:7	county 1:23 4:8
comes 73:9 137:5	51:13 126:24	93:13 97:23 100:2	coordination	county 1.23 4.8 couple 127:4,7
comfortable 110:7	compliant 67:3	104:5 139:14,25	114:14	course 6:18 25:15
110:9 119:15	complies 7:6 62:16	consider 11:12	copied 35:25	25:16 26:1,9
coming 14:22 21:4	93:12 101:10	24:25 25:10 28:15	copy 8:3,9 55:6	115:20
21:5,5 57:3 82:9	comply 56:11 58:10	103:1 107:4 123:4	101:20,25 104:1	court 1:1 4:9 6:1
commercial 72:3	58:17 59:10 63:1	123:11	corpus 20:17	52:7 89:16 126:17
108:4	78:7 83:10 109:20	considered 8:17	correct 6:16 8:10	144:1
commit 96:25	114:2	51:25 71:3	9:3 17:12,18	courtesy 6:2
commitment	component 60:7	considering 111:22	18:14 21:24 30:24	cover 7:23 84:11
110:25	69:17,17 71:15	114:17 138:6	31:14 41:1 42:10	90:14
committed 98:21	components 50:21	consistent 34:1	52:3 53:9,19,23	covered 58:19
common 29:24	concerned 10:13	125:16	54:24 56:8,20	62:24 77:17
107:21 113:1	52:23 59:12 71:1	construction 12:12	59:13,19,22 63:22	108:18 109:15
119:18 121:18	72:23 74:14 80:6	54:25 55:24	64:11 71:19 72:24	create 53:18
commonly 79:15	81:13 105:2,11	consultation 31:6,9	73:15,19,22,24	created 37:25
112:20	109:9,10 118:3	33:9	76:2 77:9 79:8	creating 19:5
community 124:12	132:14 139:6,10	contact 138:16	80:10 81:6,16,25	creation 125:8
company 13:22	concerning 21:15	contacted 22:21	82:5 83:9,14	critical 70:24 118:7
comparison 118:23	24:11 79:5 134:19	51:19	86:14 87:11 88:16	crosshatch 99:25
complaint 53:21	141:6	contains 51:6 145:2	89:13,19 91:1,8	crossing 14:17
54:3,10 55:3	concluded 3:7	contemporaneou	92:4 97:8,20	csp 1:12,15 3:4,18
56:22 61:10 73:15	143:21	5:4	102:15 108:5,15	5:13 144:10,17
125:21	concluding 49:19	content 49:23	109:1,23 110:3	csr 1:19 145:18
complete 33:12	conclusion 62:9	50:14,18 51:7	121:15 124:23	curb 21:2,10 46:19
completion 144:24	conclusions 33:23	54:11 56:8,9	129:25 131:23	46:24 47:1,6,13



47:21,24 48:4
72:10 79:7 92:22
93:1 99:13,18,25
102:18 107:2,13
107:14,18 110:5
110:13 120:1,5
121:21,24 122:5
122:11,15,17,18
122:19,22 123:1,2
123:7,19 128:2,13
128:15,16,18,20
129:4,14 130:21
140:14 141:8,11
curbs 120:8
curriculum 3:16
cut 34:12,21
cv 7:1 8:23 9:6,12
26:3,8
,

D

d 5:19 15:21 16:23 17:23,24 **damage** 117:5,6 **danger** 115:14 122:25 123:14 129:4 139:11 dangerous 122:24 128:2,20 **daspit** 1:21 2:7 4:6 24:20 daspitlaw 2:10 **data** 8:16 120:15 date 4:2 23:8 49:5 89:12,19,25 90:2 127:17 140:22 144:25 145:19 **dated** 3:14,19,20 daughter 27:20 36:23 day 1:18 43:24 48:2 55:2 56:17,18 60:8,16 64:16 69:20 71:18 73:12 76:4 82:14,23 91:19 92:17

110:11 111:23

122:3 127:20 129:24 142:16 145:12 days 144:25 **deal** 57:18 92:22 119:6 128:15,25 **dealing** 18:21,23,25 **dealt** 61:19 deceased 13:16 **decided** 64:2 79:7 92:3 96:4,12 97:12 deciding 88:19 **decision** 130:3,9,11 140:5 decisions 130:7 139:20 **deemed** 53:12 **defect** 12:14,16 61:22 62:3,4 118:17 defendant 1:7,17 2:11 15:8 16:8,15 16:25 17:1,2,6,8 52:21 54:4 56:16 58:17 59:9 76:24 144:7 defendants 15:24 23:19 31:24 32:8 33:3 54:13,25 define 43:11 118:25 definitely 121:4 definitions 28:17 **degree** 57:23 72:13 120:9 129:1 **delete** 35:15 **denied** 54:15

dennys 17:25 department 25:17 125:6 **depend** 12:18

depending 35:2 99:11 109:6 134:14

depends 12:16 13:8 53:24 56:6 66:13

80:9 133:21,23 135:22 **depo** 9:4 deponent 144:22 144:23 145:4 **deposed** 5:21 39:4 137:24 138:3 **deposition** 1:10,15 3:7 4:5 6:11,14 7:2 14:9 17:14 27:25 62:25 64:23 75:4 137:4 143:21 144:8,19,24 145:5 depositions 52:13 138:5

describe 48:13 describing 34:9 36:20 description 3:13 design 10:22 11:6 25:7,18 26:5,25 50:21,23 51:3,4,8 62:17,20 67:16,21 67:22 70:25 71:5 79:14 85:16 119:14 125:2,8 designated 72:17

designation 10:15 designed 26:20 designing 119:5 designs 26:24 despite 85:9 detail 32:12 60:12 84:19 86:5 90:14 detailed 125:2 details 15:16 21:16 22:23

12:10 developed 120:16 125:4,7

determination

development 103:24

diagonally 131:14 131:17,22 132:3

136:10

diane 15:24 dictated 130:7 **didnt** 6:14 7:20 8:2 8:9 16:11 20:24 21:22 22:5 27:2,5 27:7 31:22 32:24 33:20 34:5 42:7,8 45:4,12 46:4 50:6 52:12 56:11 59:24 60:15 64:2 65:9 66:2 67:2.4.8 70:24 71:17 72:21 74:16 87:10,11 89:4 91:19,23 92:8 93:4 96:19 96:25 97:1 101:3 101:5 104:5,8 113:10 122:25 127:9 136:25 137:7,23 138:15 138:21 141:1,5 **differ** 113:21 116:23 difference 28:9 116:12,21 125:15 differences 115:24 124:22

different 11:4 15:22 22:2 28:7 36:3,12 38:21 49:22 65:23 71:16 73:6 77:17 82:16 83:16,24 90:12 92:24 100:17

differently 106:21 digital 98:14 dimension 49:3 dimensions 27:10 38:20 120:11,12 **dinicola** 104:13

125:15 133:22.22

127:5 dinicolas 103:9 105:18 113:11,18 115:25 126:5

113:24 116:7

127:13 direct 45:5 directed 95:13 **direction** 121:17,18 directly 51:7 114:17 125:18 127:12 142:14 disabilities 17:21

25:1,14 26:11 50:10 51:21 52:21 53:22 54:18 55:15 62:11,19 120:18 120:20

disability 37:23 38:16 69:15 72:20 73:4 74:4 79:16 79:21 80:23 88:5 115:1 122:22 123:18 129:2,10 **disabled** 41:7 72:15

78:10,21 80:15,20 83:22 84:5,17 85:3,17 91:6 122:23 123:1 **disagree** 63:25 64:1

67:6 78:3 79:10 87:5 91:12,22 103:14,20 104:17 106:19,20 115:7 115:12 134:23

disagreeing 66:4 disclosures 33:3 discomfort 119:17 discouraged 133:2 **discovery** 6:17 7:25

8:9 27:18 33:3,4

36:19 43:2 discrimination 54:19,21

discriminatory 54:15 discussed 36:24

52:17 85:24 91:8 95:9 108:21 discussion 8:14,15

disruption 111:9



113:4
disruptions 111:8
distance 45:2,3,20
46:5 69:5 98:14
98:23 100:8
distinction 117:10
124:18
district 1:1,1 4:9,10
144:1,1
division 1:2 4:10
144:2
· ·
document 36:1
37:10,21 39:22
118:22 127:1
132:21
documented 7:22
documents 7:24,25
7:25 8:4 33:3
36:19 37:24 39:15
77:14 80:22 86:5
90:8 97:23 121:5
124:2,6 126:18
doesnt 6:22 28:14
50:5 52:22 60:12
62:5 66:22 67:25
68:5,6 73:2 88:4
105:13,20 111:22
· ·
119:17 137:11
doing 51:10 75:16
75:23 76:6 83:2
83:20 86:9 110:10
134:15
dont 10:9 14:14,19
14:22 15:15 16:16
19:12 20:12 21:13
21:16 22:8,9,23
23:7 24:16,18,25
26:8 28:11 32:13
34:2 35:20 36:1
37:21 38:2,3 39:2
40:22 41:2,10
42:2,18 45:22
46:6 47:23 48:3
48:16 52:24 54:10
57:5,10,17 58:1,7
58:13 61:25 62:4

64:14 65:6,18,19 69:3,4 70:1 73:25 74:11 76:7,10,11 76:17 77:21,25 78:25 79:2 80:18 81:7 83:15 84:11 88:2 89:7 94:2,3 96:14 97:2 98:21 101:9 104:12,24 105:21 106:9 107:8 109:8,11 113:12 114:2 122:14,15 123:5 123:10 130:12,20 130:24,25 131:1,7 132:15 133:14,20 133:25 134:5,17 134:24 135:8 136:24 137:3,7 138:4,10,11 139:4 140:2,10 141:7 door 47:2 112:24 doors 112:23 doorways 71:6 double 117:25 dr 18:1
drain 19:3
drainage 19:2
draining 19:3
drawn 3:23
drivers 46:19 121:2
121:20 123:20
driveway 43:5,18 46:3,11 95:8
114:17 131:17
drove 29:12 74:22
95:21
due 37:12 38:9,14
39:9,18 40:11
41:14 46:23 47:19
79:16 108:24
131:8
duly 1:17 5:14
144:18
duplicate 106:7
duplicates 94:1

E
e 1:12,16 2:1,1 3:4
3:18 5:13 144:10
144:18
earlier 15:15 111:7
early 125:4
easy 111:20 115:2
economic 112:15
114:11 139:2
edge 102:17 105:4
105:5
edit 34:16 35:10,15
edited 35:18
editing 35:3
efficient 119:7
effort 113:2
egress 25:20
eight 11:4 25:3
48:16 102:8,11
105:19 106:18
110:8
either 16:15 21:6,9
22:14 23:5 35:13
37:22,24 38:17
39:24 40:8,9 41:8
58:18 66:23 67:3
74:5,9 79:18 86:8
87:3 99:4 125:10
128:15
elevated 128:25
elevation 128:18
eliminate 117:4
email 2:10,16 36:24
42:25
emergency 117:24
employed 145:7
employee 145:9
employees 72:6
endanger 115:8
ended 121:14
127:25
enforce 124:8
enforceable 50:15
51:24 52:3 63:5
76:1 125:21
10.1 143.41

enforced 50:1
52:25
enforcement 49:25
50:5,18 52:9,22
53:9,10 54:2 56:7
124:1
enforces 123:20
engineer 113:18
116:24 117:9
119:23 138:12
engineering 10:20
11:1,5 25:16
106:8,11,15 117:1
engineers 26:6
124:12
english 1:12,15 3:4
3:14,16,18,20 4:5
5:13,19,21 61:9
80:14 97:15,22
105:9 110:15
111:3 129:23
142:1 144:10,17
enter 28:24 29:6
32:4 45:25 120:13
120:19 129:18
entered 30:4 31:24
68:17
entering 11:25
102:19 120:6
entire 20:25 21:23
34:3 67:20 136:21
entities 85:5
entity 49:25 50:16
50:25 51:9 56:13
81:4 83:1,17,18
84:1 114:1 124:8
entrance 45:25
46:2
environment 84:12
111:19 120:6
130:7
environmental
117:6 120:10
environments
119:6,21
egual 32:25

equals 103:4 equipment 13:2 76:20 117:5 119:5 120:21 133:22 equivalent 57:15 **ergonomic** 119:8,9 119:14 120:14 ergonomics 11:2,7 118:25 119:1,19 119:25 **ernest** 13:15,16 17:22 **error** 49:2 especially 114:16 118:8 119:16 essentially 21:14 22:22 35:4 37:5 60:10,10 79:20 104:22 105:19 115:3 125:1,12 126:25 128:13,17 **establish** 72:2 77:7 77:24 85:1 established 84:14 **estate** 13:15 **estimate** 45:2,3,6 88:13 estimated 70:1 estimating 24:2 44:1 45:20 76:22 et 13:16 evaluate 11:22 12:25 22:19 23:20 54:19 77:8 90:16 118:11,22 138:8 evaluated 17:10 26:23 evaluating 23:18 116:13 evaluation 11:11 11:13 22:21 35:1 51:8 117:7 118:19 evaluations 24:17 34:16 118:20 **event** 3:15 36:5,14 36:16,21 37:3



	1	1	I	I
118:12 141:7	40:23 41:10,15	factor 92:1,6,7	86:2,4,9 87:20	file 7:12,15 37:7,7
eventually 119:9	exit 92:11 107:3	factors 11:1,7	92:13 96:9 99:6	38:12 39:15 48:6
everythings 90:18	120:13,19 129:15	92:14 119:1,19	103:16 104:18,19	filed 125:21
evidence 38:12,19	129:18	failed 49:12 55:2,25	105:2,10 108:5,11	financially 145:10
39:8,16 40:20	exited 46:22	62:16 78:2,7	108:14 109:8,10	find 23:20 37:22
41:21,22 42:11	exiting 102:19	79:17 85:13 87:15	109:20 114:13	98:23 113:18
67:10,12 69:4	107:3 120:7	91:4 101:12	116:13 117:25	118:2
73:25 74:11 78:25	experience 133:2	failing 54:14	120:5 121:5	findings 3:15
81:7 86:25 87:2,7	expert 6:18 10:6,13	fair 58:25 59:20	122:14 124:22	126:21
88:3,23 109:8	10:17 11:4,14,20	63:5,17 65:17	125:8,13,14	fine 5:12 16:18
130:17,19 134:18	11:25 12:5,19	70:18 78:6,20	126:23 132:13	75:16 81:5 83:2
135:8 136:11	13:11 20:4 22:4	84:9,20 108:22	133:1 135:1,11	83:20 114:1
137:5 140:16	22:15 23:2,3,4	109:15,24 113:6	136:13 139:5,9	fined 53:18 81:19
evidenced 134:9	24:11,20,25 25:10	faith 74:8	141:17,18 143:12	81:24
exact 23:7 135:2	26:10,17,17 30:1	fall 10:21,25 11:5	fashion 13:3 17:20	fining 82:10
exactly 35:20 37:22	38:7 57:5,8 139:6	13:18,18 15:4	22:15 82:10 96:20	finish 5:25 6:2
109:11 134:24	139:10 140:4	20:21,23,23 21:8	116:11	33:18,21 97:22
examination 3:5,5	expertise 10:15	23:16 31:15 35:10	fault 122:12 139:14	fire 142:12,15
3:6,6 5:15 111:1	11:11,22 12:2,7	47:5 67:4 70:3	139:25	firm 1:21 2:7 4:6
129:21 142:7	12:15,17,24,25	91:19,24 92:14	fax 2:9,16	24:20 145:20
examine 122:2	13:3 14:2 17:20	118:13 120:8	feature 70:25	first 5:14 8:19
example 34:7 62:8	experts 29:22	141:8	features 71:5	16:21 24:22 26:4
85:15 104:20	expiration 145:19	falling 13:25,25	february 1:13,18	32:4 34:8 46:1
105:14 109:21	expressly 113:19	14:6 28:15 92:12	4:2 144:11 145:13	47:11 51:12,15
113:5 118:13	extend 6:1	falls 12:4,23,23	federal 1:24 4:5	68:9,18,18 77:11
119:8,18 128:6	extended 91:2	25:5 26:5 117:19	57:16,20 126:17	80:13 92:7 94:8
excuse 113:6	134:25	117:22 118:7	fee 9:1	125:5 138:21
exercise 72:2	extends 119:19	familiar 127:3	feel 13:14 22:23	140:16
exhibit 3:12 6:24	extension 113:5	far 8:8,21 10:13	140:10	fit 119:15 129:13
6:25 7:10,11 8:20	extent 106:20	12:7 13:6,7 17:9	feels 110:7	fitch 5:20
8:22,24 9:2,5,9,10	exterior 104:21	17:11 22:9,12	feet 44:2,4,9,17,23	five 7:17 32:18
9:11,11,13,24	extra 49:12 105:19	23:7,9 25:15,19	45:6,15,17,25	33:23 48:21 61:11
10:2,3,4 14:11	120:18	25:25 28:12 30:11	46:5 68:25 100:6	62:9 77:5 94:3,4
31:5 35:9 62:2		34:3,22,24 35:21	102:8,11 103:3	flare 107:2
93:20,21 94:7,8	F	36:20 40:6,19	104:14 106:18	flight 110:23
94:16 97:18 98:3	f 144:22	41:7 42:15 43:20	fell 11:19 12:13	floor 2:14 12:24
98:11 99:21 104:2	facilities 62:22 63:7	43:21,23 47:11,14	21:3,6 30:16	145:21
104:3 131:13	108:4 117:6 119:5	48:3 50:15 52:22	fewer 110:23	focusing 95:6
132:23 137:25	facility 67:20 68:18	53:4,16 54:20,24	fha 120:23 124:20	follow 36:9,10
142:6,10	72:15 73:11 83:22	55:23 57:2,10	124:24 125:17	111:6
exhibits 8:17	84:5 118:4	58:5 59:11 60:5	126:1,6,21,25	followed 53:7
exist 105:20	fact 66:7,16,17 68:3	60:21 63:16 69:4	127:3,4,12	following 78:4,5
existence 125:3	69:3 70:6 76:13	71:1,8,13 72:8,22	field 10:19 13:2	144:16
existing 37:14	79:14 88:17 92:7	73:2 74:13 76:6	figure 51:12 127:9	follows 5:14
38:11,13 39:10,17	92:15 106:24	80:5 81:12 83:9	figures 121:4 127:7	followup 56:2
39:25 40:3,10,12	141:4	84:9,16,16 85:5	figuring 119:20	foot 48:16 99:3
, ,	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>



110:8 force 50:25 51:9
83:1,19 84:1,2
122:21 125:22
forced 53:18 81:20 81:23 113:25
116:10
forcing 82:9
foreseeable 114:19
114:24
form 3:15 4:22 5:6
7:19 11:9 13:5
16:9 17:16 23:3,5
23:7 24:11,14 27:8 28:24 29:15
32:19,23 33:24
38:5 39:20 41:19
42:14 44:12,19
49:21 50:13 51:23
53:15 54:7,23
57:1,13 58:4,12
61:24 64:4 67:9
68:1 73:23 74:3
75:22 77:1,2 79:9 80:8 81:3,10,17
82:6,10,15,24
83:7 86:3,19
91:21,25 92:5
96:7 97:6 111:12
112:11 114:15,22
115:10,15,21
116:11 117:16
120:2 121:12,16 122:8,13 123:15
122:8,13 123:13
127:14,23 128:3
128:22 129:8
130:14,16 131:3
131:16,24 133:13
134:2,7,13,22
135:10,21 137:1
137:10 138:1,20
139:16 140:9 141:3 143:10
format 36:9,10
forming 8:17

forth 128:16 forward 121:19 fountains 71:6 four 7:3 14:10,15 16:3,11 17:13 20:13 23:11 55:13 87:15 100:20 104:22 fourinch 49:13 102:24 105:21 fouryear 13:12 fraction 29:25 frep 144:21
free 72:4
frequently 79:13
friction 118:14
front 7:17 8:5
19:21 39:15,16
75:13 94:10 100:3
131:12 132:24
134:18
fulfill 77:5,5
full 102:23 103:1
fully 34:22 109:17
109:18
further 5:7,8 43:11
45:11,12,24 46:4
46:6 68:25 69:15
72:12 92:24
107:15 128:4
130:18 143:14
144:21 145:6,9
future 133:5
Ī

G galloway 2:13 gallowayjohnson 2:16 gate 29:7,8,10 30:4 31:24 **gates** 46:3 general 10:20 11:1 37:8,11 38:25 39:5,23 42:23 73:8 90:10,10 96:15 107:21

115:25 116:2,11
116:22 117:2
136:17 141:12
generally 10:19
37:3,4 58:21
59:22 66:19 72:17
77:20 94:11
115:12 116:7
124:11 132:7
gentleman 95:19
george 17:25
getting 19:6 37:18
46:20 99:12 129:1
give 22:20,23 37:8
76:20 78:14 101:3
101:24 104:5
133:18 134:4
given 17:13 72:20
111:13,19 112:3
121:17 136:4,21
140:10 144:20
giving 22:9 137:3
go 13:11 16:12 18:4
21:9 32:5 45:24
46:2,4 51:1,3
60:12 61:5 93:15
99:23 101:18,20
112:25 117:24
121:19 127:4
128:16 130:18
131:9 138:17
goes 9:6 21:11
34:16 60:10 85:4
125:4
going 4:21 6:24 7:3
7:10 21:4,5 24:8
29:15 36:8 50:25
51:9 53:2 60:4
75:15 76:4 79:17
79:23 80:1 81:23
81:24 82:13,21
83:5 84:1 90:19
93:5 97:22 101:22
101:24 103:21 104:20 106:7
104:20 106:7
110.43 113.3

110 7 100 00 00
118:5 123:22,23
126:14 134:20,25
135:1 136:19,20 137:3 138:22
140:7
golden 17:23
good 22:9 24:2 69:5
71:4 74:8 75:17
81:25 82:3 83:10
83:12,21 84:3,14
84:16,21 85:11,15
87:24 105:6 106:8
107:17 111:3,5
114:4 116:6
governmental
49:25 50:16,25
51:9 83:18 85:5
graduate 25:16
graphic 1:21
101:11
graphical 101:11
grasp 47:4 141:15
141:16,21,23
grass 128:16
grassy 46:19 100:9 143:1
great 123:8
grocery 11:19
13:22,25
ground 5:23 26:23
26:24 98:15
guess 17:4 18:12
23:11 49:1 52:13
101:12 104:8
105:6 106:2,8
126:2 127:2 132:5
guest 94:14
guests 72:5
guidelines 55:3,25
56:3 125:9
gustavo 1:3 4:11,16
73:1 110:21 144:3
Н
hadnt 30:22 69:1

H
hadnt 30:22 69:1
half 7:16 14:11

15:5 17:19 76:14 76:19 104:23 135:12 **halfway** 134:11 135:6,11,14 **hand** 3:23 **handed** 93:16 handicap 37:14 41:16 43:6 46:11 62:15 87:17 91:5 handicapped 19:7 21:17 37:25 39:11 39:18 40:1,10,12 40:18,23,24 42:4 43:9,25 44:4,10 44:17,23 45:1,7 45:18 48:11 54:14 64:5,15 65:2 66:10,23 68:14,21 68:23 69:6,9 70:13 71:11,17 75:6,12 85:21 88:11,15 89:18 90:10,23 92:16 94:22 95:11,14,20 97:19 100:5,11 102:7 116:14 121:3 124:13 131:1,11,14,19,21 132:2,23 133:4,9 135:19 136:1,9 143:9 handicaps 74:10 handrail 11:24 handwritten 3:25 36:22 47:16 101:2 104:10 happened 37:9 68:10 89:6 96:16 112:10 127:22,25 139:15,20 141:13 happening 128:1 harassing 137:11 137:12 **harm** 72:5 **harris** 1:23 4:8



hasnt 35:5	hired 6:5	identify 4:13 77:8	improper 79:14	132:22
hassinger 2:12 3:5	hires 107:7	84:24 90:16 93:5	137:19,21	indicating 9:20
3:6 4:17,17 5:1,12	historical 131:4	99:22	inch 7:16 104:14	15:6 22:3 36:15
5:16 8:13 61:7	home 51:10 78:22	ignore 65:21 87:13	inches 48:18,24	46:1,2 48:8 68:20
93:19 94:6,12	80:12	ignores 116:15	49:4,6,8,8,10,12	68:22 72:13 85:4
98:2 101:22 104:1	hopefully 74:7	ill 6:1 16:6 18:4	63:23 100:20,20	94:23 95:3,5,11
107:24 110:22	host 25:8	44:15 93:22	100:22 102:9,11	98:4 99:7,15,24
111:12 112:11	hotel 15:25	113:10	102:12,16,24	104:19 106:3
113:9,15 114:15	hour 31:13,18,20	illustrate 121:5	103:1,4,4,22,23	121:19 131:13,22
114:22 115:10,15	31:23 32:14,15	im 6:24 7:3,10,16	104:14,18,22	individual 19:6
115:21 117:16	133:24 134:1,4	9:5 10:14,16	105:19,22 106:3,5	20:21 102:19
120:2 121:12,16	hours 31:5,13 33:2	11:10 13:13 21:20	106:6,7,7	110:3
122:8,13 123:15	33:6,10,13	22:19,24 24:2	incident 19:9 21:15	individuals 110:1
124:16,21,25	house 51:1	28:11 29:18 30:2	23:21 27:18 36:20	industry 41:12 71:4
125:25 126:8,14	housing 59:20 63:5	37:6,9 39:23,24	36:21 42:6,25	82:3 84:22
127:14,23 128:3	63:17 70:19 78:6	48:2,5,9 51:10	43:24 47:16 48:3	inform 52:14,16
128:22 129:8,22	78:20 84:9,21	53:2 54:20 57:25	48:8 49:6 56:18	79:17,21 82:21
137:12,14,18,20	103:23 108:23	58:1 61:10 65:7	59:7 60:16 64:16	84:2 86:9
142:18 143:10,16	109:15,25 113:6	65:11 66:4 70:5	65:21 69:21 76:5	information 8:17
143:18	116:18	77:21 81:21 82:7	76:8,16,22 82:14	8:22 12:9 22:20
havent 26:3 39:14	houston 1:2,22 2:8	82:16,18,19 85:6	82:23 89:12,25	27:17,23 28:2
hazard 72:9 79:5	4:8,10 19:10	85:17,19 89:20	90:3 92:17 95:7	30:18 34:1,24
117:7	144:2	93:5 94:2,4 97:22	110:12 112:13,14	35:14,22 36:19,25
hazards 72:4 77:9	hud 103:23	99:8,12 101:5,18	121:10 124:19	37:6 38:15,24
79:5 84:25 90:16	human 11:1,7	101:22,24 102:3	125:19 129:25	39:1,7 40:25
117:4	30:25 89:1 119:1	102:14,14 104:22	134:10 135:5,13	41:11 42:2 46:12
hc 102:1,6	119:3,3,10 120:10	105:8 106:7,14	140:3	46:13 47:8,9,10
head 14:1 20:16	humor 119:19	108:12 110:23	include 25:7 47:7	47:14 52:14 65:8
21:13 57:4,7 58:6	hundred 83:25	114:3 116:2	50:22 53:1 94:5	76:7,8,10,17 79:2
58:7 121:17	hundreds 70:1	133:15 135:2,4,23	included 7:9 27:18	98:22 116:15
heading 11:1 36:7	hurt 122:11,12,14	137:14 138:23,24	includes 8:16,23	125:11 133:25
headings 25:6	hvac 19:3	immediate 43:13	9:1 10:20 25:21	138:8,9,13 141:19
hear 26:10,16 heb 13:22	hydrant 142:12,15	immediately	including 9:25 10:22 25:18 33:3	informed 30:17
hed 69:22 131:9	I	121:10 impair 143:2	44:6 127:7,12	82:13 85:21 initial 22:20 31:6,9
hereto 1:25	id 14:14 23:8 32:24	impair 145.2 impairment 37:13	137:6	52:17
hes 80:2,2 96:18	54:11 57:2 88:22	38:10,14 39:9,19	incomplete 127:8	initially 34:17
103:18 108:5,12	112:19,25 122:15	40:11 41:14 129:2	incomplete 127.8	injured 13:3 92:13
103.18 108.5,12	141:9	129:3,10	118:10	114:20 142:17
110:2,9 126:11,13	idea 27:13,22 28:5	implement 77:8,24	incorporated 125:7	injuries 119:17
126:14 136:19	57:12	implementing 54:6	127:11	injury 3:15 13:24
hey 143:20	identical 125:13	56:24	incorrect 45:2	36:4,13,16 37:2
high 11:3	identification 59:14	importance 90:15	index 3:1,12	47:7 72:5 77:10
higher 112:13	117:7	important 69:17	indicate 133:8	117:5,23,23 118:4
128:17,23	identified 79:6	117:19,21 119:24	indicated 132:19	118:6 122:3 141:7
highlight 34:25	142:22 143:4	importantly 129:11	indicates 130:19	insert 35:13



inside 112:21 **inspect** 29:4 30:5 **inspection** 28:20,25 29:15,17,21 30:2 30:9,14 31:15 46:21 47:14,22 93:18,24 101:19 104:9,11 138:18 inspections 107:6 **install** 51:4,10 87:15 installing 62:14 **instance** 1:16 113:4 instrument 118:15 **intended** 53:5,24 56:18 59:5,25 60:15 82:22 101:6 110:24 133:5 intending 69:20 intentionally 74:7,8 **interact** 119:21 interacts 120:5 interested 124:10 145:11 **interior** 49:3 99:7 102:17 interrupt 99:8 interruption 60:22 109:4,9 interruptions 60:2 60:18 108:24 109:1 **intro** 34:9 investigate 125:22 **invoice** 3:20 9:16 9:19,22 10:2 31:4 **involve** 18:6 20:1 23:15 involved 12:18 22:24 30:2 involving 13:25 20:18 23:23 79:11 **island** 100:9 142:24 **isolated** 60:1,18 **issue** 11:24 14:3 15:3 18:13 19:1,2

20:20 23:17 56:4 58:10 62:25 106:23 126:14,15 **issued** 6:14,20 7:12 9:16,19,23 24:17 27:12,21 28:4 30:22 33:22 34:20 35:8,9 42:8 49:19 89:5 104:6 137:8 137:15,24 **issues** 14:6 18:6 20:5,6 21:19 24:12 25:18 79:11 112:20 139:2 **issuing** 27:14 itd 48:10 items 7:8 104:10 119:6 **ive** 6:10 11:16 17:9 17:10,10,11,17 20:12 23:11 24:16 26:23,24 34:18 36:1 39:13 51:16 71:2 77:14 83:24 85:25 87:25 110:21

J jason 1:12,15 3:4
3:18 4:5 5:13,19
144:10,17 **job** 30:10 **johnson** 2:13 **joint** 29:17,21 **justice** 125:6

K

keep 65:7 kier 2:10 kiernan 2:6 4:15 94:6 110:20 kind 18:12 21:2 85:3 119:1 125:9 125:10 143:1 knew 37:23 51:15 51:19 52:5 71:25

77:6,23 79:21 80:20.23.23 123:17 136:13,24 know 5:23 12:6 14:14 16:16 21:17 23:7 24:16 26:12 26:17 28:1,9 30:16 32:13 35:12 36:23 38:2,3 40:16,17,22 41:2 42:7,8,18 45:22 46:2,6 47:23 48:3 48:9 54:10 57:5 57:16,24 62:24 64:14 65:6,18,19 66:2 69:3,4 76:11 77:12,21 80:18 82:2 87:10 96:14 97:1 98:21 101:10 101:16 104:24 107:8,14 109:11 116:12,13,21 118:21 119:8 122:15 128:15 130:12,20,24,25 131:1,7 133:14,20 134:5,24 136:24 136:25 137:3 138:10,21 140:23 141:1 knowledge 38:16 44:20 70:5,9 79:15 82:8 88:5 114:25 115:1,3 119:10 141:14 known 9:2 51:16 72:1,15 74:4 77:7 77:23 79:21 111:18 136:16

L

l 17:23 label 101:21,22 lack 21:17,20 60:11 63:23 79:11 80:4 91:23 122:20

lacked 122:17 lacking 62:7 ladder 12:4,4 ladders 12:7 **land** 13:2 31:13 **landing** 14:1 47:6 laser 98:18 laughs 18:8 **law** 1:21 2:6,7,13 4:6 24:20 56:20 58:17 85:8 lawyer 11:20,23 30:19,23 31:2,10 32:1,7 33:10 36:17 46:16 47:9 88:25 89:5 lawyers 24:20 lay 99:10 lays 103:12 **lead** 71:8 119:17 **leading** 4:23 5:7 21:10 113:15 117:16,22,25 118:1,6 122:18 142:18 **leasing** 21:24 43:19 45:1,21 50:2 51:25 53:1,4 59:1 64:7 66:15 67:17 68:11,14,18 69:13 92:21 94:11 95:14 97:20 100:4,5,11 109:21 114:18 131:5,12 132:14 132:24 133:3 140:20 142:20 143:3,9 **leave** 80:17 143:18 led 72:16,19 88:18 88:19 92:10,12 **left** 68:8 69:10 73:12 76:9,12,12 76:12 80:13 96:4 96:8,9,12 97:11 97:20 99:23 107:9

110:13 121:20

130:21 134:10 135:13,15 140:14 142:24 **legal** 4:4 29:19 75:20 76:1 145:19 **legally** 30:11 82:4,7 **length** 109:6,10 131:8 135:1 **letter** 3:14 letters 7:23 letting 6:2 level 25:21 115:25 116:2 117:2.15 139:19 liability 61:20 liberty 22:23 **license** 48:11 **light** 137:6 likelihood 112:13 limit 59:4 **limited** 46:24 47:1 47:12.20 113:1 128:13 141:10 **limiting 58**:22 59:16 **limits** 128:4 **line** 48:20 49:3,7,13 66:9 97:14 99:7 100:19,20,23 102:17,24 104:19 104:21,24 105:4 105:10,21 128:8,8 128:10,12 lines 48:15 **list** 7:2,2 9:4 13:11 13:12 14:9,9,10 14:18 15:17 16:3 17:19 23:10,10 24:5 25:3 34:22 50:6 79:10 **listed** 7:24 8:4,19 15:7 16:13 26:4,8 121:4 **listing** 7:24 **lists** 7:22 literature 33:6



120.5
litigation 138:7
little 15:22 45:11
48:19 72:12 90:12
99:14,15,19
112:23
lived 44:16 69:22
80:21
living 40:17
llc 1:6 4:12 144:6
located 1:21 4:6
45:9 121:22
142:21
location 3:24 19:9
31:16 79:16
103:16 122:2
138:18
long 11:14 32:10
51:16 60:22 76:3
76:17,18 133:11
133:16 134:16
140:7
longer 32:21
longterm 74:5
111:19
look 14:15 15:5
31:4 34:7 54:11
57:3 83:16 95:15
96:8 102:2,2
127:4 131:13
looked 68:8 96:3,11
97:11 103:9
looking 7:17 24:8
26:4 40:20 58:1
61:10 69:10 70:17
97:17 99:21
102:15 107:11
114:3 116:2,8
118:3 123:24
looks 26:9
loose 43:15
lose 47:5 141:24
lost 44:13 47:4
141:15,15,16,17
141:21,23
lot 10:24 18:7,11
19:21 20:2 21:11

26:20 35:21 47:7 52:23 61:19 63:8 71:16 100:9 112:18 118:2,20 120:7 123:5 124:5 125:6,14,19 142:24 louisiana 1:22 2:8 2:15 4:7 lumping 36:6 lyric 1:22 2:7 4:7

M m 1:12,15,19,19 3:4 3:18 4:3 5:13 25:17 143:21 144:10,17 magna 4:4 145:19 maintain 72:3 maintaining 60:8 maintenance 42:23 59:21 60:5,6,11 60:11 76:25 79:18 79:20 81:14 84:3 86:10 108:23,25 109:2,5 111:8,8 111:21 112:17,21

114:9 134:16

major 74:5 88:22

majority 36:9

manage 41:11

98:12

management 10:21 11:5 41:6 manager 116:4 138:5 managers 112:16 mandeville 2:15 maneuver 46:25 122:18 123:6,10 128:5,11,14 129:1 march 23:13 mark 10:3 83:4 marked 3:13 6:25 7:11 9:10 10:4

68:20 93:21 94:2

94:3 98:11 100:23 104:3 142:9 market 145:21 **marking** 109:25 111:24 martha 13:22 material 14:4 **materials** 7:15,21 37:11 **math** 17:14 matter 13:23 25:9 35:2 49:24 50:14 50:17,24 51:6,9 58:16 67:1 88:4 107:7 124:3,7 126:12 mcallen 18:1 **mcalpine** 2:6 3:5,6 3:14,20 4:15,15 4:21 5:2 7:5,7,19 8:11 10:5 11:9 13:5 16:9 17:16 27:8 28:22 29:1 29:14 30:17 31:2 32:19,23 33:24 38:5 39:20 41:19 42:14 44:12,19 46:14 49:21 50:13 51:23 52:15 53:15 54:7,23 57:1,13 58:4,12 61:5,24 64:4 67:9 68:1 73:23 74:3 75:22 77:2 79:9 80:8 81:3,10,17 82:6 82:15,24 83:7 86:3,19 87:4 91:21,25 92:5 93:11,15 94:14 96:7 97:6,14 101:16,18,20,24 107:23,25 110:17 110:20,21 111:2 126:1,11,18 129:20 130:14,16 131:3,16,24

133:13 134:2,7,13 134:22 135:10,21 137:1,10,13,17,19 138:1,20 139:16 139:23 140:9,25 141:3 142:3,5,8 143:14,20 mean 9:5 10:25 16:17 19:16 22:8 25:25 26:21 28:13 31:22 35:4,16 40:15,19,20 43:11 43:15 50:6,18 53:11,25 56:10 57:18,22 66:25 68:2 70:1 71:3 75:23 77:17,18 84:8 92:7 97:7 107:16 108:2 114:16 118:20 120:5,7 123:17 126:23 128:6 132:5 133:21,23 143:11 **meaning** 38:6,19 76:1 78:16 81:19 81:22 83:17 116:9 125:21 128:15 meanings 38:8 means 25:20 26:11 **meant** 101:10 measure 98:9,13,24 103:12 105:3,5,9 106:12,14,24 107:8,17 measured 49:3 99:6 100:15,19 102:16,18 103:16 105:22 106:6,20 107:1 measurement 45:5 99:16 102:21 103:7 104:17 106:10 107:5 measurements 3:24

27:4 31:17 32:11

32:18,20 33:1 44:2 45:4,5,14 97:25 98:9,12 99:18,19 100:17 103:10,14,19,20 106:25 118:21 measurer 98:23 measuring 32:13 103:18 104:20 105:10,11,17,20 106:12 **median** 143:1 meet 32:4 91:4 138:23 memorize 57:20 memorized 58:8 memory 97:1 98:22 memorys 22:9 **mention** 58:21 141:7 mentioned 19:23 23:23 25:4 66:5 71:3 76:16 141:9 met 29:1,3 32:6 metal 118:17 metals 118:18 **method** 1:21 35:20 35:21 105:18 methodology 34:15 34:15 118:10 methods 133:14,22 134:14,24 michaels 15:13 **middle** 105:3,10 109:13 **mildew** 19:5 mind 6:20 7:4 14:22 15:20 19:12 28:13 55:5 101:9 mine 22:25 **minimal** 112:19 113:1 minimize 117:4 **minimum** 63:15 117:11,13 minor 34:17 35:3



minus 6:21	
minute 65:12	
minutes 31:5 32:18	
32:22 33:10 76:9	
76:12,12,16,22	
134:5,6,6,9,10,12	١,
]
134:20,23 135:6,7	
135:13,15,15,19	
136:1,8,22	
misinterpreting]
48:6	
missing 70:25]
mistake 49:10,14	
49:15,16,18	
100:21	
mobility 37:13]
38:10,14 39:9,19	
40:11 41:14	
120:20 129:2,3,10	
129:11,16	l,
model 98:21	
mold 19:5	l 1
moment 51:19 57:4	ا
74:21	-
monitor 72:2	١,
months 23:8	ر ا
morning 37:19	'
move 6:3 115:23	
multifamily 72:3]
84:16]
multiple 75:6 78:14]
112:24]
myers 13:15,16,16	
17:22 18:10	
<u>N</u>	
n 2:1	
name 4:3 5:17	
15:18 21:12 73:2]
named 18:15	
names 15:17 24:7	
	•

naming 18:3

naomi 17:23

narrowness 46:23

47:12,19 141:10

near 37:15 38:1,17

40:1,3,7,12 41:9 41:16 45:23 59:1 68:14 74:10,18 78:21 80:11,24 81:8 109:21 131:5 nearest 43:4,17,18 46:9 72:16 94:18 94:24 95:1 100:4 102:4 111:18 necessarily 28:14 122:16 141:18 necessary 35:15 77:7,24 91:5 109:5 118:18 120:16,20 138:9 need 12:9 28:3 51:4 51:11 54:11 61:6 111:22 118:22 120:16,18 **needed** 74:18 120:13 needing 80:2 needless 115:13 139:11 needlessly 115:8 needs 111:25,25 112:4,21 118:19 120:24 **negate** 87:12 negotiate 47:1 neither 69:16 145:6 never 6:8,11 26:20 29:21 30:22,25 52:7 53:12 56:15 60:9 69:2 70:7 73:14,17 96:22 97:4 129:23 137:18,20 new 28:20 33:21 34:8 35:25 50:11 126:10,15,15 137:5 138:8,13 **nguyen** 14:24 nine 94:21 107:19 107:24 ninetytwo 102:12

noncompliant 70:16,19 nonhandicapped 72:10 88:20 92:3 110:12 128:21 140:5,14 nonobvious 123:3 **norm** 113:9 normal 21:2 25:19 123:3,12 127:24 128:7 **normally** 44:6,21 45:16,18 49:4 68:16,20 69:7,14 70:4,23 71:9 94:19 102:4,13 128:19 131:15,20 132:3 133:10 140:19 northwest 13:17 **note** 101:12 **notes** 3:25 49:11 101:1,2 104:4,9 104:11 notice 1:23 **noticed** 16:22 notification 112:24 114:13 123:21 136:18 notified 29:16 115:5 138:19 **notify** 81:15 82:1 111:21 112:17 113:4,12,19 **notifying** 112:22,25 number 3:13 16:6 17:18 31:21 40:16 57:21 70:2 71:25 77:4,11,22 78:9 79:4,11 84:8,24 85:3 87:14 91:3 94:12,16,21 95:1 95:1,5 98:21 110:23 numbered 1:18 94:15

numbers 57:3 58:1 58:2 93:8,10 $\mathbf{0}$ oath 28:5,7,14 51:18 65:12 140:4 140:12 **object** 7:19 11:9 13:5,25 16:9 17:16 27:8 32:19 32:23 33:24 38:5 39:20 41:19 42:14 44:12,19 49:21 50:13 51:23 53:15 54:7,23 57:1,13 58:4,12 61:24 64:4 67:9 68:1 73:23 74:3 75:22 77:2 79:9 80:8 81:3,10,17 82:6 82:15,24 83:7 86:19 87:4 91:21 91:25 92:5 96:7 97:6 130:14,16 131:3,16,24 133:13 134:2,7,13 134:22 135:10,21 137:1,10 138:1,20 139:16,23 140:9 140:25 141:3 **objection** 5:11 86:3 111:12 112:11 113:9,15 114:15 114:22 115:10,15 115:21 117:16 120:2 121:12,16 122:8,13 123:15 124:16,21,25 126:8 127:14,23 128:3,22 129:8 137:21 142:18 143:10 **objections** 4:22 5:4 5:5,9 objects 14:6

numbering 57:9,18

obtain 129:16 obtained 8:22 137:24 **obvious** 123:2,4,11 123:12 occasion 74:23 occasionally 12:21 occasions 74:24 75:6 occur 70:11 136:19 occurred 23:22 36:25 70:22 125:19 occurs 70:21 october 31:16 37:3 42:22 offer 14:2 62:1 126:10 139:17 **offered** 10:6,12 17:20 22:4,10,15 23:2,4 24:10 offering 10:16 11:16 54:20 office 11:25 12:13 21:25 43:19 45:1 45:21 50:2 51:25 53:1,4 59:1 64:7 66:15 67:17 68:11 68:14,19 69:13 92:21 94:11 95:14 97:20 100:4,5,11 101:13 109:21 114:18 131:6,12 132:14,24 133:3 140:20 142:21 143:3,9 **officer** 144:18 **offshore** 12:20,22 **oh** 48:18 55:7 94:4 100:3 101:17 oil 13:2 **okay** 5:23 6:3 9:6,8 10:17 15:21 16:7 17:3,7 19:13 20:15 23:1 25:3 27:5 28:3 29:24



32:14 39:8 40:2 45:17 49:14 51:18 53:2 55:12,13 56:2 59:7,8 61:9 66:10 75:11 77:16 78:18 80:25 85:7 86:1 93:19 94:6 97:17,22 98:6 103:21 105:5 106:6 135:14 139:24 142:1 old 14:16 once 34:17 ones 15:11,14,25 16:10 17:9,17 18:2 23:9 67:19 67:21 92:18 126:19,20 143:2 open 122:20 123:7
opened 47:2 operated 23:19
opined 62:14
opinion 21:14 22:4
22:15,15 23:5 24:11 49:23 62:1 62:1,9 67:23 68:3 69:12 72:14 75:10 77:4,22 78:7,9 79:4,23 83:10,14 84:3,6 87:14 88:4 91:3 92:1 93:3 105:24 107:5 111:14 112:4,12 113:3,21 114:8,10 114:23 115:25 117:13 127:8 134:8 135:24 136:5,21 138:11 140:4 opinions 8:17,18 23:3 31:1 33:23 54:20 62:6 71:24 113:17 116:22 126:10,15,15 137:5,15,25 138:9 138:13 139:2,6,10

139:17 opportunity 54:16 **opposing** 4:25 5:10 opposite 21:25 **option** 110:11 115:13,17,23 139:7 oral 1:10,15 4:5 144:8.19 order 77:5 122:19 ordinarily 127:20 ordinary 75:17 orientation 37:9 original 55:10 101:23 originally 35:23 110:24 **outcome** 145:11 outline 62:6 **outlined** 63:17 78:4 outlining 35:4 outside 27:3 126:8 126:15 overly 108:13 oversight 101:6 104:8 owned 23:19,19 owner 22:16 23:6 30:6 53:11 82:1 83:21 84:5 115:8 116:3

P **p** 1:12,16,19 2:1,1 3:4,18 5:13 143:21 144:10.18 packet 9:2 94:7 **page** 3:2,8,13 8:19 15:12 28:12 33:22 34:7,12,19,19 36:2,12 41:13 42:20 46:8 48:21 49:9 50:7 55:13 61:11 62:9 65:1 65:15,22 66:5 77:4 97:14 98:5

105:23 106:17 107:11,19,23 108:7,17,22 109:13,24 141:6 141:22 145:2 pages 14:11 15:5 17:20 97:9,15 98:6 **paint** 42:3 76:18 133:11,16,17 134:21 135:16,19 136:1 **painted** 46:12 59:5 64:19 69:8 74:21 74:24 76:14 82:14 83:6 85:23 86:17 89:13,19,25 90:3 90:23 97:11 131:20,23 133:10 135:12,14 136:7 **painting** 76:3 77:1 82:21 95:20 96:19 96:23 97:4 109:3 134:19 **paper** 100:17 101:11 parade 12:4,8 paragraph 34:8 36:4,15 48:22 50:7 55:17,18 56:22 62:8,8 78:5 78:8 paragraphs 34:12 paralysis 47:7 paralyzed 88:18 **park** 13:17 17:22 43:24 48:15,17,17 53:5 54:16 59:5 59:25 60:16 64:2 66:18,20 67:8 69:9,20,24 71:17 72:19 73:9,12 76:4 79:7 88:19 92:3 96:4,13,16 97:5,10,12 102:4

128:19 130:3 132:8,11,15,22 140:5 **parked** 31:25 43:4 44:6,21,24 45:7 46:9 47:23 48:3 48:11,14,18 49:4 49:5 53:6 59:6,25 60:17 65:5 67:7 67:24 68:4,9,17 68:20,21 69:7,14 69:19 70:4,12,23 71:20 74:1,25 79:22 88:10 92:23 94:20 95:7,16 97:18 98:25 100:10,13 102:13 103:3 121:10 122:3 128:7,12 129:5,24,24 130:4 131:15,20 132:4 133:10 142:16 **parking** 18:7,11,13 18:14,17,19,21,23 18:25 19:4,7,21 20:1,2,6,18,24 21:10,17,21 24:13 26:20 37:14,15,25 38:1,11,13,19,20 39:10,11,17,18,25 40:1,4,10,10,13 40:18,23,23 41:9 41:10,15,16,23 42:3,4,12,16,22 43:4,9,21,23,25 44:4,10,10,17,23 45:1,7,8,18,23 46:10,18,23 47:12 47:20 48:17,22 49:20 50:2,11,19 50:22,24 51:2,5,8 51:21 52:1,9,23 53:5,13 54:15 56:4,17 58:23 59:1,4,6,12,17,21 59:24 60:7,15,16

62:17,18,25 63:9 63:13,16 64:2,5 64:15 65:2 66:2 66:11,23 67:15,16 68:9,14,15,19,24 69:7,9,10,12,18 69:19,24 70:4,6 70:13,17,20,23 71:5,11,17,20 72:11,18,19,22 73:14,17,22,25 74:7,13,17 75:6 75:13,24 76:3 77:1,19 78:21 79:1,7 80:11,24 81:2,8 82:13,22 82:22 83:4 85:16 85:22 86:12,16 87:18 88:11,15,20 89:12,18,24 90:2 90:10,22,23 91:6 91:16 92:3,8,10 92:16 94:8,10,16 94:18,21,22 95:4 95:6,8,12,14,20 95:20 96:4,8,12 97:19 98:24 99:3 100:5,8,11 103:2 104:21 105:11,12 105:15,16 107:1 108:8,9 109:3,3 109:12 110:8,12 112:18 116:14 120:7,17 121:3,14 121:17,19 122:3 122:12 123:8,8,18 127:16 128:19,23 129:24 130:1,4,20 131:1,9,11,12,14 131:19,21 132:3 132:10,14,15,23 132:23 133:3,4,4 133:6,10,12,17 134:3,20,21 135:16,19 136:1,7 136:9,9 140:6,13



110:5,12 122:7

140:14,15,17
141:10 142:23,24
parks 68:16 140:19
parkway 5:20
part 7:9 9:1,3,4,12
14:5,10 29:19,20
30:10 34:14,17
36:13 38:18 39:15
47:10,11,18 48:7
60:6 65:15 80:13
86:20,22 91:12,13
91:14 118:18
120:16
partially 88:1
particular 5:11
11:11,13 31:25
35:1 50:1 51:6
54:12 57:21 58:2
58:6,23 74:13
75:19,24 77:1
92:14 94:18 116:5
118:12 123:16
134:16 135:25
136:2 138:23
140:21
parties 5:3,5,7,8
8:2 24:8 138:24
145:8
party 144:23 145:5
pass 68:18 129:20
passed 68:12,12,13
68:15,22
paste 34:13,21
patel 16:24 17:25
patels 15:21
patron 11:18
paying 16:16 18:8
penalized 114:1
116:11
penalties 125:24
penn 145:20
-
pennsylvania
145:21
people 74:25 85:17
90:17 107:3 117:5
117:24 118:2
117.24 110.2

119:2,6,20 120:11 120:13,17 121:18
123:5 133:21 percent 17:15 30:1
perform 27:5,7
28:19 121:25 performed 79:20
81:15
performing 30:13 32:10 76:25 79:18
109:2
period 51:16 91:2 92:9 119:16
131:20 135:20
permission 28:19 28:23 30:8
person 21:8 69:14
108:15 119:15 122:23 123:1,3,12
128:21 130:3
134:16
personally 6:10 persons 72:6 120:6
perspective 51:3 80:9
persuaded 113:22
persuasive 113:20
pertaining 139:6 139:10
petition 55:10
pg 3:20,25 pgs 3:15,17,19,22
3:24
philadelphia 145:21
phone 2:9,15 31:6,9
33:9 138:6 photo 107:9
photograph 94:24
95:15,16,22,23,25 96:5 107:11,16
131:13 142:10,25
photographed 31:16
photographic

31:17

photographs 33:1 36:21 47:25 48:2 48:7,10 76:13 93:6,8,10,17,23 93:25 95:10 98:8 135:12 **photos** 3:21 31:6 93:23 physical 31:15 38:18 40:20 41:22 67:14 72:5 119:11 132:5 physically 33:15 98:25 99:1,9 110:9 122:2 **piece** 13:2 100:17 **pinning** 135:1 **place** 3:22 41:9 54:17 58:19 73:12 85:1 87:25 107:5 **places** 52:25 108:3 plaintiff 1:4 2:5 4:16 5:11 6:5,9 14:12 15:23 16:14 16:25 17:6,15 54:15 110:21 144:4 plaintiffs 6:7,8 13:21 14:8 15:1 planned 80:3 **plans** 79:19,19 **plate** 48:11 platform 12:23 **play** 120:8 **played** 70:7 79:6 **please** 4:13 5:18 137:21 **point** 35:15,18 39:3 39:21 49:5 88:25 98:16,16,17,17 99:10,10,13 pointing 36:18 45:13,15 94:9,17 95:17,22,23 96:1 96:5 97:19,20 99:22,23 100:13

100:18 102:1 142:12.20 **points** 11:3 130:18 **policies** 80:10 85:1 **policy** 78:7,20 83:11 88:1 **popped** 20:16 **portion** 6:23 34:24 72:8 87:20 pose 114:12 posed 112:16 123:1 129:4 positive 92:14 possession 8:2 possible 78:23 110:9 **possibly** 13:6,8 28:15 57:17,25 135:18 **post** 25:16 **potential** 41:7 77:9 power 83:18 124:8 **practice** 71:4 75:8 75:17 82:3 83:10 83:12 84:4,17,21 84:22 85:6,15,20 87:24,25 105:6 106:10,14,22 107:17 113:1 114:4 116:7 117:10,15 131:4 140:18 practices 84:14 preexisting 38:1,17 40:1 premises 10:24 11:6 23:19,20 28:24 61:19,23 62:3,7 72:4 82:1 83:21,23 84:4,16 84:25 85:2 90:18 115:8 116:3 118:8 preparation 33:12 124:5 **prepare** 35:20 39:6 54:10

prepared 10:14 27:19 34:17 preparing 33:7 34:3 35:7 36:19 37:1 **present** 4:13 30:6 30:13 109:22 138:19 141:13 presented 130:8 139:21 140:11 **pretty** 106:11 141:11 **prevent** 117:19 118:4 prevented 76:24 112:12 preventing 112:14 prevention 10:21 11:5 26:5 previous 34:20 35:24 60:4 94:25 95:9 previously 143:3 primarily 128:4 143:5 **primary** 21:19 principles 117:4 119:25 **prior** 27:14 36:19 36:25 42:6 82:17 121:10 probability 121:8 122:10 143:6 **probable** 127:21,21 127:25 **probably** 12:6,9 22:17 24:3 32:17 32:25 45:13 98:23 **problem** 79:24 143:20 **procedure** 1:24 4:6 **process** 42:23 117:7 119:20 123:6 141:20 **produce** 8:3 101:4 **produced** 1:16 8:1



43:1 93:6 production 124:6 products 119:5 professional 138:12 144:16 **program** 77:8,13 77:15,25 78:1 84:24 90:16 118:8 **progress** 109:12 prohibited 108:25 **proper** 67:16,20,22 73:10 77:8,24 78:1 79:19.19 90:17 106:22 properly 87:15 103:18 118:11 property 21:25 30:4,5,6 31:25 32:6,8 112:16 **provide** 4:25 17:11 41:8 54:14 60:8 62:16 72:14,21 73:21 74:9,9 80:6 81:2 84:2 86:15 88:15 90:24 91:5 92:8,9 104:8 111:23 113:7 115:4 123:21 provided 7:13 20:12 24:18 39:2 48:23 74:12 76:8 76:14 77:14 85:14 89:24 93:13 104:7 provides 89:10 90:22 providing 60:6 73:3 75:23 77:19 79:24 85:16 120:17 136:18 provision 54:12 57:22 58:6,15 provisions 1:25 54:1 57:19 125:12 127:5 proximity 40:19 prudent 115:8

116:7 117:10,15 **public** 50:3 52:1,25 58:19 59:2 90:11 107:20.21 108:3.3 109:21 115:9 139:11 **publication** 83:13 84:7 85:20 87:21 89:9,16,22 90:21 91:10 125:5 publications 7:2 86:6 published 62:18 84:19 publishes 71:10 **pull** 55:5 121:18 **pulled** 97:10 122:6 purpose 99:8 purposes 50:5 **pursuant** 1:23 4:5 144:21 put 37:5 38:25 39:5 41:22 42:1 51:2 85:1 107:5 127:8 127:9 **puts** 71:10 **putting** 131:8

qualifications
 34:23,25 35:5
quang 14:24,25
question 5:6 6:1,3
 10:10,12 15:9
 18:16 19:12 27:21
 28:7,23 34:4 39:8
 39:12 44:13 53:16
 55:2 56:2 58:13
 58:24 60:3 65:16
 65:24 74:11 80:22
 80:25 82:17,19,25
 85:19 86:21 89:20

110:10 111:6

113:10 126:4

127:17,18,20

133:9,18 137:14

Q

137:19 142:3,5
questioning 66:9
questions 13:13
36:8 110:23 138:6
143:15
quick 93:11
quickly 102:2
143:19
quite 15:15 22:9
32:20
quote 37:9 87:17
125:18 126:2
141:16

quoting 37:6 78:19

R r 2:1 racking 14:4 radius 44:3,4,9,17 ramps 25:21 71:6 range 112:20 **read** 6:11,14 16:10 37:16 44:22 55:8 62:22 65:9,13,14 65:15 66:8 68:2,7 72:6 77:10 78:11 78:23 87:18,20 91:7 96:18,22,25 126:5 140:24 141:24 reader 37:8 reading 15:9,22,25

40:5 44:1 55:9,10 65:1,7 77:22 97:16 108:11 141:9 real 93:11 102:2 realize 106:6 123:9 really 16:16 35:5 48:16 71:8 104:23 105:20,21 106:8 106:10 117:3 118:21 119:2,22 120:14 122:20 130:11

16:23 17:24,25

reask 44:14 reason 8:7 38:25 39:5,22 57:25 63:10,20 64:1 66:6 69:8 84:23 88:14 120:15 141:14 reasonable 72:2 75:8 77:9 109:1,4 111:10 112:2 114:4,6 115:4 116:3 117:10,15 123:21 124:9 129:5 131:18,25 136:6,20 143:6 reasonableness 111:7 reasonably 60:23 91:5 115:7 reasoning 141:17 reasons 145:3 recall 14:19,23 20:12 21:13 24:16 24:18 35:20 36:1 66:22 67:25 68:6 89:7,7 97:2 141:5 receipt 145:1 **receive** 101:3 **received** 6:17 7:1 7:15,21 25:13 36:18 41:4 48:1 126:13 127:6 recess 61:8 110:19 recognize 15:14 16:1 18:2 20:13 24:6 57:10,17 123:5 recognized 17:9,17 recollection 13:24 15:16 24:21 65:20 66:7 record 1:25 5:18 8:12,14,15 31:17 61:5,9 136:11 144:19 145:10

refer 39:23 100:8

142:23 reference 109:25 127:11 **referenced** 7:21 9:9 86:7 90:9 127:5 127:11 references 8:21 25:8 85:25 116:18 116:19 125:1 127:3 referencing 90:9 referring 44:25 48:25 99:4 100:24 100:25 102:3 108:5 110:2 115:16 refers 126:25 reflection 96:15 reflects 9:22 regarding 124:13 126:21 regardless 80:19 88:7 116:5 124:1 124:7 regards 114:9 regency 14:17 registered 144:15 registration 145:20 regular 65:5 128:20 regulation 116:6 regulations 54:6 56:24 regulatory 83:17 regurgitate 96:10 related 145:7 relation 142:21 relative 23:21 25:12,17,20 37:21 38:15 62:6 63:3,9 63:10,15 67:2 70:16 71:4 85:16 90:9 109:23 121:11 125:24 140:3 145:9 **relayed** 46:13



relaying 28:2,13	77:22 78:4 79:11	114:3 116:9	75:12 76:24 77:6	11:8 16:4,19 17:4
relevant 11:13	80:14 85:10 86:7	118:11 126:22	77:12 78:9 80:6	18:5 19:3 21:22
relied 79:22 80:23	87:14 89:2,5 91:8	requirement 5:4	81:1,13 82:12,20	30:23 31:2,7
115:1 123:18	100:21 104:13	51:24 52:3 63:12	83:4 86:15 91:4	32:15 33:7,10,23
136:13	106:17 107:20	63:16 75:20 76:1	108:18 131:18	34:10 36:5,17
relies 82:2	108:7,17,22	81:22 82:4,11	144:6	37:16 40:13 41:18
rely 124:10	109:13,24 113:16	83:25 87:23	residentials 78:6	42:8 44:15 46:1
relying 129:11	116:1,16 126:6,9	109:19 113:3,25	residents 84:10	47:21 56:5,12,13
remaining 76:19	126:13,16,23	requirements 53:7	resistance 118:15	56:18 59:2 64:3
143:15	127:6,8,11,13	57:16 58:22 84:11	resource 50:21,23	64:20 65:20 67:8
remark 8:11	135:5,13 137:2,8	91:15 117:11,14	51:3,6	69:1 70:10 71:18
remember 14:16	138:14 139:1,2,5	118:23 120:19	resources 124:7	74:6 85:12 86:8
21:3,5,7,16 22:1	139:9 141:6	125:2 126:7	125:15	88:3,21 91:11,20
24:7,8 37:19,21	reported 1:20	127:12	respect 12:14 24:12	92:17 93:1,16
66:8 87:12	reporter 4:2,19	requires 75:15 85:8	26:15 54:22 70:8	95:16,22 96:5
remembered 37:20	144:15,16	85:9	77:13 139:19	97:18,25 100:12
remembering 58:2	reporters 3:9 6:1	requiring 47:1 83:9	response 7:13,15	101:1 103:5,16,24
reminder 5:25	reports 33:22 34:1	86:9,15 108:11	8:8	105:25 107:11
remove 47:3,15	34:13,20 35:13,21	research 71:8	responses 8:9 33:4	110:20 111:3
141:20	35:25 36:4,7,8,11	124:5 126:6,12	responsibility	113:11 126:5,10
renovation 55:1,24	36:11 38:7 126:16	researched 50:20	30:12 72:1 77:6	126:11 134:21
renovations 12:13	134:10	125:7	123:13,21 139:19	137:4,7 138:4,7
repainted 42:23	representative	reserve 4:24 5:5,8,8	responsible 138:24	138:10,11,12,15
43:6	13:15 30:6	73:7 87:16 102:1	responsiveness	138:17 141:2
repair 55:1,24	representatives	137:4 143:14	4:23 5:6 139:23	142:9
repeat 60:3 89:20	39:3 41:5 138:2	reserved 37:15	rest 47:14 60:9 65:9	rise 117:14
89:21	representing 4:14	39:11,18 40:10	65:13	risk 70:10,20 114:1
rephrase 44:15	request 79:1 88:2,7	41:16 62:15 73:1	restaurant 19:18	128:17,23 131:8
report 3:14,18 6:15	requested 81:8	73:5 78:21 79:1	19:19,21,22	road 46:5
6:18,19,21,22 7:9	88:5 137:20	80:11 81:8 87:17	restriping 112:18	robert 18:1,1
7:22 9:11,23,25	144:23 145:4	89:11,17,23 90:24	resulted 47:6	rohr 17:23 18:15
10:1 23:3,5,7	requests 78:20	reserving 4:22	retained 12:19	role 70:7 79:6
24:11,17 27:12,14	80:15,19,19	residence 54:17	13:10,20 14:2,7	120:8 137:17
27:18,21 28:4	require 56:13 83:1	80:24	14:12,21,25 15:7	roll 98:15
30:22 33:7,12,15	83:19 108:8,15	resident 41:7 78:10	15:23 16:8 17:5	rolled 96:23
33:20,21,25 34:1	113:7 116:6	78:21 80:15,20	23:2,14,18 24:19	roller 133:16
34:3,5,8,18 35:8,9	118:20,24 125:13	84:18	retrieve 47:2	roof 19:2
35:10,14,17,18,23	required 27:3,6,11	residential 1:6 4:12	retrieving 47:15	room 48:16 117:25
35:24 36:2,3,20	51:13 58:10,16	4:18 15:4 19:14	returned 101:12	128:25
36:21 37:1 39:6	59:10,15 60:12	29:16 37:13 38:10	144:25 145:1	round 31:21
40:2 41:13 42:8	63:14 69:17 71:21	38:13 39:10,17	reviewed 8:5 37:7	route 50:24
42:25 47:16 48:8	73:20 75:11 80:6	40:9 41:15 48:23	37:10,11,24 67:1	routes 127:2
48:21 49:2,9,17	81:1,13 82:20	53:8,12 54:5	reviewing 31:6	routinely 111:18
49:19 50:4 52:8	83:3,21 85:5	58:17 59:10 61:2	33:2,6 37:20	136:16
62:2,10 63:11	89:11,18,23 92:2	62:15 71:25 72:3	rig 12:22,23,24	royal 17:23
76:8,17,22 77:4	103:22 113:19	72:21 73:21 74:12	right 6:6 7:7 9:14	rpr 1:20 145:18
	I	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>



rule 5:4 144:21
rules 1:24 4:6 5:23
running 57:23
S
s 1:12,15 2:1 3:4,18
5:13 54:5,9 56:23
57:2,15 58:6
144:10,17
safe 62:16 69:12,14
69:15 71:4 75:8
83:23 84:4,14,17
84:21 85:2,5,11
85:14,16,20 91:5
91:15 93:4 115:2
119:7 130:1
safely 54:16,17
120:13 129:15,18
safer 93:2 130:10
130:13
safest 115:13,16
139:7
safety 10:19,21,22
10:24 11:1,5,5,6,7
13:7 14:6 25:15
26:6 77:8,13,15 77:24 78:1 81:25
84:18,24 90:15,17 113:18 114:5
116:4,24 117:1,9
118:7,8 119:23
124:12
safeway 15:24
23:12
sanctuary 2:14
sandra 15:12 16:19
sarah 14:17
saw 68:8 95:19
96:12 97:10,11
saying 22:12 30:21
39:24 53:3 56:9
57:22,25 65:16
73:1 77:19,21
85:7,8 102:22
105:1 106:14

rubio 13:22

says 31:17 47:19 56:23 57:6,12 58:3,7 63:7,8 65:3 68:5 77:23 80:11 80:14 85:20 100:6 101:14 132:11 133:6 135:6 scaffold 118:16 scaffolding 12:12 12:14,17 scenario 38:2 schedule 9:1 80:1,3 111:21,22 136:19
111:21,22 136:19 138:4
scheduled 138:22 scheduling 138:24 scientific 117:3
scooter 129:17
scope 29:19 126:9 126:16
second 8:3,9 16:22 18:15 48:22 61:6 98:5 103:21 115:13,16 118:1 139:7
seconds 76:12,15
section 54:5,9 56:24 78:8 108:23 141:12
see 54:11 55:15
61:12 93:11 97:13 97:15,16 101:7,15 104:15 122:10 123:7 126:18
132:19 133:8 142:6,12 143:8
seeing 57:24
seen 87:25 132:21
seminar 25:25 26:7 sense 24:6 72:25
96:16 104:25
105:14 108:2
109:18 126:2
sentence 37:12 40:6

46:18,22 47:8,11

47:19 65:22,23 77:11 78:4,19 80:13,14 separate 119:2 separately 32:2,3 serious 72:4 77:10 79:24 seriously 114:20 serve 21:18 service 60:2,18,22 79:23,25 91:2 112:3 115:4 123:23 136:23 services 4:4 11:16 145:19 serving 19:22 94:11 set 129:13 seven 102:8,11 share 121:3 shared 105:16 sherrie 13:16 shoot 98:16,17 99:10 shopping 19:14 short 61:8 102:7 110:19 shorthand 5:3 144:14 show 6:19 7:3 8:16 76:14 93:22 98:8 showing 48:10 135:12
135:12 shown 94:25 142:25
shows 39:16 97:24 107:10 si 101:14,14,19 side 20:25 21:23,25 22:3 45:11 46:3,5 46:20 87:4 105:17 120:23,25 121:2,6 121:20,23 123:20 128:9 140:25 sidewalk 21:11 sign 38:22 41:23 42:1 73:1 132:10

133:6,8
signage 62:15
72:17 73:7 87:16
132:17,19
signature 3:8
143:22 144:22
145:2
signed 27:20
significant 66:12
66:16 70:10,25
similar 35:13 36:10
36:11
similarly 112:16
simple 27:11
119:18
simply 68:8 69:10
96:3,11
single 134:3
sir 10:5 24:15 59:18
sit 24:7,16 38:2
39:21 40:25 99:14
119:16
site 13:2 29:1 30:2
46:21 54:8 101:19
121:25 138:23
sitting 14:19 37:6
39:24
situated 112:16
situation 41:12
50:1 56:14 92:22
92:25 93:2 115:19
120:7 121:23
122:21,24 129:19
136:22 140:10
situations 105:15
119:22 120:8
six 18:3,6 91:3
105:23 106:17
107:11
size 47:12 119:11
sketch 3:23 97:24
slip 35:10 118:13
118:14
slipped 11:19 19:7
slippery 19:5
slips 12:23,23 26:5

small 29:25 131:20 **smith** 2:14 society 26:6 somebody 22:21 28:2 34:5 56:10 82:9 113:25 122:21 129:2,9 130:2 somebodys 75:15 someones 12:3 soon 19:7 78:22 **sorry** 9:5 21:20 55:12 89:20 94:4 101:18 102:14 107:25 133:15 142:6 143:18 sort 18:12 32:12 **sound** 16:4 **source** 124:9 127:3 **southern** 1:1 4:10 144:1 **space** 18:13,14,17 18:19,21,23,25 19:4,7 20:1,6 37:14,15,25 38:1 38:11,14,17,18,20 39:10,11,17,18,25 40:1,4,10,11,13 40:18,23,23,24 41:10,15,16,23 42:3,4,12,16,22 43:4,6,21,23,25 44:23 45:1,10,15 45:17 46:10,11,19 46:23,24 47:1,12 47:13,20,20 48:12 48:16,17,18,22,24 49:3,4,5 50:2,20 50:24 51:2,5,8,10 52:1 53:5,6,13 54:15 56:4 58:23 59:1,4,6 60:15,16 62:16,17,18 63:14 63:16 64:2 65:2 66:3,11,13,14,21 66:23 67:7,15,16



co 14 15 24 co =
68:14,15,24 69:7
69:10,12,18,20,25
70:4,6,21,23
71:18,20 72:11,16
72:18,19,22 73:5
73:9,14,17,22
74:1,7,9,10,13,17
75:13 76:4 77:1
78:21 79:1,7,12
79:13,16,22,22,25
80:5,7,11,24 81:2
81:8 82:2,13,22
82:22 83:5 84:2
85:22 86:16 87:16
88:15,20 89:11,12
89:17,18,23,24
90:2,22,23,25
91:16 92:3,8,11
94:8,10,16,18,22
94:22 95:4,6,9,12
95:14,20,20 96:4
96:9,12 97:10,19
98:24 99:3,23
100:2,9,11,12,18
102:1,13 103:2,3
104:14,24 105:11
105:12,15,16,19
106:5,18 107:1
109:3,3 110:5,8
110:13 111:10,17
111:22,24 112:1,3
113:7 115:1
116:14 120:12,18
121:1,9,11,13
122:3,5,17,20
123:2,10,18,22
127:16,19 128:5,8
128:11,12,14,19
128:24 129:15,19
129:24 130:1,4
131:11,12,14,19
131:21 132:3,6,10
132:14,16,23,24
133:10,12,17
134:3,20,21
135:16,20 136:1,7
100.10,20 100.1,7

136:9,9,12 140:6 140:14 141:10,10 142:15,20,21,21 142:24 143:3,3 spaces 24:13 38:21 41:25 43:9 44:4 44:10,18 45:7,8 45:19,23 49:20 50:11,22 51:21 52:9 53:18 56:17 59:12,15,17,21,24 60:7 62:25 64:5 64:15 68:19 70:13 71:11 75:6,24 88:11 90:10 92:16 99:22 107:21 108:8,9 109:25 113:5 121:3,19 125:2 128:9 130:20 131:2,9 140:15,17 speak 50:7 82:7,7 84:23 96:23 97:1 130:22 141:9 speaking 26:9 43:12 50:19 52:2 53:17 56:19 61:17 63:8 65:1,25 77:18 81:18 83:16 85:6 86:4 107:10 108:1,14,20 113:24 115:13
63:8 65:1,25 77:18 81:18 83:16
108:1,14,20 113:24 115:13 121:13 132:17
141:12 speaks 63:12
116:14
special 38:23
specialty 10:8
specific 12:18 22:10 28:3 32:24 35:1 39:21 41:10 53:2 57:21 70:2 72:24,25 73:4 74:1,12 76:20 78:6,6,15,16 84:10 86:4,16

00.7 00.14 00 11
88:7 89:14 90:11
90:11 91:15
108:14 110:2
118:24 127:5
133:19 134:4
specifically 24:13
42:15 51:5 68:6
76:15,18 77:18
79:12 83:5 87:16
88:16 90:6 97:2
126:24
specifications
124:13 127:1
specifics 13:7 14:19
specifies 121:6
specify 105:13
106:13 120:23,25
spend 33:2
spent 32:13,25 33:6
76:6 109:12
spoke 15:15 89:1
126:23
spoken 6:8,10
30:22,25 85:25
spot 21:24 41:9
68:9 78:16 86:12
104:21 123:8,8
127:24 128:7,11
129:4 136:15,17
143:9
stack 93:8,9,16,22
stairway 15:4
23:16,18,20
stairways 25:21
stand 128:15
standard 55:21
73:20 75:11,14
76:23 80:5 81:1
81:13 82:12,20
83:3,13 84:6
85:19 87:21 89:9
89:15,21 90:5
91:4,9,10,10
105:2,9,25 106:11
113:6 116:5
124:19,20
147.17,40

standards 20:6 25:11 26:16,18 33:7 50:8 57:19 57:20 58:11,16,18
58:20 59:11 61:1 61:12,15 62:12,19 62:20 63:2,4 70:16,18 71:2,4,7
71:10,13,16 84:20 85:10,13,15 90:13 90:19 108:19 116:20 120:15 123:24 124:4,11
125:10 127:10 standing 44:16 120:1 121:22 122:17,19
standpoint 52:10 53:9,10 54:2,20 55:21,22 56:7,19 57:11 60:21 61:3 61:17 63:3 73:24
75:15,18 81:19,25 82:8,12 85:18 108:3,6,20 113:25 118:8 120:9 124:3 stands 101:19
start 33:7,18,21 35:9 57:22 118:5 138:6
started 35:24 100:16
starting 35:15,17 71:24 118:6 starts 33:22 55:13
107:8,13 state 1:20 5:10 40:6
52:11 58:13 137:22 144:15
stated 1:25 50:15 137:2 statement 27:19
28:10 36:22 47:16 65:12 91:12
115:11 135:5 statements 137:24

	1490 1
1.4.41.1	4 0 00 02
	4:9 88:23
108:24	117:23
144:1	24.5.0
stating 4	
	:20 31:12
statistics	
statute 5	
	5:11,14
76:23 8	80:5 81:1
	1 82:20
83:3,13	85:9
87:21 8	9:9,15,22
90:4 91	:9 105:2,8
105:25	114:4
statutes	
statutori	
statutory	v
60:21 6	
	3:24 81:18
	3:25 85:18
	5,20 109:19
	117:11,14
steno 1:2	
	19:8 72:12
steps 21:	3,10
114:21	5.10
stewart 1	5:12
16:19	22
stick 112	
stipulate	
_	ons 3:3 4:1
4:19	
stonebri	ar 15:25
17:5	
stood 122	
stop 38:1	
stopped	
storage 1	
store 11:	
stores 15	
straight	106:25
127:2	
straightf	orward
116:21	
street 1:2	22 2:8 4:7
145:21	



strength 118:17
strengths 119:12
strictly 113:24
116:8
strike 127:18
strip 13:19 45:8,23
striping 19:4
studied 25:13
studs 106:13
study 119:3
stuff 57:5
style 4:8
subheading 10:20
C
subheadings 10:24
subject 13:23 14:18
25:9 35:2 49:24
50:14,17 51:5
124:3,7,10 126:12
subpoena 7:12,13
7:16 8:8 93:14
104:6
subscribed 145:12
subtracting 27:9
subtraction 27:11
sugar 31:12
suite 1:22 4:7 5:20
summarize 8:18
126:20
summarizing 3:15
summary 33:23
34:23 35:5 37:8
supplement 126:10
supplement 120.10
supplied 7:24 27:17
47:17
supply 7:20 8:9
88:6
support 8:18 83:13
87:22
supposed 66:20
67:18 92:20 105:3
132:8,8,22
sure 8:13 55:7 66:8
82:18 94:2 101:5
101:8 102:14
surface 10:21 11:6
25:22 118:14

128:25 surfaces 25:21 surprising 118:2 surrounding 118:12 surroundings 3:22 switched 100:18 sworn 1:17 5:14 144:18 145:12 symbol 38:22 76:19 synopsis 36:4,13,16 37:2,8 141:7 system 57:10 systems 14:5

T t 1:12,15 3:4,18 5:13 144:10,17 tags 73:10 tail 107:1 take 14:14 45:4,6 61:6 76:18 114:20 115:20 118:21 119:13 133:11,17 134:5,8 135:2 136:15 142:9 taken 1:17 31:17 48:7 65:3 66:6 68:4 85:22 115:4 117:24 123:22 128:10 134:16 135:7,15 136:8 145:8 takes 71:9 talk 23:1 32:7 62:10 71:24 80:4 89:2,5 97:8 103:21 138:16 talking 27:24 48:2 49:24 58:25 59:16 60:20 81:4,21 91:17 99:8 135:23 140:6 talks 85:4 tape 98:9,13 103:12

106:24 107:8

tas 25:7 80:10 103:22 108:18 109:14,20,23 113:5 120:23 126:24 teach 111:23 technical 117:4 118:24 technically 102:25 tell 5:17 10:8,15,17 13:10 15:6 17:19 22:11 23:4 39:14 40:2 58:3,15 85:19 105:24 107:16 134:15 139:13 tells 105:1,9,25 temporarily 85:22 111:24 133:11 **temporary** 60:2,18 60:22 76:25 81:14 89:10,17,23 90:24 109:2 ten 7:17 29:25 32:22 43:16 tenant 41:8 72:15 72:24,25 73:4,5,8 73:10 74:5 79:21 82:2 83:22 84:5 87:17 90:12,25 91:6 111:19 114:5 114:20 136:16 tenants 21:18 66:19 67:18 72:5 75:18 80:3 85:2 92:20 112:17,25 113:4 113:12 116:4 132:8,11,15,22 133:2,5 tendencies 119:3,5 119:13,22 term 43:15 56:6 62:4,5 terms 28:12 54:24 85:6 89:14 116:14

territory 28:20 50:11 test 118:14,17 testified 5:14 20:4 20:10 22:13 23:11 27:13,22 66:2,5 66:19 75:4 97:9 132:7 141:1 testify 135:2 testimony 6:11 17:11,14 20:12 22:10 23:3,5,9 24:11,14,18 28:1 28:5,9,13 39:2 41:4 44:22 51:18 64:21 65:13,14,15 66:25 67:1,14,23 68:2,7 72:23 87:8 87:13 95:19 96:3 96:9,11,15,18,22 96:25 97:7 132:25 137:4,6,8,21 140:12 144:20 145:8 **testing** 27:2,5 118:10,19,20,24 texas 1:1,20,23 2:8 4:8,10 5:20 20:5 25:10,17 26:15 50:8 58:11,16,18 58:20 59:11 61:1 61:11,15 62:11,20 63:1,4 70:17 71:9 84:19 108:18 116:20 124:4 125:9 144:1,15 145:18 thank 10:5 93:15 110:15 142:1,4 143:16,18 thassinger 2:16 thats 5:12 6:16,22 8:10 9:2 10:10 11:12 12:2 14:5,9 14:10 15:22 16:17 17:2,3,12,17

18:14 19:25 27:6 28:7 29:18,24 30:10,12,24 31:3 31:4,18 33:10 34:8,14,24 35:16 36:10 37:5 38:18 38:24,25 39:5,7 39:22,22 40:20 41:1,5,6,9,20,21 41:24 42:10 43:15 44:15 45:11 48:11 48:12,13 50:3 51:5 52:3,13 53:9 53:18,22 54:24 56:1,7,20,24 57:14,25 58:24 59:2,13,19,22 62:10 63:17,20,22 63:23 64:11,23 65:7 66:8,12,16 66:19 68:10,20 69:5 70:25 71:15 71:19,23 72:24 73:13,15,15,19,24 74:15 76:2 77:3 78:8 80:10,16 81:24 82:3,25 83:9,10 84:3,23 85:3,17,24 86:14 87:11,24 88:14,22 88:22 90:15 91:1 91:8 95:1,4,11 96:14,16 97:8 98:5 99:24 100:15 102:4,13,15,18 104:10,19 105:16 105:24 107:6 108:4,5,16,20 109:1,5,23 110:3 110:10,15 113:16 115:22 116:17,22 118:4,5,22 119:14 119:18,24 120:15 123:1 126:1,3,16 128:8,10 133:4 135:4,11 136:2,12



139:4,8,12

129.25 140.22 22
138:25 140:23,23
141:5
theme 29:24
therefor 145:3
theres 10:24 11:24
15:12,21 16:2
26:7 47:7 52:19
53:20 54:3,13
56:13 70:20 73:20
75:14 76:23 80:5
80:22,25 81:12,22
82:4,11,19 83:1,3
83:16,20,24,25
84:10,14 85:8,9
86:25 87:2,21
89:9,15 90:21
92:6 94:15 99:11
99:14 105:24
106:3,4 109:18
112:24 116:19
121:1,2,2,4 123:8
125:14 128:17,18
128:24 131:21
132:2,10,13,21
133:6,21 136:8,11
138:14 139:1,5,9
140:16 143:11
theyre 26:10,17
56:9 58:1 79:25
81:23,23,24 84:3
85:2 94:1 107:3
116:9 120:6 123:5
125:13,16 137:3
theyve 71:16
thick 7:16
thicker 8:7
thing 26:15 33:21
34:18 36:2,12
38:21 41:24 75:9
85:11 97:23 124:4
125:10
things 8:1 25:4,19
30:11 40:9,13
57:15 62:13 63:8
83:24 84:9 99:9
104:12 112:6,10
104.12 112.0,10

119:12 127:7 think 10:17 11:2 20:17 21:4,19 22:1 25:5,6 26:6 44:13 70:1 82:2 88:12 90:20 98:5 104:9 106:21 111:9,15 112:4,6 112:9 114:6 125:5 126:3 133:11 134:9 136:14 139:4 thinking 20:15 48:6
third 14:17 37:12
68:23
thomas 14:17
thought 16:11 27:23 37:20 62:6
104:7
thousands 57:18
three 16:11,15 23:8
24:3 36:2 41:13
42:6 46:8 61:11
62:8,9 64:9,10,12
65:20 66:7 68:6 94:2 95:1 125:15
141:4,6,22
tie 92:13
tien 14:24,25
ties 92:15
tim 4:17
time 4:3,20 5:7,9
18:5 22:7,10,14
23:4 24:2 25:23
27:23 30:20,21,21
32:13,24,25,25
34:16 39:6 40:19 42:19,20 46:14,14
51:16 59:7 60:9
64:19 76:6,21
79:3 80:1,3,18
81:11 86:18,21,24
87:1 89:3,4 91:2
93:3 95:7 109:6

109:10,11 110:16

110:25 119:16

130:23 131:21
133:19 134:4
135:1,2,20 137:2
138:23 141:19
142:2 143:19
timer 31:22
times 7:17 69:24
70:2,3 78:16
83:25 88:11,18
109:5 112:22
109:3 112:22
118:15
timing 32:12
timothy 2:12
today 7:18 8:5
51:18 70:14
110:16 139:13
140:4,12 142:4
todays 4:2
told 29:2 30:19
46:16 97:4 132:15
tomlinson 15:24
17:5 23:12
tompkins 2:13
_
tool 98:14 99:1,14
99:19
tools 103:15
top 21:13 57:4,7
58:5,7 96:5 97:18
99:23 100:12
topic 65:25
total 16:2 32:15
76:6 134:21,23
135:16
touching 107:14,18
track 32:12,24
traditional 98:13
training 25:13,24
transcribed 49:11
transcribing 49:2
transcript 144:19
145:1
transcription 49:16
transfer 101:11
transition 18:11
travel 131:9
traveled 31:12

treatise 84:7 85:20
87:22 89:10,15,22
90:7,21 91:11
tree 143:12
trial 4:23 5:7,9 9:4
17:14
trials 7:2 14:10
tried 69:9
trip 13:18
tripod 99:15,19
trips 26:5
trouble 116:10
true 6:15,16 9:17
26:18 27:6 31:3
49:20 53:8,22
54:6,18 55:4,22
56:1,25 61:20
62:12 63:2,3,24
68:10 70:8 71:23
73:18 74:15,19
75:6 77:1,3 80:7
80:16 81:2 82:25
83:8 87:23 88:14
96:13 115:18,22
127:10 130:5
132:16 136:10
137:25 143:7,7
144:19
trunk 107:4 143:12
trust 16:6
try 5:2 107:6 119:2
123:6
trying 20:21 21:3,6
22:1,19 37:9,19
45:5 107:17
108:12,13,16
136:19 141:20
turn 107:19
twice 104:22
105:21,22 106:5,6
106:10
two 9:5 14:11 15:5
15:12 16:13,15
17:3,10,19 21:3
21:10 23:8 24:3
34:12,19,19 40:8
~ 1.1 ~ , 1 / , 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1 / 1

40:13 42:5 44:8
46:3 49:7,12,22
59:12,16,24 64:8
68:19 77:4,11,22
78:8,9 79:4 83:16
83:24 84:24 85:3
87:12 98:6,20
100:22 102:24
104:12 121:2,2
type 13:4 14:4
• •
25:21,23 28:20
32:11 33:15,20
34:2 50:15 118:9
120:14 123:24
typed 35:23
types 118:23
typical 41:6,12
140:18
typically 62:4
121:1
typing 35:7
U

u 54:5,9 56:23 57:2 57:15 58:6 **uh** 6:21 7:20,22 11:18,23 13:1 14:4,14,19 18:11 19:2,4,10 20:16 21:18 22:24 26:23 30:17 31:22 32:6 34:14,22 35:4,12 35:12 42:25 44:25 46:14 48:5 49:16 54:8 56:4 64:17 66:17 79:10 89:7 94:21 95:6 97:24 99:3 100:3,23 101:5 102:3 103:20 104:18 107:10 108:1 109:5 110:6 116:17 120:25 127:5,8 128:4 130:6 139:21 143:5



ultimately 66:1 68:17,21 72:16,19 92:12 96:16 100:10 130:9 141:1 unavailable 72:18 understand 27:19 53:3,16 82:25 85:7 105:1,23 108:12
understanding 37:11 39:1,4,6,23 55:9 64:20 67:17 94:19 119:4 133:1 141:12,18 142:16 understood 37:3,4 46:25 47:6 95:7 unfolded 129:13 unintentional
117:23 unit 21:11 37:15 38:1 41:9,17 43:5 43:22 44:11,16 45:9,23 46:1,10 72:17 73:6,13,16 74:6,10,18 75:13 79:17 94:19 95:2 102:5 111:18 112:22 114:17 115:2 131:10
united 1:1 4:9 117:23 144:1 units 20:22 108:18 unnecessary 136:23 unquote 87:18 125:18 126:2 141:16 unreasonable 70:20 109:9
111:11,14,16 112:5 129:5,6 135:20 136:3,15 136:23 140:3,8,11 140:13 unsafe 72:20

unusual 133:2 updated 26:3 **urban** 103:23 usable 62:21 63:6 use 28:12 34:15 35:14 56:6,18 62:4,5 64:16 66:11 67:18 72:18 72:23 74:18,18 82:23 83:6 85:22 92:20 99:12,12,15 105:6 111:18 119:6,7 122:22 123:23 131:2 133:22 139:8,12 uses 112:3 usually 74:1 **utilize** 75:3 119:13 129:16 140:20 **utilized** 12:8 45:16 45:18 79:13,15 88:24 131:5 133:15 134:15,25 136:16 utilizes 128:7 utilizing 85:17 90:18 \mathbf{V}

v 1:5 17:23 144:5 vaguely 77:23 **valid** 124:9 various 33:2 118:23 119:21 vary 134:14 vegetation 143:5 **vehicle** 46:20,22,24 47:2,13,21 92:11 102:20 120:24 128:5,9,10 129:15 141:11 143:8 vehicles 120:14 verbally 28:2,14 **verify** 127:6 versus 4:11 13:22 114:3 128:12

136:16 viable 51:3 67:11 67:13 107:5 130:10,12 vicinity 43:13 vicky 15:21 16:23 17:24 view 94:24 95:13 96:1 119:1 140:2 viewed 41:24 64:6 64:8,12 67:21,21 92:18 140:2 violated 53:12 violates 54:5 56:23 violation 52:20 53:21,25,25 55:14 55:22 56:15 60:25 61:11,15 81:24 116:17,19 violations 52:22 virtually 114:16 visibility 143:2 **visible** 122:6,9 143:11,13 visit 121:25 **visitor** 73:10 133:6 **visitors** 21:18 133:5 **vitae** 3:16 **volume** 1:14 144:12 voluntary 54:25 55:24 **vs** 13:16 14:17,24 15:13,21,24,24 16:23 17:5,22,23 17:23,24,25 18:1 23:12 W

w 2:12 wait 102:14 107:23 waiting 70:10 waive 5:3 waived 143:22 walk 54:16 walker 47:3,4,4,15 92:12 122:19

129:12,13,17 141:16,16,20,23 walkers 120:12,21 **walking** 10:21 11:6 11:18 25:20,22 119:20 walkway 18:12 want 6:18 13:11 15:18 16:12 28:16 51:1,1,11 65:7,10 82:17 118:5,17 **wanted** 56:10 97:10 98:24 111:6 wanting 50:23 88:6 wasnt 16:11,16 18:4,8,8 25:3 27:3 69:8 71:20 75:5 92:2 130:10,22 140:7,13 water 11:19 71:6 way 15:18 21:1 22:14 34:9 38:3 42:9 52:19 71:8 76:20 79:2 85:1 106:1 111:25 115:19 119:17 125:4 131:7 133:20 136:10 ways 36:1 49:22 73:6 78:14 83:16 112:24 web 98:23 week 22:17 **welcome** 143:17 welder 13:1 **welding** 13:1,8 went 26:7 69:4 104:21 127:2 western 17:24 weve 8:23 9:8,13,23 35:8 62:2,24 108:21 whats 8:19 21:12 23:17 37:4 41:12 83:21 85:4 97:14 98:19 101:14

102:6 114:3,11 116:8 142:9 whatsoever 62:2 75:21 76:11 81:21 98:8 132:13 wheelchair 129:17 wheelchairs 120:12 120:21 whens 22:14 25:23 **white** 102:17 105:3 105:10 128:8,10 128:12 whos 12:22 13:1 wide 48:24 63:13 63:15,24 71:21 102:24 104:14,15 106:9,18 110:8 112:20 116:15 width 99:6,12,24 102:8,23 103:1,22 105:10,11 107:18 **william** 5:19 window 96:23 97:2 **withdraw** 113:10 witness 1:16 3:8 7:6 7:6 11:15 15:9,22 15:25 16:23 17:24 17:25 20:4 40:5 44:1 65:1 93:12 93:12 101:10 108:11 129:20 141:9 143:17 144:17,20 woosley 17:23 18:20 word 34:2 37:10 wording 53:25 words 38:6,8 119:23 work 9:17,22 25:15 29:19 42:22 80:2 worker 12:11,22 **working** 15:16 34:5 **workplace** 13:7,24 14:6 57:20

world 41:6



wouldnt 6:20 7:4	youre 10:6,17 11:4	76:9,11,12,15,16	17:7 33:6,13	4inch 49:6
15:20 67:1 70:14	17:4 22:12 24:23	76:22 80:21 88:10	45:18 55:14	5
105:13,14 107:4	27:14 30:21 35:7	88:11 134:5,8,10	143:21	5 3:5,21 49:9 50:7
123:4	36:6,6 40:6 44:15	135:6,13,14	20 88:12,17 109:24	76:12 93:20,21
wow 123:9	48:15 49:24 50:23	136:14 142:10,10	134:12,20,23	94:7,8,16 97:18
wright 15:21 16:23	53:3,17 55:9,9	104 3:25	135:7,15,19 136:1	131:13 142:6,10
17:24 18:22	56:9,19 57:5,8	10minute 110:17	136:8,22	543 5:19
write 37:2,12 42:20	58:5,22,25 60:20	11 95:3 108:7	2001 26:9	343 3.19
43:3 48:21 71:25	61:17 65:16 67:23	111 3:5	2013 37:4 42:22	6
77:5 87:14 91:3	70:17 73:3 77:21	112 5:20	2014 26:4	63:14,15,23 98:3
writes 104:13	78:19 81:4,19	11th 37:4 42:22	2016 31:16	98:11 99:21
106:17 107:20	83:15 84:17 85:7	12 3:14,19,20 11:17	2017 1:13,18 4:3	60 63:23 103:23
108:7,17,22	85:7 86:4 90:8	145:19	144:11 145:13	105:22 106:3,7
109:13,24	91:17 97:16	12108 87:18	203 93:7	60inch 63:15,18
writing 46:9 100:16	102:21 105:1,3,20	12182 54:5,9 56:24	205 93:7	91:17,23 92:2
written 24:14 27:19	105:21 107:10,17	57:2	211 108:24	116:16
52:8 55:23 88:7	113:14 118:3,3	129 3:6	28 3:22 94:16 97:9	60inches 71:21
90:13,19 126:9	119:10 121:13	13 1:19 143:21	97:15	6246221 145:22
wrong 22:5,16 23:6	128:13,14,24	13th 31:16 145:12	29 97:9,15	
23:21 49:11 62:7	130:8 131:25	14 108:17		633 145:20
103:19	139:13 143:17	1400 1:22 2:8 4:7	3	7
wrote 38:9 40:3	youve 5:21 6:8,11	142 3:6	3 1:13 2:14 3:18 9:9	73:16,19,25 103:3
41:13 43:7 49:9	12:19 13:10 17:13	143 3:7,8	9:10,13 17:7 31:5	103:3 104:2,3
	24:10 25:23 26:20	144 3:9	33:2,9 42:20 50:7	145:20
<u>X</u>	29:21 35:8 44:22	1444 3:20	94:16 110:22	70 44:2,3,4,9,17
x 145:4	61:19 79:5 86:6	15 1:19 4:3 88:11	144:11	45:6
Y	126:21 132:21	95:5 108:22	30 5:5 44:23 65:1	70471 2:15
-		16 3:14,19,20 95:11	65:16,22 66:5	70471 2.13 70foot 44:3
yall 32:5	Z	95:15,23 97:18	134:6 144:22,25	7133224878 2:9
yeah 10:5 16:13	0	100:6 131:13	31 145:19	7135879086 2:9
17:4 42:7 65:9		132:23	319 93:7	
107:10 141:4	0 31:17	1635 145:21	35 55:17,18 110:22	73 45:15,17,24 46:5 68:25
year 23:13	1	16cv00118 1:5 4:11	36 56:22 57:9	76 16:2,7 17:7
years 7:3 11:17		144:5	108:24	′
14:10,16 16:3	1 1:14,14 3:14,14	16ths 49:6 100:19	3824 145:18	77002 1:23 2:8 4:8 77845 5:20
17:13 20:14 23:11	3:19,20,25 6:24	103:4,4	3rd 1:18 2:14 4:2	77 045 5:20
24:1,3 40:17 42:6	6:25 9:11,24 25:7	18 31:5 33:10		8
43:16 52:2 65:20	31:13,17 33:2,13	145:19	4	8 3:17 104:14
66:7 68:6 69:19	35:9 50:8 62:3,21	19 109:13	4 1:5 3:3,20 4:11	105:22
69:23 80:21 87:12	63:5,6,20 88:17	19103 145:21	10:3,4 31:5,13	86 104:14
88:10 136:14	94:15 104:14	1960 19:11 125:5	33:6 106:5,6,7	866 145:22
141:4	116:18 124:5		144:5	8foot 63:13 116:15
yen 14:24	126:25 137:25	2	42 54:5,9 56:23	8th 145:21
youd 13:3 30:22,25	144:12,12,22	2 1:19 3:2,16,24 5:5	57:2,9	
46:1 52:19 98:2	10 1:19 3:20 4:3 40:17 52:2 69:23	7:10,11 8:24 9:5	440 1:22 2:8 4:7	9
110:6 128:11	+0.1 <i>1 J2.2</i> 07.23	9:11 14:11 16:7	45 134:6	
		I	I	I



Page 23

				Page 2
9 3:18,20 49:6				
100:19 103:4,4				
90s 125:4				
91 49:6 100:19				
103:4				
92 48:23 49:4,10				
102:16				
93 3:21				
94 48:19 49:8				
102:25				
96 17:14 48:18				
103:22 105:22,22				
96inch 63:13				
97 17:15 104:14,18				
98 3:23				
9856746680 2:15				
9856746681 2:16				
	I	I	I	I

